

FY2021 HUD Continuum of Care Competition

Application Process & Materials

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| NATIONAL FUNDING COMPETITION INFORMATION & TA HANDBOOK ACCESS | 3 |
| FUNDING AVAILABLE FOR OUR CoC IN THE NATIONAL CoC COMPETITION | 3 |
| TA HANDBOOK WEBSITE | 4 |
| LOCAL INFORMATION FOR YOUR E-SNAPS APPLICATION | 4 |
| COC NOFA PROCESS AND TIMELINE | 6 |
| FY2021 CONTINUUM OF CARE GRANT INVENTORY WORKSHEET (GIW)..... | 9 |
| 2021 OVERVIEW OF THE ST JOSEPH COC NOFA PROCESS | 11 |
| PRE-NOFA: CREATION OF PROCESS | 11 |
| PRE-NOFA: RENEWAL PROJECTS PREPARATION | 11 |
| NEW PROJECTS PREPARATION..... | 11 |
| AFTER NOFA RELEASE: ALL PROJECTS APPLICATION PROCESS | 11 |
| REALLOCATION POLICIES | 14 |
| APPEALS PROCESS | 14 |
| 2021 PRIORITIZATION SCORING SHEET FOR NEW HOUSING PROJECTS | 16 |
| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | 16 |
| SCORING FACTORS | 17 |
| NEW HOUSING PROJECT SUBMISSION CHECKLIST | 21 |
| LOCAL APPLICATION MATERIALS FOR NEW HOUSING PROJECTS | 22 |
| GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION | 22 |
| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | 23 |
| SCORING FACTORS | 27 |
| 2021 PRIORITIZATION SCORING SHEET FOR NEW HMIS PROJECTS | 30 |
| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | 30 |
| SCORING FACTORS | 31 |
| NEW HMIS PROJECT SUBMISSION CHECKLIST..... | 35 |
| LOCAL APPLICATION MATERIALS FOR NEW HMIS PROJECTS | 36 |
| GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION | 36 |
| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | 36 |
| SCORING FACTORS | 39 |
| 2021 PRIORITIZATION SCORING SHEET FOR RENEWAL PROJECTS | 42 |
| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | 42 |
| SCORING FACTORS | 42 |
| RENEWAL PROJECT SUBMISSION CHECKLIST | 49 |
| LOCAL APPLICATION MATERIALS FOR RENEWAL PROJECTS | 50 |

St Joseph Continuum of Care

National Funding Competition Information & TA Handbook Access

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) released a Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), signifying the beginning of a national funding competition among approximately 400 Continuums of Care (CoC), the community stakeholder groups that guide local responses to homelessness. The [2021 CoC NOFO](#) was released on August 18, 2021 and a summary is available [here](#). This document is a brief resource to help you understand the design and rules for the national competition.

Funding Available for Our CoC in the National CoC Competition

In 2021, the St Joseph CoC is eligible to compete for approximately \$1,930,270 in funding to support housing and services for homeless households, and approximately \$48,817 for CoC Planning Funds.

Annual Renewal Demand Funding: \$1,627,233.

This funding supports the CoC's existing housing and services. The CoC has the option to continue to support those programs, or to reallocate those resources, in whole or in part, to Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing, Joint Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing, HMIS, or Supportive Services Only Projects for Coordinated Entry. There is no guarantee that reallocated funding will be available for new projects, but it may be if one or more renewal projects decides not to apply or is not selected by the **Rank & Review Committee**.

CoC Bonus Funding: \$81,362

This funding supports Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing, Joint Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing, HMIS, or Supportive Services Only Projects for Coordinated Entry.

Domestic Violence Bonus Funding: \$221,675

This funding supports Rapid Rehousing, Joint Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing, or Supportive Services Only Projects for Coordinated Entry.

CoC Planning Funds: \$48,817

This funding supports CoC planning activities, and only the collaborative applicant (the City of St Joseph) may apply for it. **The planning grant will not be ranked in the competition and is not competitive with housing or service projects.**

For this competition, funds are NOT available for:

- Emergency shelter
- Homelessness prevention projects
- New Transitional Housing (except when joint with Rapid Rehousing)
- New Supportive Services Only projects (except coordinated entry)

TA Handbook Website

- Each year, Homebase develops a Technical Assistance (TA) Handbook to help CoC project applicants access and understand information about the national competition and this funding source. The Handbook can support program design and inform new applicants and new staff about CoC funding limitations and requirements in the FY2021 competition. It also includes some information about e-SNAPS, the web-based application tool you will use to write your application for the national competition, as well as links to many HUD resources.
- In 2021, Homebase has transitioned this to an online resource available to applicants in communities we serve.
 - **TA Handbook Website:** <https://www.homebaseccc.org/2021-coc-nofa-ta-handbook>
 - **Password:** NofaTA2021

Local Information for your e-SNAPS Application

In an effort to save you some time, your Homebase Local Team has pulled together some information to make your e-SNAPS application completion easier. Please keep this handy when you are completing your application.

Applicant Profile Page: Congressional Districts and Code of Conduct

Congressional Districts

The congressional district in this CoC is MO-6.

Code of Conduct

The following agencies have their [Code of Conduct listed on HUD's website](#), and will only need to upload a new version if there have been changes in the Code since 2019 (e.g. new contact person).

- Catholic Charities of Kansas City- St Joseph, Inc.
- City of St. Joseph
- Community Missions Corporation
- Young Women's Christian Association, St. Joseph, Missouri
- Institute for Community Alliances

In addition, Missouri Department of Mental Health is not required to comply.

All other agencies may need to upload a Code of Conduct that is compliant with HUD requirements. Please see the [TA Handbook](#) for additional details.

Project Application Page 1E: Compliance

In Missouri, the answer to “19. Is the Application Subject to Review By State Executive Order 12372 Process?” is Yes. Please see the [Missouri Office of Administration website for more information](#).

Project Application, Page 3A: Project Detail

Our CoC number and name is: MO-603 - St. Joseph/Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties CoC
Our Collaborative Applicant name is: City of St Joseph

Project Application, Pages 6C/6E: Leased Units or Rental Assistance Budget Detail

Our FMR area is: St. Joseph, MO-KS MSA

FMRs are:

- Efficiency: \$547
- One bedroom: \$626
- Two bedroom: \$824
- Three bedroom: \$1,088
- Four bedroom: \$1,171

Have more questions about eSNAPS or HUD rules?

Please refer to the [TA Handbook](#) then contact your Homebase Local Team at bridget@homebaseccc.org

