

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2021 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions—essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: MO-603 - St. Joseph/Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: City of St. Joseph

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Institute for Community Alliances

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions–essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.n., and VII.B.1.p.	

In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2020 to April 30, 2021:

1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing of CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	No
4.	CoC-Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	CoC-Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Nonexistent	No	No
6.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	No
11.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
13.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBT) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	LGBT Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	No
17.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
18.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes

19.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Non-CoC-Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	No	No	No
23.	Organizations led by and serving LGBT persons	No	No	No
24.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No	No	No
25.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other:(limit 50 characters)				
33.	Legal Aid	Yes	Yes	Yes
34.	Community Action Partnership	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	communicated the invitation process annually to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	conducted outreach to ensure persons experiencing homelessness or formerly homeless persons are encouraged to join your CoC; and
4.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,000 characters)

1.MEMBERSHIP INVITATIONS: A) CoC leadership recruits specific agencies/individuals to attend and participate MOST MONTHS. New members join by attending any meeting. B) Annual call for membership is posted on CITY/CoC WEBSITE & SENT TO LOCAL 211/COMMUNITY SERVICES RESOURCE CENTER EMAIL LIST with 600+ recipients including: businesses, nonprofits, government, volunteers, citizens. C) Recipients of CoC, ESG, and Missouri Housing Trust Fund resources are required to attend at least 75% of meetings and recipients of CDBG or local funding are encouraged to attend. SUCCESS: With transition to Zoom, average 35 participants at each meeting (increase from pre-COVID).

2.EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION WITH PEOPLE w/DISABILITIES: CoC communicates via email with simple formatting/attachments that can be read with assisted technology. Meeting locations are ADA accessible; Zoom includes transcription services. Interpretation or disability services available upon request to support participation. Info on handouts presented orally & visually & provided electronically. Disability service and advocacy organizations participate in CoC

and can assist with outreach.

3.LIVED EXPERIENCE: CoC board ensures consistent membership and representation by currently/recently homeless people, through one-on-one outreach and invitation. CoC Board reserves a spot for at least one homeless/formerly homeless individual. CoC goal is to include at least one consumer in every meeting, with providers asked to support through recruitment. In person CoC meetings are easily walkable from homeless service provider locations, across parking lot from meals program.

4.CULTURALLY-SPECIFIC COMMUNITIES: Organizations serving disabled communities, LGBTQIA+ communities, immigrant communities, and with anti-racism as part of primary mission are already members of CoC. CoC members have personally invited leaders of organizations that provide services and homelessness prevention for people of color to attend monthly meetings.

1B-3.	CoC’s Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and
3.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1.HOW SOLICITS/CONSIDERS INPUT: CoC is open to all interested parties & continually invites new participants through at least annual public invitations & one-on-one invitations from current members to needed stakeholders. We engage a broad and large group, w/average of 35 people attending meetings in our small CoC, with many participants representing mainstream systems of care, including members representing MULTIPLE HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS, BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, POLICE DEPARTMENT, LEGAL AID, DISABILITY, 211- EQUIVALENT, and COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP. CoC solicited input from CONSUMERS OF HOMELESS SERVICES through a June 2021 survey w/design and analysis team including CONSUMERS. CoC has open committee meetings to encourage input.

2.COMMUNICATION: CoC transition to Zoom only meetings during 2020/21 and information is communicated orally, in writing, via closed caption transcript, and via electronic PDF versions of documents, with open discussion and Q&A throughout. CoC also uses online surveys, written surveys, & interviews to gather information for gaps analysis and to inform policy-making, depending on audience. CoC also shares relevant information with people giving input before meeting via CoC LISTSERV.

3.TAKES INPUT INTO CONSIDERATION: CoC discusses input, information gathered, and outcomes at open-to-public CoC meetings to inform next steps. Meetings occur in roundtable format w/all input considered equally. CoC

participated in a panel at Community Alliance which city, school district, and business leadership attended. When the relevant decision-maker is not the CoC (e.g. funders, law enforcement) info is gathered & presented. EXAMPLE: CONSUMER SURVEY (that was designed and analyzed by consumers) identified need for landlords/RA/safety that was discussed at CoC meeting and was integrated into CoC Action Plan.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Funded.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC’s local competition was open and accepting project applications;
2.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
3.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications;
4.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
5.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1&2. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION, CONSIDERATION, and SUBMISSION: August 2021: CoC advertised CoC funding opportunity and solicited interest in CoC funds from new applicants. Announced METHOD TO APPLY by email to CoC on 8/30 and announcement at 8/25 CoC meeting (asked for wide forwarding), post on City/CoC website, & email to community services list (600+ people). All notifications state ORGANIZATIONS WHO DON’T RECEIVE COC FUNDING ARE ENCOURAGED TO APPLY. 9/1 Public TA session held on Zoom with written materials about local scoring, HUD requirements, method to apply & special TA for new applicants. New applicants attended. Session recorded & available to all; materials distributed over email & web posting.

3. SUBMISSION: Applicants submit applications materials via email. TA is available to all applicants, especially new applicants, to support prep/submission.

4. PROCESS TO SELECT/PRIORITIZE APPLICATIONS: CoC approved a final review, rank and monitoring process (including reallocation and appeals process) & scoring tools for projects at open meeting in August. All project applications submitted are reviewed & scored by nonconflicted panel and projects that score well are prioritized. Renewal scoring factors focus on objective factors: contributions to system performance, administrative capacity, cost-effectiveness, utilization, & Housing First implementation. Renewal projects not performing well or underspending are eligible for reallocation. New project scoring factors focus on project design and system performance improvement, support for CoC needs and priorities (based on CoC Committee data and needs analysis) & agency capacity. PRIOR EXPERIENCE W/COC GRANTS IRRELEVANT.

5. COMMUNICATION w/PERSONS W/DISABILITIES: Funding announcements

are simple text easily read w/ assistive technology. In-person TA/application support available to all applicants w/accommodations offered. TA session held virtually with transcript services available & info presented orally & visually.

1C. Coordination and Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organiza

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions–essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	In the chart below:
1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC’s coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC’s geographic area.

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with Planning or Operations of Projects
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	No
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBT persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Nonexistent
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	No
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.	CSBG; VA/Vets	Yes
-----	---------------	-----

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. COC CONSULTS WITH ESG PROGRAM RECIPIENT BY: A) CoC has standing representative on Missouri Interagency Council to End Homelessness (MICH), a policy-making body for state that informs resource allocation planning at state level, B) Missouri Housing Development Commission (MHDC) staffs ESG and ESG-CV allocation for Missouri and MHDC staff participate in local CoC meetings to gather feedback about the distribution of ESG funds and give ESG report. CoC provided feedback on ESG-CV distribution strategy and MHDC made adjustments. C) CoC coordinates ESG and ESG-CV applications locally to ensure applications are submitted for most necessary services, housing, and COVID-19 response.

2. EVALUATION AND REPORTING of ESG: CoC supports project reporting with regard to ESG through HMIS. HMIS Lead does onsite review and monitoring of all ESG-funded agencies related to compliance and data quality. CoC reviews ESG performance semi-annually as part of system performance analysis and discussion at CoC meetings and annually as part of gaps analysis. CoC creates policy for all ESG program recipients and monitors as part of CE implementation and CoC activities. CoC representatives participate in statewide written standards task force to inform and design RRH & ES and other ESG-related housing and services standards of service.

3. PIT&HIC: PIT and HIC data were organized into tables and sent to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within the geographic area via email. State given read-only access to HDX to pull PIT/HIC data for bi-annual analysis/reporting. CoC members in contact via email and meetings with City/State staff on a more than quarterly basis.

4. CoC ENSURES LOCAL INFO COMMUNICATED IN CON PLAN: CoC provides data and information to local and state Con Plan drafters. As member of MICH, CoC has input into state Con Plan priorities. The Local Con Plan reflects CoC policy and priorities, as CoC membership is closely involved in full plan development.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported gender:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	No
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	No
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	
	CoC hosted a training about language/best practices related to gender identity and sexuality	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, Local Liaisons & State Coordinators.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC collaborates with youth education providers;
2.	your CoC's formal partnerships with youth education providers;
3.	how your CoC collaborates with State Education Agency (SEA) and Local Education Agency (LEA);
4.	your CoC's formal partnerships with SEAs and LEAs;
5.	how your CoC collaborates with school districts; and
6.	your CoC's formal partnerships with school districts.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1&2. CoC providers, including InterServ and YWCA, also serve youth and children with early childhood education programs, 21st Century schools, and teen programming/youth center. Each has signed a formal Letter of Agreement with the CoC to partner with the CoC's coordinated entry system and other CoC agencies to support access to resources and provide training. CoC member CAP, which runs Headstart and early Headstart in St Joseph works in partnership with CoC providers to ensure access to services. CoC member and meeting host, United Way, coordinates Success by 6 Program with school district and childcare facilities, which provides education resources and support to all families with children 0-6. CoC providers refer families to Noyes Home (privately funded housing for all at-risk children), as needed. CoC also has representative on Missouri Interagency Council to End Homelessness, which meets with state Headstart representatives bimonthly.