FY2021 St Joseph/Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties

CoC NOFA Process and Timeline

| Event/ Activity | Responsible | Date/Time | Place | Notes |
|---|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| NOFA Released | HUD | August 18, 2021 | N/A | HUD releases the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) |
| August CoC Meeting | CoC | August 25, 2021 | Zoom | Approve Homebase-proposed changes to scoring tools |
| NOFA Kickoff Meetings/Trainings for Applicants | Homebase | Wednesday, September 1, 2021 at Noon | Zoom | Release information about local priorities and HUD guidelines for proposals. Agencies will be given a proposal package and training on how to complete the application. |
| Homebase Sends PRESTO Project Evaluations to Renewal Applicants | Homebase | Wednesday, September 1, 2021 by 5:00 PM | Via PRESTO/email | |
| Project Evaluations Returned to Homebase | Agencies | Before September 27, 2021 at 5:00 PM | Via PRESTO/email | Projects return Draft Project Evaluations with edits. Returning edits sooner may support applications. |
| Agencies write Proposals | Agencies | September 1- October 1, 2021 | N/A | Includes HUD Project Application and local supplemental information. |
| CoC NOFA Committee meets | Homebase | Friday, September 17, 2021 at 12:30 PM | Zoom. Register here. | CoC Application preparation |
| September CoC Meeting | CoC | Wednesday, September 29, 2021 | Zoom | Adoption of policies; trainings |

| | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|------------|---|
| Agency Proposals are due | Agencies | Friday, October 1, 2021 at noon | Via email | |
| Rank & Review Committee Training | Homebase | Monday, October 4, 2021, Time TBD | Zoom | Rank & Review Committee receives training for scoring projects using PRESTO. |
| Rank & Review Committee reviews Proposals | Homebase, Committee | Monday, October 4, 2021- Wednesday, October 20, 2021 | N/A | Rank & Review Committee reads and scores proposals individually. |
| Rank & Review Committee meets for Rank & Review | Homebase | Tuesday, October 19- Wednesday, October 20, 2021 | Zoom | Rank & Review Committee meets to discuss proposals and determine how projects will be ranked. |
| Distribution of Preliminary Priority Listing | Homebase | Thursday, October 21, 2021 (by midnight) | Via e-mail | Preliminary priority list emailed to agencies. |
| Notification of Appeal | Agencies | Friday, October 22, 2021 by 5:00 PM | Via e-mail | Applicants who intend to appeal their ranking on the Preliminary Priority Listing must notify HomeBase of their intent to appeal. |
| Appeals due | Agencies | Monday, October 25, 2021 by 5:00 PM | Via e-mail | Agencies may inspect their scores and formulate a written appeal based on appeal policy. |
| Appeal Committee meets | Appeal Committee | Tuesday, October 26, 2021, Time TBD | Online/TBD | Review appeals and recalculate scores, if necessary. |
| Priority Listing is distributed to applicants | HomeBase | Tuesday, October 26, 2021 (by midnight) | Via e-mail | |
| October CoC Meeting | CoC | Wednesday, October 27, 2021 | United Way | CoC approves ranked list |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------|---|
| HomeBase reviews project applications | Homebase | October 1, 2021- November 5, 2021 | N/A | Homebase works with agencies to finalize project applications for submission. |
| Final Project Applications to e-snaps | Agencies | Friday, November 5, 2021 by 5:00 PM | Online | Agencies upload final applications to e-snaps, including all necessary attachments. |
| CoC Consolidated Application Posting | HomeBase | Wednesday, November 10, 2021 | Online | CoC consolidated application is posted on CoC website. |
| Application is due to HUD | Collaborative Applicant | Tuesday, November 16, 2021 | N/A | Application is packaged and submitted to HUD. |

FY2021 Continuum of Care Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW)

List of Eligible Renewal Projects

| Applicant Name | Project Name | Type | Leasing | Rental Assistance | Supportive Services | Operating costs | HMIS | Admin | Units | Total Grant Amount |
|--|--|------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-------|--------------------|
| Catholic Charities of Kansas City-St. Joseph, Inc. | St. Joseph (PH) 2019 | PH | \$161,995 | \$0 | \$49,170 | \$12,283 | \$0 | \$13,465 | 0 | \$236,913 |
| Catholic Charities of Kansas City-St. Joseph, Inc. | Home Plus (PH) 2019 | PH | \$267,671 | \$0 | \$64,777 | \$6,318 | \$0 | \$20,292 | 0 | \$359,058 |
| Community Missions Corporation | Juda House | PH | \$0 | \$0 | \$28,800 | \$75,450 | \$0 | \$5,551 | 0 | \$109,801 |
| Community Missions Corporation | St. Joseph's Haven | SH | \$0 | \$0 | \$31,800 | \$185,400 | \$0 | \$12,485 | 0 | \$229,685 |
| Institute for Community Alliances | St. Joseph HMIS Project | HMIS | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$42,254 | \$0 | 0 | \$42,254 |
| Missouri Department of Mental Health | 2019 SCJ - Shelter Plus Care St Joseph | PH | \$0 | \$287,304 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$14,978 | 30 | \$302,282 |

| Applicant Name | Project Name | Type | Leasing | Rental Assistance | Supportive Services | Operating costs | HMIS | Admin | Units | Total Grant Amount |
|---|---------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Young Women's Christian Association, St. Joseph, Missouri | Bliss Manor | PH | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,082 | \$58,503 | \$0 | \$3,418 | 0 | \$79,003 |
| Young Women's Christian Association, St. Joseph, Missouri | Bridges Combined | PH | \$0 | \$236,604 | \$10,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$21,633 | 23 | \$268,237 |

2021 Overview of the St Joseph CoC NOFA Process

PRE-NOFA: Creation of Process

- The NOFA Committee of the CoC meets to prepare a draft process and tools for the annual competition.
- The CoC reviews and approves the Committee's work.

PRE-NOFA: Renewal Projects Preparation

- Homebase collects APRs generated from HMIS or comparable database for victim services providers from each renewal applicant for the time period from April 1, 2020- March 31, 2021.
- Homebase enters performance data in its PProject Evaluation and Scoring TOol (PRESTO), including APR data and other information provided by both the applicant and HMIS lead agency.
- PRESTO project summaries will be included in the Review and Rank materials as a part of the local competition.
- Homebase distributes draft PRESTO program summaries to applicants for review and comment prior to using them in Rank and Review process.

New Projects Preparation

- Prior to NOFA release, the CoC circulates information about the potential to apply for new project funding, and offers technical assistance to interested agencies.
- After NOFA release, the CoC advertises the new project funding available in this CoC widely by:
 - Circulating information to CoC membership, and asking members to post at their agencies and share widely
 - Distributing information via AFL-CIO Community Services listserv (widely used in this community to advertise grant opportunities and other information, inclusive of 600+ individuals)
 - Posting information on the City's website and on the City's information channel.

AFTER NOFA RELEASE: All Projects Application Process

- The CoC hosts a CoC NOFA Kickoff Training to orient applicants to the process for reviewing and ranking applications, including supplemental local application materials, the scoring tools and relevant dates. Applicants will also have a chance to ask any questions about both the local and HUD application processes. Technical assistance will be available during the application preparation period.
- Qualified, non-conflicted Rank & Review Committee members are recruited. Homebase will make every effort to ensure membership includes: (a) persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and (b) at least one member with lived experience of homelessness, especially unsheltered homelessness, in the review, selection, and ranking process.
- Renewal applicants submit comments on draft PRESTO project summaries.
- All applicants submit HUD project applications and local application materials.
- At submission, if there is a lack of eligible new project applications compared to the amount of funding available, additional new project applications will be sought from the community.
- Rank & Review Committee members are oriented to the review and ranking process, scoring tools, CoC monitoring procedures, and PRESTO.
 - The CoC has a goal of improving St Joseph CoC's System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization and increasing resources available to end homelessness in our CoC. Certain scoring factors relate to specific System Performance Measures (SPM), as enumerated in each factor.
- Rank & Review Committee members receive all local application and scoring materials and review and score each program's application in PRESTO.
 - Committee members also review overall performance data of each project and provide feedback about annual performance as part of the CoC's monitoring process.
 - Rank & Review Committee members keep in mind that performance outcomes will naturally be lower in a more difficult-to-serve population (such as chronically homeless people and homeless people with mental and/or addictive illnesses).
- The Rank & Review Committee meet to jointly review project applications. Committee members individually score applications based on the scoring tools, and scores are aggregated to create a ranked list.

- New project applicants have a scheduled phone appointment with the Rank and Review Committee to answer any questions about their proposal.
 - **In 2021 Competition Only:** In the Rank and Review Committee's sole discretion, if a project's performance on any Scoring Factor was impacted by the pandemic and the impact was 1) outside the applicant's control and 2) is adequately described in the project's application materials, the Rank & Review Committee may adjust the project's score on that factor by increasing it one step in the scoring factor (e.g., if the scoring factor awards either 1, 3 or 5 points and the project scored a 1, the Committee may increase the project's score on the factor to 3, but not to 5).
 - The Rank & Review Committee determine if any renewal project should receive a decrease in funding due to substandard performance or utilization of funds. (See Reallocation Policies below.) Any funding captured from an existing project will be made available for reallocation to a new project that meets the requirements in the NOFA.
 - Any new project that is expanding a renewal project is scored and ranked like all other new projects, however after project selection and ranking, if the new project is not ranked below the renewal project on the priority list, it will be placed directly below the renewal project on the priority list.
 - Renewal HMIS projects are a necessary part of this CoC and are ranked at the bottom of Tier 1 above the straddling project.
 - Renewal projects that are newly operating and have not yet submitted an APR are ranked at the bottom of Tier 1 above the HMIS project.
 - New projects are ranked in renewal project list according to priority order as determined by Rank & Review Committee.
- Ranked list and scoring results will be sent to applicants with a reminder of the appeals process.
 - Appeals, if any, will be considered. (See appeals policy below).
 - Depending on timing, a final ranked project list is presented to the CoC for discussion and approval at its next meeting or will be submitted to the CoC electronically, the CoC will discuss, and non-conflicted members will approve it via e-survey.
 - Homebase provides technical assistance to all ranked applications to ensure that applications meet HUD technical standards.

- Homebase collects all final Project Applications and prepares them for submission to HUD, along with the Priority Listing, as part of the CoC's Consolidated Application.

Reallocation Policies

Reallocation Policy for Unexpended Funds

The CoC, Executive Committee and/or Rank & Review Committee will work with grantees that show a history of not expending the full amount of HUD funding are subject to an annual reallocation

process as part of the NOFA Rank and Review committee. Specifically: If a program has 10 percent or greater of HUD funds remaining at the end of the program year and has established a 3 year trend of having 10 percent or greater of HUD funds remaining at the end of the program year, the Rank & Review Committee in conjunction with the Executive Committee reserves the right to reallocate a portion of the funds from that program to another program or a new project.

Reallocation for Underperforming Programs

The CoC, Executive Committee and/or Rank & Review Committee will work with grantees that have been deemed to be underperforming or have had HUD Monitoring findings that call into question the project's ability to meet performance or financial management standards. The CoC will assess the project and set up goals and objectives to bring a failing project up to standards and/or answer any HUD findings. If the agency is unable or unwilling to meet standards or satisfy HUD findings, the CoC will work with the funding agency to mitigate the findings. If the project continues to underperform, cannot meet the stated objectives and goals or cannot comply with HUD findings then that project will be recommended for Reallocation in the next HUD NOFA process.

Appeals Process

The Rank & Review Committee reviews all applications and ranks them for funding recommendations to HUD. Applicants may appeal the decision by following the process set forth below. All appeals must be based on the information submitted by the application due date. No new or additional information will be considered. Omissions to the application cannot be appealed. The decision of the Appeal Panel will be final.

Who May Appeal

A project may appeal if the Rank & Review Committee recommends a renewal project for full or partial reallocation or for Tier 2, or if a new project is not selected for funding.

Initiating a Formal Appeal

Any agency desiring to appeal must contact the CoC Chair by the date and time on the CoC NOFA Process and Timeline to state its intent to appeal of the Rank & Review Committee's decision regarding their rank. The Formal Appeal must consist of a short, clear, written statement (no longer than 1 page) of the agency's appeal of the Rank & Review Committee's decision. The statement can be in the form of a letter, a memo, or an email transmittal. The Formal Appeal must be transmitted to Collaborative Applicant (or its designee). ***The Formal Appeal must be emailed or delivered so that it is received by the deadline.***

The Formal Appeal Process

Upon timely receipt of the Formal Appeal, an Appeal Panel will be formed as described below. The Appeal Panel may make inquiries of the Rank & Review Committee members concerning the issues raised in the appeal. The Appeal Panel will meet by telephone or video conference with a representative(s) of the party making the appeal to discuss the issue(s) at an Appeal Hearing set at a date taking in consideration the date the application is due to be filed with HUD. The Collaborative Applicant and/or Appeal Panel may consider the possible effect their decision may have on another agency's rank and contact potentially affected agencies to invite them to become involved in the appeal process and hearing. The decision of the Appeal Panel will be final.

Members of the Appeal Panel

A 3-member Appeal Panel will be selected and can consist of individuals from non-profits, foundations, consumers, government, and private agencies and similar organizations. Representatives will not have a conflict of interest with any of the agencies or parties applying for CoC Program funding as defined by the existing Review and Rank Panel conflict of interest rules.

St Joseph CoC

2021 Prioritization Scoring Sheet For New Housing Projects

Threshold Requirements

If any of the HUD threshold requirements or local threshold requirements are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

- A. **Eligible:** Is this project eligible for funding? Is the applicant eligible to receive CoC funding (i.e. valid applicant type, SAM registered, has DUNS number, no outstanding delinquent federal debt, has fully disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law, and no debarments/suspensions)? Is the project type eligible for funding under this CoC NOFA and this CoC's local competition rules? Is the project consistent with the local Consolidated Plan? Will the project be ready to start by HUD's statutory deadlines? Does this project propose to serve an eligible population, with eligible costs? Does the project have adequate match?
 - a. Homebase will be available to help panelists complete this assessment.

- B. **Low Barrier and Housing First:** Was the agency able to check off all boxes for "Low Barrier" and "Housing First Approach" box in the project application? Were the project application narratives aligned with Housing First practices?

- C. **HMIS Participation:** Does the agency currently participate, or has it acknowledged and agreed to participate, in the HMIS of the CoC or comparable database if a DV provider?

- D. **Coordinated Entry Participation:** Does agency actively participate in or will participate in the COC Coordinated Intake meetings? Does the agency have an adequate strategy detailing how they will use Coordinated Entry?

- E. **Program Capacity - Financial:** Does the applicant have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did the applicant provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

- F. **Projects serving survivors of domestic violence** must demonstrate trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches.

Scoring Factors¹

Community Needs (30 points)

1. **Unmet Need** (5 points)
 - Will the project address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC?
 - For DV projects, can the project quantify need?

2. **Does the Agency Participate in the CoC or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness?** (10 points)
 - Has the agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020-August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness?

3. **Bonus Points for Community Need** (up to 15 points total)
 - If the project submitted is a priority project type and/or has a priority project design, the project will be awarded 15 bonus points.

Priority Project Types in St Joseph in 2021: housing for chronically homeless people, housing to meet gap in crisis housing, permanent supportive housing, or HMIS

Priority Project Design in St Joseph in 2021: Project is designed to address community needs identified in the 2021 CoC Action Plan, which may include:

- Including Behavioral Health services included as part of budget,
- Providing Housing Specialist to do landlord engagement, or
- Creating a case management ratio of 1:15 or less.

¹ All of the scoring factors in this tool measure projects' anticipated contribution to improving System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization and increasing resources available to end homelessness our CoC. Certain scoring factors relate to specific Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

Improving System Performance (60 points)

4. **Population Served** (10 points) (related to SPM 1, 7)
 - Is the population to be served well defined?
 - Will the project prioritize serving project participants with the highest need?
 - Does the applicant or subrecipients (if any) have experience serving the targeted population (including if DV)?
 - Does the applicant or subrecipients (if any) understand the needs of the clients to be served?
 - To what extent will the program be able to effectively serve eligible clients of different backgrounds, experiences, cultures, abilities, and language proficiencies?