3&4. St Joseph School District (SJSD) McKinney-Vento liaison (LEA) is a member of the CoC Board and an active partner in local homeless activities. Liaison shares information, resources, attend meetings, provide access to resources and training. CoC also has representative on Missouri Interagency Council to End Homelessness, which meets with SEA bimonthly. CoC providers sign MOU with SJSD to coordinate services and ensure educational access, including access to counseling.

5&6. School district participates in CoC activities (including current CoC Board rep, review & rank & CoC chair in past years, PIT count). Providers serving families work with school district staff to stabilize children in their educational setting and work closely with all family programs. SJSD provides clothes, supplies, parenting classes, and on site child development support. CoC providers sign MOU with SJSD to coordinate services and ensure educational access, including access to counseling.

1C-4a.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–Educational Services–Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,000 characters)

THE COC HAS A POLICY THAT ALL COC- AND ESG-FUNDED AGENCIES MUST PROTECT THE EDUCATION RIGHTS OF CHILDREN AS FOLLOWS:
 COC PROCEDURES: All emergency shelter, transitional housing, permanent housing, and other homeless providers have posters detailing the rights of homeless children, youth, and families and McKinney-Vento applications onsite. Every project serving youth or children has a designated staff member to ensure children or youth are enrolled in school and connected to the appropriate services within the community. Case managers at homeless programs enroll children in school and connect them to needed services, which may include Parents as Teachers (support for 0-3), home visits, childcare, Head Start, tutoring, or other education related needs and participate in meetings with the school regarding Individualized Education Programs when appropriate and requested by the parent for the purpose of advocacy and collaboration. Monitoring of the students’ adequate progression in school will occur at least annually.
 To support this work, case managers in homeless programs can and do call the McKinney-Vento office St Joseph School District directly at any time to seek assistance for homeless families. In addition, St Joseph School District registration identifies students who are homeless or at-risk, St Joseph School District staff are trained on how to identify and engage with homeless students and families.
 POLICIES AND PRACTICES ARE CONSISTENT WITH, AND DO NOT RESTRICT THE EXERCISE OF RIGHTS PROVIDED BY THE EDUCATION SUBTITLE OF THE MCKINNEY-VENTO ACT.

1C-4b.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–Educational Services–Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

	MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
FY2021 CoC Application	Page 11	11/10/2021

1.	Birth to 3 years	No	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program--(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.	School district MckV program	Yes	Yes

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors--Annual Training--Best Practices.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:

1.	Project staff that addresses safety and best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses safety and best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,000 characters)

1&2. The CoC ensures annual training to all CoC and coordinated entry staff relating to best practices, trauma-informed care, victim-centered practices, safety planning, and serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking and trafficking (collectively, Survivors). The YWCA (the local victim services provider) trains CoC providers and all coordinated entry staff AT LEAST ANNUALLY. The most recent CoC training occurred in October 2021 with all CoC-funded homeless providers represented AND TOPICS INCLUDED ADDRESSING SAFETY NEEDS, STRENGTH-BASED APPROACHES, RISK ASSESSMENT, AND PROVIDING TRAUMA INFORMED, VICTIM CENTERED SERVICES. YWCA also participates on CE Committee, CoC Board, CoC Planning Committee/Leadership, NOFO Committee, and other CoC bodies to provide ongoing information to all members about best practices for serving Survivors. HMIS Lead also provides training at least annually to all HMIS participating staff about data confidentiality and privacy policies and procedures related to Survivors. YWCA used DV Bonus funds to launch RRH program, coordinated with other providers, to turnover shelter beds and house people more quickly.