5. **Program Design** (10 points) (related to SPM 2, 3, 7b)
 - Will at least 25 percent of the units (PSH) or participants (RRH) will be supported with non-CoC funded housing?
 - Does the project leverage healthcare resources to support program participants, as evidenced by a written commitment from a health care organization that equals 25% of the funding requested?
 - Will the project meet the needs of program participants?
 - Do the services described and staffing pattern seem adequate and appropriate?
 - Will staff be adequately trained to support the population?
 - Does the applicant or subrecipients (if any) have experience providing housing similar to that proposed in the application? For DV projects, will project meet safety outcomes? Please identify how you measure improved safety, why that measure is effective, and provide data on past performance using your suggested measure(s).

6. **Outreach** (5 points) (related to SPM 1, 4, 5, 7)
 - Does the agency adequately describe a strategy to outreach to special populations that may include LGBT, unaccompanied youth, or families?
 - How will the program ensure clients receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed?
 - Does the agency strategy affirmatively further fair housing by providing outreach to special populations?
 - Does the agency provide clear strategies that affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c) (see below), and ensure that outreach is

conducted to homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach?

7. System Performance Improvement: Reduce Length of Time People are Homeless (10 points) (SPM 1)

- If PSH: Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to reduce barriers to housing? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to provide ongoing services? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to partner with property management?
- If RRH: Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to identify, recruit and retain landlords? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to reduce barriers to housing? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to administer rent and move-in assistance?
- Agency will provide data about past performance reducing the length of time people are homeless. Data will be compared to renewal project scoring tool scales which are based on past CoC performance and national performance.

8. System Performance Improvement: Increase Exits to/Maintenance of Permanent Housing (10 points) (SPM 2, 7)

- Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to provide supportive services to clients to assist in locating housing and obtaining employment and/or benefits?
- Does the agency strategy emphasize client choice?
- Agency will provide data about past performance increasing exits to or maintenance of permanent housing. Data will be compared to renewal project scoring tool scales which are based on past CoC performance and national performance.

9. System Performance Improvement: Limit Returns to Homelessness (10 points) (SPM 2)

- Does the agency have an adequate strategy for follow up after placement or program exit?
- Agency will provide data about past performance reducing returns to homelessness. Data will be compared to renewal project scoring tool scales which are based on past CoC performance and national performance.

10. Equity (5 points)

- Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

Agency Capacity (25 points)

11. Program Capacity (15 points)

- Does the applicant have the expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure needed to meet all administrative requirements?
- Does the agency have experience operating similar programs and receiving similar grants, specifically federal grants?
- Does the agency have a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities?

12. Agency Compliance (10 points)

- Does the agency have any outstanding financial audit findings or concerns?
- Does the agency have any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues? If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 115 Points

This portion of the document will be emailed to all interested parties in Word Format.

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

NEW Housing Project Submission Checklist

| |
|-------------------------------|
| AGENCY: |
| PROJECT NAME: |
| CONTACT PERSON'S NAME: |
| PHONE: |
| E-MAIL: |

ON OR BEFORE NOON ON OCTOBER 1, 2021:

Please e-mail each of the documents listed below to bridget@homebaseccc.org

LOCAL DOCUMENTS

| | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | This Submission Checklist and Local Application Materials for New Housing Projects form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Current Audit (covering a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January 2019) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Current 990 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If no findings, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Only if unresolved HUD monitoring findings and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD: Written communication between HUD and the project |

HUD DOCUMENTS

| | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | e-snaps HUD Project Application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | e-snaps Applicant Profile |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If not applicable, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Applicant Code of Conduct (if not already on HUD's website) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If not applicable, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-profit documentation showing applicant and subrecipient eligibility |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If not applicable, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Only if requesting indirect costs and agency has negotiated an approved indirect cost rate: Approved Indirect Cost Rate Proposal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Match letters demonstrating eligible match for the project of at least 25% (and housing or healthcare support, as applicable) |

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

Local Application Materials for New Housing Projects

New project applicants should respond to the following questions and submit this form with their other materials by **October 1, 2021 at noon** to bridget@homebaseccc.org. Please note that reviewers will score your project based on this this document together with your HUD Project Application.

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Agency | |
| Project | |
| Contact Name | |
| Contact Telephone | |
| Contact Email | |

General Project Information

1. What type of project are you applying for?
 - Permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless people or DedicatedPLUS
 - Rapid rehousing
 - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing Component project
 - Supportive Services Only for Coordinated Entry projects
2. Which funding are you applying for? (Please check all that apply.)
 - Bonus funding
 - Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus funding
 - Reallocated funding
3. What population do you intend to serve with this project?
 - Chronically homeless
 - Survivors of domestic violence
 - Other: _____
 - Other: _____

Threshold Requirements

Please check each box to confirm that the following statements regarding eligibility for receiving CoC funding from HUD are true:

A. ALL PROJECTS:

- The applying agency is eligible to receive CoC Program funding.
- Our agency can demonstrate financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project and to administer Federal funds.
- This proposal will serve an eligible population for the project type, as designated by the CoC Program Interim Rule and the NOFA.
- This project is cost-effective, with costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for similar project activities.
- This project will participate in HMIS (except for victim service providers who must use a comparable database).
- This project adheres to a Housing First model.
- Project applicants and potential subrecipients with existing grants have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s), as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings. If project applicant and subrecipients have no exiting grants, check here .
- For expansion projects, project applicants have clearly articulated the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants have clearly demonstrated that they are not replacing other funding sources. If not an expansion project, check here .
- This project will meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.
- The project applicant has no significant issues related to capacity, performance, or unresolved audit/monitoring finding related to one or more existing HUD grants. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on a prior CoC grant. If project applicant and subrecipients have no exiting grants, check here .
- The project applicant has a DUNS number and active registration in SAM
- This proposal is consistent with the local Consolidated Plan.
- Agency has no issues with any of the following Federal requirements:
 - Resolution of Civil Rights Matters

- Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts
- Debarments and/or Suspensions
- Pre-selection Review of Performance
- Sufficiency of Financial Management System
- False Statements
- Mandatory Disclosure Requirement
- Conducting Business in Accordance with Ethical Standards/Code of Conduct
- Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities
- Equal Protection for Faith-based and Community Organizations

FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING AND RAPID REHOUSING PROJECTS:

- The type of housing, number, and configuration of units in this proposal will fit the needs of the program participants (e.g., two or more bedrooms for families.)
- The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source.
- The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education).
- In this proposal, participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing).

FOR JOINT TH/PH-RRH COMPONENT PROJECTS:

- The type of housing, number, and configuration of units in this proposal will fit the needs of the program participants (e.g., two or more bedrooms for families).
- The proposed project will provide enough rapid re-housing assistance to ensure that at any given time a program participant may move from transitional housing to permanent housing. This may be demonstrated by identifying a budget that has twice as many resources for the rapid re-housing portion of the project than the TH portion, by having twice as many PH-RRH units at a point in time as TH

units, or by demonstrating that the budget and units are appropriate for the population being served by the project.

- The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source.
- The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education).
- In this proposal, participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing).

FOR SSO-CES PROJECTS:

- The centralized or coordinated assessment system is easily available/reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.
- There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach homeless persons with the highest barriers within the CoC's geographic area.
- There is a standardized assessment process.
- Ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.

THRESHOLD EXPLANATION: If you are unable to check one of the boxes above, please provide an explanation (one page limit).

LOCAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL PROJECTS:

Please complete the questions below regarding local project eligibility requirements:

B. Low Barrier and Housing First: Will this project operate using a Low Barrier and Housing First model?

Yes **No**

C. HMIS Participation: Does your agency currently participate, or do you acknowledge and agree to participate, in the HMIS of the CoC (or comparable database if a DV provider)?

Yes **No**

D. Coordinated Entry Participation: Does your agency actively participate in or will your agency participate in the CoC Coordinated Intake meetings?

Yes **No**

E. Program Capacity - Financial Audit: Does your agency have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did your agency provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

Yes **No**

F. For projects serving survivors of domestic violence, do you use trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches?

Yes **No** **Not Applicable**

Scoring Factors

The page limit for the Scoring Factors section of the application is 5 pages in total, with 1 inch margins and at least 11 point font. You may number your answers rather than repeat the full question.

Community Needs

- 1. Unmet Need:** As applicable, describe how the project will address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC. (For DV projects, quantify need for this project.)
- 2. CoC Participation:** Has your agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020 to August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? Please describe community activities in detail.
- 3. Bonus points for community need:** Please describe how your community meets a project priority type or priority project design as described in the Scoring Sheet for New Projects.

Improving System Performance

- 4. Population Served:** Please describe the population to be served, including how the project will prioritize serving project participants with the highest need. Describe your agency's (and any subrecipient) experience with serving this population and how you understand the needs of the clients to be served, including eligible clients of different backgrounds, experiences, cultures, abilities, and language proficiencies.
- 5. Program Design:** Please describe your program design (especially any elements that are not clear in the HUD Project Application form), including specifically:
 - If at least 25 percent of the units (PSH) or participants (RRH) will be supported with non-CoC funded housing (and be sure to attach a match letter documenting this support)
 - If the project will leverage healthcare resources to support program participants equal to at least 25% of funding requested (and be sure to attach a match letter documenting this support)
 - How the project will meet the needs of program participants,
 - How the services described and staffing pattern will be adequate and appropriate,

- How staff will be adequately trained to support the population,
- Any experience your (and your subrecipient) have providing housing similar to that proposed in the application, and
- For DV projects, how the project will meet safety outcomes. Please identify how you measure improved safety, why that measure is effective, and provide data on past performance using your suggested measure(s).

6. Outreach: Please describe your project's outreach strategy, including specifically:

- Your strategy to outreach to special populations that may include LGBT, unaccompanied youth, or families,
- Your strategy to ensure reasonable accommodation,
- Your strategy to affirmatively further fair housing by providing outreach to special populations,
- Your strategy to affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c), and ensure that outreach is conducted to homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach.

7. System Performance Improvement: Reduce Length of Time People are Homeless

- If proposing a **PSH** project, please describe your strategies:
 - To reduce barriers to housing,
 - To provide ongoing services, and
 - To partner with property management.
- If proposing a **RRH** or **TH-RRH** project, please describe your strategies:
 - To identify, recruit and retain landlords,
 - To reduce barriers to housing, and
 - To administer rent and move-in assistance.
- If proposing CE project, please describe all of the above.
- For all project types, please provide data about past performance reducing the length of time people are homeless. If you are a current CoC recipient, you may refer us to your other project's project data.

8. System Performance Improvement: Increase Exits to/Maintenance of Permanent Housing. Please describe your strategies to:

- Provide supportive services to clients to assist in locating housing and obtaining employment and/or benefits
- Emphasize client choice
- Please provide data about past performance increasing exits to or maintenance of permanent housing. If you are a current CoC recipient, you may refer us to your other project's project data.

9. System Performance Improvement: Limit Returns to Homelessness

- Please describe your strategy for follow up after placement or program exit.
- Please provide data about past performance reducing returns to homelessness. If you are a current CoC recipient, you may refer us to your other project's project data.

10. Equity: Has your agency or this project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have you taken or will you take to eliminate the identified barriers?

Agency Capacity

11. Program Capacity: Please describe how your agency's expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure will allow this project all administrative requirements, including any experience your agency has operating similar programs and receiving similar grants and a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities.

12. Agency Compliance. *This scoring factor will be scored in part based on the audit you submit.*

- Does your agency any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues?

Yes **No**

- If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

St Joseph CoC

2021 Prioritization Scoring Sheet For New HMIS Projects

Threshold Requirements

If any of the HUD threshold requirements or local threshold requirements are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

- A. **Eligible:** Is this project eligible for funding? Is the applicant eligible to receive CoC funding (i.e. valid applicant type, SAM registered, has DUNS number, no outstanding delinquent federal debt, has fully disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law, and no debarments/suspensions)? Is the project type eligible for funding under this CoC NOFA and this CoC's local competition rules? Is the project consistent with the local Consolidated Plan? Will the project be ready to start by HUD's statutory deadlines? Does this project propose to serve an eligible population, with eligible costs? Does the project have adequate match?
 - a. Homebase will be available to help panelists complete this assessment.
- B. **HUD HMIS Compliance:** Will the proposed project consistent with HUD's Data and Technical Standards and with the CoC's HMIS policies?
- C. **Coordinated Entry Participation:** Will the proposed project be compatible with and accessible to the Coordinated Entry system? Does the agency have an adequate strategy detailing how they will interact with Coordinated Entry?
- D. **Program Capacity - Financial:** Does the applicant have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did the applicant provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?
- E. **Projects serving survivors of domestic violence** must demonstrate trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches.

Community Needs (30 points)

1. **Unmet Need** (5 points)
 - Will the project address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC?
 - For DV projects, can the project quantify need?

2. **Does the Agency Participate in the CoC or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness?** (10 points)
 - Has the agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020-August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness?

3. **Bonus Points for Community Need** (up to 15 points total)
 - If the project submitted is a priority project type and/or has a priority project design, the project will be awarded 15 bonus points.

Priority Project Types in St Joseph in 2021: housing for chronically homeless people, housing to meet gap in crisis housing, permanent supportive housing, or HMIS

Priority Project Design in St Joseph in 2021: Project is designed to address community needs identified in the 2021 CoC Action Plan, which may include:

- Including Behavioral Health services included as part of budget,
- Providing Housing Specialist to do landlord engagement, or
- Creating a case management ratio of 1:15 or less.

² All of the scoring factors in this tool measure projects' anticipated contribution to improving System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization and increasing resources available to end homelessness our CoC. Certain scoring factors relate to specific Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

Contributions to System Performance (60 points)

An HMIS that will support accurate, complete, and timely data collection and analysis by the CoC will improve system performance. These scoring factors are intended to determine if the proposed HMIS project will support this goal.

4. Design: Will CoC funding improve the accuracy, timeliness, scope, or completeness of the community's data? (15 points)

Consider:

- Will the project increase HMIS capacity and functionality? For example, will the project bring HMIS to new projects that were not previously using HMIS or provide additional training that is expected to improve data quality?
- Has the agency indicated its intent to improve agency and CoC access to data in a form that can be analyzed and assist the Continuum of Care in assessing homeless needs, allocating resources, and coordinating services?

5. Data Quality. Will the project ensure data quality for the homeless system? (20 points)

Consider:

- Does the HMIS collect all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards?
- Does the HMIS have a data quality plan or strategy that will ensure accuracy and completeness for data elements that feed into SPMs such as entry and exit data (SPM 1, 2, 7) and that ensures consistent and accurate input of income date including frequency, etc. (SPM 4)?
- Will the reports produced for the Continuum of Care and for HUD be useful and satisfactory to meet the requirements for the CoC obligations (AHAR, PIT, HIC, APR, CAPER, etc.)?
- Will the HMIS produce reports needed for other Federal agencies (HUD, VA, HHS)?
- Does the HMIS un-duplicate client records?
- Has the agency indicated that the data quality for the system will be within an acceptable range?

6. Data Security. Has the agency demonstrated, through past performance, the ability to manage confidential and critical data? (10 points)

Consider:

- Does the agency have a staff person responsible for ensuring the implementation meets all security standards as required by HUD and the federal partners?
- Does the agency have a specific plan for monitoring traffic on the database and appropriately addressing potential threats and/or suspicious log entries?
- Does the agency have a specific plan for training providers on how to keep the database secure?
- Does the agency have a process in place to remove community members who no longer need access to HMIS (e.g., leave their job, fired, etc.) quickly?

7. Training. Has the agency indicated its intent to conduct trainings and otherwise assist projects to move into compliance with HUD HMIS Data Standards? (10 points)

Consider:

- The types of training proposed
- The frequency of training proposed
- Past performance (in this or other CoCs) related to satisfaction from training participants.
 - Minimum Objective: 65% satisfaction from training participants.

8. Data Access. Will the proposed project be accessible to users? (5 points)

Consider:

- Is the HMIS set up in a way that appropriate providers can run required reports independently?
- Is the HMIS system available at least 360 days a year with minimal down time?
- Do CoC requests for HMIS information and changes get met as requested within a reasonable time? Please provide data on response rates and timelines.
 - Minimum Objective: 90% response rate; within 5 business days.
- How will the HMIS provider respond to CoC requests for data?

Agency Capacity (25 points)

9. Program Capacity (10 points)

- Does the applicant have the expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure needed to meet all administrative requirements?
- Does the agency have experience operating similar programs and receiving similar grants, specifically federal grants?
- Does the agency have a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities?

10. Agency Compliance (10 points)

- Does the agency have any outstanding financial audit findings or concerns?
- Does the agency have any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues? If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

11. Equity (5 points)

- Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 115 Points

This portion of the document will be emailed to all interested parties in Word Format.

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

NEW HMIS PROJECT Submission Checklist

| |
|-------------------------------|
| AGENCY: |
| PROJECT NAME: |
| CONTACT PERSON'S NAME: |
| PHONE: |
| E-MAIL: |

ON OR BEFORE NOON ON OCTOBER 1, 2021:

Please e-mail each of the documents listed below to bridget@homebaseccc.org

LOCAL DOCUMENTS

| | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | This Submission Checklist and Local Application Materials for New HMIS Projects form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Current Audit (covering a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January 2019) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Current 990 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If no findings, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Only if unresolved HUD monitoring findings and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD: Written communication between HUD and the project |

HUD DOCUMENTS

| | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | e-snaps HUD Project Application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | e-snaps Applicant Profile |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If not applicable, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Applicant Code of Conduct (if not already on HUD's website) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If not applicable, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-profit documentation showing applicant and subrecipient eligibility |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If not applicable, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Only if requesting indirect costs and agency has negotiated an approved indirect cost rate: Approved Indirect Cost Rate Proposal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Match letters demonstrating eligible match for the project of at least 25% |

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

Local Application Materials for New HMIS Projects

New project applicants should respond to the following questions and submit this form with their other materials by **October 1, 2021 at noon** to bridget@homebaseccc.org. Please note that reviewers will score your project based on this this document together with your HUD Project Application.

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Agency | |
| Project | |
| Contact Name | |
| Contact Telephone | |
| Contact Email | |

General Project Information

4. Which funding are you applying for? (Please check all that apply.)
- Bonus funding
 - Reallocated funding

Threshold Requirements

- A. **Eligible:** Please check each box to confirm that the following statements regarding eligibility for receiving CoC funding from HUD are true:
- The applying agency is eligible to receive CoC Program funding.
 - Our agency can demonstrate financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project and to administer Federal funds.
 - This proposal will serve an eligible population for the project type, as designated by the CoC Program Interim Rule and the NOFA.
 - This project is cost-effective, with costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for similar project activities.
 - Project applicants and potential subrecipients with existing grants have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s), as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and

timely resolution of any monitoring findings. If project applicant and subrecipients have no existing grants, check here .

For expansion projects, project applicants have clearly articulated the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants have clearly demonstrated that they are not replacing other funding sources. If not an expansion project, check here .

This project will meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.

The project applicant has no significant issues related to capacity, performance, or unresolved audit/monitoring finding related to one or more existing HUD grants. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on a prior CoC grant. If project applicant and subrecipients have no existing grants, check here .

The project applicant has a DUNS number and active registration in SAM

This proposal is consistent with the local Consolidated Plan.

Agency has no issues with any of the following Federal requirements:

- Resolution of Civil Rights Matters
- Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts
- Debarments and/or Suspensions
- Pre-selection Review of Performance
- Sufficiency of Financial Management System
- False Statements
- Mandatory Disclosure Requirement
- Conducting Business in Accordance with Ethical Standards/Code of Conduct
- Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities
- Equal Protection for Faith-based and Community Organizations

HMIS funds will be expended in a way that is consistent with the CoC's funding strategy for the HMIS and furthers the CoC's HMIS implementation.

The HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards.

The HMIS un-duplicates client records.

The HMIS produces all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, data for CAPER/ESG reporting) and other reports required by other federal partners.

THRESHOLD EXPLANATION: If you are unable to check one of the boxes above, please provide an explanation (one page limit).

LOCAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL PROJECTS:

Please complete the questions below regarding local project eligibility requirements:

B. **HUD HMIS Compliance:** The proposed project is consistent with HUD’s Data and Technical Standards and with the CoC’s HMIS policies.

Yes **No**

C. **Coordinated Entry Participation:** Will the proposed project be compatible with and accessible to the Coordinated Entry system? Does your agency have an adequate strategy detailing how you will interact with Coordinated Entry?

Yes **No**

D. **Program Capacity - Financial Audit:** Does your agency have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did your agency provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

Yes **No**

E. **For projects serving survivors of domestic violence,** do you use trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches?

Yes **No** **Not Applicable**

Scoring Factors

The page limit for the Scoring Factors section of the application is 5 pages in total, with 1 inch margins and at least 11 point font. You may number your answers rather than repeat the full question.

Community Needs

- 13. Unmet Need:** As applicable, describe how the project will address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC. (For DV projects, quantify need for this project.)
- 14. CoC Participation:** Has your agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020 to August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? Please describe community activities in detail.
- 15. Bonus points for community need:** Please describe how your community meets a project priority type or priority project design as described in the Scoring Sheet for New Projects.

Contributions to System Performance

- 16. Design:** Describe how CoC funding will improve the accuracy, timeliness, scope, or completeness of the community's data. Consider describing how the project will increase HMIS capacity and/or functionality or how it will improve agency and CoC access to data and assist the CoC in assessing homeless needs, allocating resources, and coordinating services.
- 17. Data Quality.** Describe how the project will ensure data quality for the homeless system. Please include:
- What data elements are collected
 - The data quality plan or strategy to ensure accuracy and completeness
 - The reports produced for the CoC and for HUD
 - The reports produced for other Federal agencies
 - Ability to un-duplicate records
 - What the data quality for the system will be.

18. Data Security. Describe how your agency has demonstrated, through past performance, the ability to manage confidential and critical data. Please include information about:

- Agency staffing for ensuring all required Federal security standards are met
- Your agency’s plan for monitoring traffic on the database and appropriately addressing potential threats and/or suspicious log entries
- Your agency’s plan for training providers on how to keep the database secure
- Your agency’s process to remove community members who no longer need access to HMIS (e.g., leave their job, fired, etc.) quickly?

19. Training. Describe how your agency will conduct trainings and otherwise assist projects to move into compliance with HUD HMIS Data Standards, including type and frequency of trainings. Please include information about past performance (in this or other CoCs) related to satisfaction from training participants.

20. Data Access. Describe how the proposed project will be accessible to users, including: how providers will be able to run required reports independently, system availability, how you will respond to CoC requests for data, and data about your agency’s response rate and time to respond to CoC requests for HMIS information and changes.

Agency Capacity

21. Program Capacity: Please describe how your agency’s expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure will allow this project all administrative requirements, including any experience your agency has operating similar programs and receiving similar grants and a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities.

22. Agency Compliance. *This scoring factor will be scored in part based on the audit you submit.*

- Does your agency any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues?

Yes **No**

- If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

23. Equity: Has your agency or this project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have you taken or will you take to eliminate the identified barriers?

St Joseph CoC

2021 Prioritization Scoring Sheet for Renewal Projects

Threshold Requirements

Project must meet all of HUD’s threshold requirements for renewal projects (including eligibility requirements). If threshold requirements are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

Local Threshold Requirement:

- **PARTICIPATION IN THE COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM (CES) including that** the agency is currently participating in the implementation of CES at the monthly meetings and is both accepting all referrals from CES and currently doing vulnerability assessments and entering them into the HMIS system (or bringing them to the monthly CES meeting)

Scoring Factors

In the 2021 Competition Only: In the Rank and Review Committee’s sole discretion, if a project’s performance on any Scoring Factor was impacted by the pandemic and the impact was 1) outside the applicant’s control and 2) is adequately described in the project’s application materials, the Rank & Review Committee may adjust the project’s score on that factor by increasing it one step in the scoring factor (e.g., if the scoring factor awards either 1, 3 or 5 points and the project scored a 1, the Committee may increase the project’s score on the factor to 3, but not to 5).

Capacity and Compliance (37 points)

1. **AGENCY CAPACITY:** Does the agency currently have any findings from a HUD monitoring review that have been open for a period greater than six months, for which the agency has missed a HUD-stated deadline or has the agency experienced HUD sanctions in the past year? - ***This section is worth up to 6 points***
 - If the agency does not have open findings or sanctions, add 6 points
 - If the agency has an open finding, but has submitted a plan for corrective action to HUD, add 3 points

- If no plan has been submitted or the agency has sanctions, 0 points and see reallocation policy

2. HMIS COMPLIANCE (related to all SPM)- *This section is worth up to 6 points*

- If the agency currently in compliance with HMIS (as determined by HMIS lead), add 2 points
- If the agency has been in compliance with HMIS (as determined by HMIS lead) for the past year (12 months prior to release of NOFA), add 2 points
- DV projects: if agency is in compliant with HUD requirements for compliant database, add 4 points.
- If HIC data was accurate and submitted on time, add 2 points

3. CoC PARTICIPATION & SUPPORT: Does the Agency Participate in the CoC?- *This section is worth 5 points*

- If the agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings (12 months prior to release of NOFA), add 5 points.

4. LOW BARRIER AND HOUSING FIRST FOR ALL HOUSING PROGRAMS (related to SPM 1, 3, 7): *This section is worth up to 10 points based on application and APR information*

- Was the agency able to check off all boxes for low barrier and project termination allowing the agency to qualify for "Housing First" compliance in the project application?
- Does the program description in the renewal application have any elements or language that would be in conflict with Housing First and Low Barrier housing policies?
- Residence prior to entry indicates low barrier/Housing First practices

5. UNEXPENDED FUNDS: Amount left from Previous Grant- *This section is worth up to 10 points*

- If the agency expended all HUD grant funds and made at least quarterly draw downs, add 10 points. The project will lose two points for every percent of the grant remaining. If 5% or more, 0 points will be awarded. If quarterly draw downs are not made, reduce final score by 2 points, without incurring a negative score. *Please note- if the program had greater than 10% of funds

remaining at the end of the program year, and has established a 3 year trend of not expending funds, the review committee reserves the right to reallocate a portion of the funds.

Performance and Outcomes (80 points)

6. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 2, 7)- Housing- *This section is worth up to 20 points*

Permanent Supportive Housing/Safe Haven/Rapid Rehousing: Housing Destination Upon Exit (*The percentage of all leavers who either passed away or exited the program to a permanent housing destination*)

- If 90% or more of those leaving went to positive destinations (i.e., permanent housing and death) upon leaving, add 10 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 8 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 6 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 4 points
- If between 70% and 74.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 2 points

Rapid Rehousing: Length of Stay

- If the average length of stay is less than 180 days, add 10 points
- If the average length of stay is less than 360 days, add 7 points
- If the average length of stay is less than 540 days, add 4 points.

Permanent Supportive Housing: Permanent Housing Retention or Exit

- If 95% or more of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 10 points

- If between 90 and 94.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 8 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 6 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 4 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 2 points

Safe Haven: Positive Housing Outcome

- If more than 90% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 10 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 8 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 6 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 4 points
- If between 70% and 75.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 2 points

7. **SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Earned Income for Stayers** (*The percentage of adults who remained in the program who maintained the same amount of employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their employment income from entry to their most recent annual assessment*) -**This section is worth up to 3 points**

- If the agency had 15% or greater of adult stayers that maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 3 points
- If at least 7% but less than 15% of adults that maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 1.5 points

- If less than 7% of adults maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), 0 points

8. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Earned Income for Leavers (*The percentage of adults who exited the program who maintained the same amount of employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their employment income from entry to exit*) - ***This section is worth up to 3 points***

- If the agency had 27% or greater of adults that maintained or increased earned income, add 3 points
- If at least 19% but less than 27% of adults that maintained or increased earned income, add 1.5 points
- If less than 19% of adults maintained or increased earned income, 0 points

9. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Non-Employment Cash Income for Stayers (*The percentage of adults who remained in the program who maintained the same amount of non-employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their non-employment income from entry to their most recent annual assessment*) - ***This section is worth up to 3 points***

- If the agency had 26% or greater of adult stayers that maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 3 points
- If at least 18% but less than 26% of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 1.5 points
- If less than 18% of adults maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), 0 points

10. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Non-Employment Cash Income for Leavers (*The percentage of adults who exited the program who maintained the same amount of non-employment income (excluding \$0 at entry) or increased their non-employment income from entry to exit*) - ***This section is worth up to 3 points***

- If the agency had 30% or greater of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income, add 3 points

- If at least 22% but less than 30% of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income, add 1.5 points
- If less than 22% of adults maintained or increased non-employment cash income, 0 points

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 2, 7b)- Mainstream Benefits (not including health insurance) (The percentage of adults with at least one non cash benefit at exit or follow up assessment (excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment)- This section worth up to 8 points

- If at least 85% of the participants receive non-cash mainstream benefits, add 8 points
- If between 60% and 85% of participants receive non-cash mainstream benefits, add 4 points

12. SEVERITY OF NEEDS-This section is worth up to 10 points

- If at program entry, more than 50% of adults have no earned or unearned income, add 5 points
- If the program serves other populations with severe needs or vulnerabilities with strong outcomes, add 5 points. Such populations may include:
 - Current or past substance use,
 - Criminal record (esp for certain infractions),
 - Survivor of domestic violence,
 - LGBTQ status,
 - Significant health or behavioral health challenges that impede housing maintenance,
 - High utilization of crisis/emergency services,
 - Unsheltered youth/children,
 - Vulnerability to illness/death,
 - Vulnerability to victimization (e.g. trafficking, sex work).

For example, projects serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, trafficking, stalking, or other persons that meet the definition of homeless in Category 4, must show they improve safety for the population they serve by reflecting that at least 90% have a safety plan or report increased safety, at least 85% access or maintain housing, and at least 18% have income.

13. Cost Effectiveness- Cost Per Service- *This section is worth up to 2 points*

- Is the cost per permanent housing outcome consistent with the type of programming provided? Add 1 point
- Is the cost per household served consistent with the type of programming provided? Add 1 point

14. Chronic Prioritization and Dedication- *This section is worth up to 2 points*

- If 90-100% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, add 2 points
- If 75-90% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, add 1 point
- If less than 75% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, 0 points

15. Utilization Rate (related to SPM 1, 3)- *This section is worth up to 4 points*

- If the average daily bed utilization rate is more than 90%, add 2 points
- If the average daily bed utilization rate is between 80% and 90%, add 1 point
- If the average unit utilization rate is more than 90%, add 2 points
- If the average unit utilization rate is between 80% and 90%, add 1 point

16. 2021 CoC Action Plan - *This section is worth up to 10 points.*

- Has this applicant taken steps to incorporate the priorities from 2021 CoC Action Plan into the agency's activities and planning?

17. Equity - *This section is worth up to 5 points.*

- Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 110

This portion of the document will be emailed to all interested parties in Word Format.

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

RENEWAL PROJECT Submission Checklist

| |
|-------------------------------|
| AGENCY: |
| PROJECT NAME: |
| CONTACT PERSON'S NAME: |
| PHONE: |
| E-MAIL: |
| FAX: |

ON OR BEFORE 5:00 PM ON SEPTEMBER 27, 2021:

Submit comments on draft project evaluations (PRESTO reports) for your project to bridget@homebaseccc.org

ON OR BEFORE NOON ON OCTOBER 1, 2021:

Please e-mail each of the documents listed below to bridget@homebaseccc.org

LOCAL DOCUMENTS

| | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | This Submission Checklist and Local Application Materials for Renewal Projects form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If no findings, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Only if unresolved HUD monitoring findings and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD: Written communication between HUD and the project |

HUD DOCUMENTS

| | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | e-snaps HUD Project Application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | e-snaps Applicant Profile |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If not applicable, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Applicant Code of Conduct (if not already on HUD's website) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If not applicable, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-profit documentation showing applicant and subrecipient eligibility |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If not applicable, check here: <input type="checkbox"/> | Only if requesting indirect costs and agency has negotiated an approved indirect cost rate: Approved Indirect Cost Rate Proposal |

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

Local Application Materials for Renewal Projects

Renewal project applicants should respond to the following questions for each renewal project and submit this form with their other materials by **October 1, 2021 at noon** to bridget@homebaseccc.org.

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Agency | |
| Project | |
| Contact Name | |
| Contact Telephone | |
| Contact Email | |

1. Threshold Requirements

Please check each box to confirm that the following statements are true:

- The project applicant's performance meets the plans and goals established in the initial application (as amended).
- The project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including that standards for the expenditure of grant funds have been met.
- The project applicant's performance assists program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success.
- The project applicant has been willing to accept technical assistance, has not had a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has no indications of project mismanagement, has not had a drastic reduction in the population served, has not made program changes without prior HUD approval, and has not lost a project site.
- Project meets HUD threshold requirements for renewal projects including that the project has **none** of the following:
 - Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;
 - Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;
 - History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;
 - Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;

- History of other major capacity issues that have significantly impacted the operation of the project and its performance;
- History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; or
- History of serving ineligible persons, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.

Applicant's past performance in managing funds reflects:

- The ability to account for funds in compliance with applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirement
- Timely use of funds received from HUD
- Timely submission and quality of reports submitted to HUD
- That projects meet program requirements
- That projects meet performance targets as established in the grant agreement
- Strong organizational capacity, including staffing structures and capabilities
- That timelines for completion of activities and receipt of promised matching or leveraged funds are met
- That the correct number of persons to be served or targeted for assistance is served
- That the project is promoting self-sufficiency and economic independence
- That the project is producing positive outcomes and results; and
- That the project is encouraging participation with faith-based entities.

The project applicant is currently participating in the implementation of CES at the monthly meetings and is both accepting all referrals from CES and currently doing vulnerability assessments and entering them into the HMIS system (or bringing them to the monthly CES meeting).

2. Threshold Explanation

If you are unable to check one of the boxes above, please provide an explanation (one page limit).

3. HUD Monitoring

Are there any unresolved HUD monitoring findings or concerns or outstanding HUD audit findings related to any project of your agency?

Yes **No**

4. Sanctions

Has HUD instituted any sanctions on any project of your agency, including, but not limited to, suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing eLOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues?

Yes **No**

5. Sanctions Explanation

If yes to either (3) or (4) above, please provide the written communications between HUD and the project concerning those matters and describe the issue and status here, including the extent to which you have advised the Collaborative Applicant of the outstanding HUD findings or concerns (one page limit).

6. Low Barrier and Housing First

Does your CoC project application state that your agency qualifies as Housing First in your Project Application (including, specifically, on Page 3B of the application)?

Yes **No**

7. Unexpended Funds (Questions 7 through 13)

a. Please complete this chart:

| | |
|--|--|
| FY2016 CoC Grant Amount | |
| FY2016 Total Amount Expended | |
| FY2017 CoC Grant Amount | |
| FY2017 Total Amount Expended | |
| FY2018 CoC Grant Amount | |
| FY2018 Total Amount Expended | |
| FY2019 CoC Grant Amount | |
| FY2019 Total Amount Expended (if grant year is finished) | |

b. Please list the dates that you drew down funds from eLOCCS during your most recently completed grant operating year:

-
-
-
-

8. Program Budget: Please provide your total program budget for this project during your most recently completed grant year (including all resources that support the project, not just CoC resources): _____ (This number will support cost-effectiveness calculations related to scoring factor 13.)

9. 2021 CoC Action Plan: Has your agency taken steps to incorporate the priorities from 2021 CoC Action Plan into the agency's activities and planning? (Please keep your answer to less than a page.)

10. Equity: Has your agency or this project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have you taken or will you take to eliminate the identified barriers? (Please keep your answer to less than a page.)

11. Context: Per the scoring sheet, the Rank and Review Committee will be provided with:

- a. Information from the CoC Chair and HMIS Lead about HMIS compliance (scoring factor 2) and CoC participation (scoring factor 3), and
- b. Information about performance outcomes for your project based on your most recently completed APR (which will inform scoring for scoring factors 4 - 15).

If you would like to provide additional information that may inform the Rank and Review Committee about your agency's performance on these scoring factors, you may provide a narrative to supplement.

Applicants can use this opportunity to provide the Rank and Review Committee members explanatory or qualifying information regarding those scoring factors on which their project may not score perfectly and to encourage members to exercise

discretion in changing the scores for those factors. Specifically, **for 2021 only**, if this project's performance on any Scoring Factor was impacted by the pandemic and the impact was 1) outside your agency's control and 2) is adequately described here, the Rank & Review Committee may adjust this project's score on that factor by increasing it one step in the scoring factor (e.g., if the scoring factor awards either 1, 3 or 5 points and the project scored a 1, the Committee may increase the project's score on the factor to 3, but not to 5).

Applicants may use data and past performance information to support their arguments, including, for example, information regarding the special nature of the population served, unusual or unforeseeable circumstances beyond the project's control, or other reasons the project's data does not adequately reflect its work.

(Please keep your answer to less than two pages.)