1C-5a.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors--Using De-identified Aggregate Data.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC uses de-identified aggregate data from a comparable database to assess the special needs related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking survivors.

(limit 2,000 characters)

CoC collects data about survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking and trafficking (collectively, Survivors) in many ways. First and primarily, CoC’s one victim services provider, YWCA (current CoC Board member), provides the whole gamut of locally available resources for Survivors (safe & confidential shelter, TH, PSH, and RRH in secure facilities (as relevant), targeted health and benefits-related services, therapists, workforce development, immigrant-refugee support, advocacy, housing barrier removal, and a 24/7 hotline to meet other needs.) YWCA collects data FOR ALL HOUSING AND SERVICES PROVIDED in EFFORTS TO OUTCOMES (ETO), a comparable database, which provides a broad scope of community Survivor need. This data is supported by YWCA turnaway data, HMIS data for Survivors who gave informed consent, data collected by police related to Survivor lethality assessment, & input from local Sexual Assault & Family Violence Council (SAVF, has substantial overlap w/CoC). **EXAMPLE OF ASSESS & RESPONSE:** Based on data, YWCA applied for and received RRH vouchers in past 2 competitions and is supporting this year’s projects to improve transition to PH for people accessing ES and having difficulty exiting successfully. **EXAMPLE OF ASSESS & RESPONSE:** After review of YWCA data, in 2016-8, SAVF Council, YWCA, St. Joseph PD, Buchanan County Sheriff, Savannah PD, Country Club PD, Andrew County Sheriff & County Prosecuting Attorney’s Office implemented evidence-based Lethality Assessment Program (LAP). Trained police officers, on scene of a DV call, assess a Survivor’s risk for serious injury/death using Lethality Assessment Screen. Officers immediately link “High-Danger” victims to YWCA. LAP enables police & DV Programs to coordinate, communicate, & cooperate. Data was used to support funding/creation of YWCA Advocate position that is embedded in SJPD Family Crimes Division, launched in June 2020. Advocate has served 235 people in the last 12 months.

1C-5b.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Coordinated Assessment—Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols.	
--------	--	--

NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system protocols incorporate trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches while maximizing client choice for housing and services that:

1.	prioritize safety;
2.	use emergency transfer plan; and
3.	ensure confidentiality.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. PROTOCOLS FOR SAFETY: Homeless survivors of domestic violence et al (Survivors) have access to CE as follows: 1) Info is provided to Survivor about CE and potential data breach risk; 2A) Survivor can choose that VSP will assess/present the case at CE using only non-identifying info to PROTECT SAFETY/ENSURE CONFIDENTIALITY. If approved for housing, VSP will mediate referral and obtain signed ROI before sharing info; 2B) Or If chooses, Survivor referred to non-VSP to complete CE’s assessment tool (which prioritizes on vulnerability to victimization, incl: physical assault, trafficking, or

sex work) & enter By-Name list, & assess in a SAFE PLACE using TRAUMA-INFORMED, VICTIM CENTERED practices 3) Assessment staff are trained on SAFETY PLANNING & trauma-informed assessment. Survivors can access all CoC/ESG/DOJ/HHS-funded housing (except shelter) through CE. MAXIMIZE CLIENT CHOICE: CoC uses person-centered approach including: A) consumers have choice in decisions such as housing location/type, level/type of services including VSP and non-VSP, RRH and PSH to IMPROVE SAFETY, B) assessments that provide options/recommendations to guide not impose. Safety/confidentiality protected using CoC protocols.

2.CoC's EMERGENCY TRANSFER PLAN: Plan allows emergency transfer, either within project or to other projects, for Survivors requesting transfer with belief of threat of imminent harm or recent sexual assault. Requirements incl: staff must maintain confidentiality, projects must complete eligible transfers ASAP to protect SAFETY, CE gives prioritization for transfers, & all projects have agency plan.

3.CONFIDENTIALITY: All staff are trained in maintaining confidentiality. DATA: Safeguards are taken with all Survivor data. VSP maintains comparable database and do not share PII except under specific ROI/permission from Survivor. No PII shared during care coordination. Non-VSPs ensure that Survivors are given full info about possible risks and option to exclude data from HMIS.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBT individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs in Accordance with an Individual's Gender Identity (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy. You Must Upload an Attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report at <https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/FY-2020-CoC-PHA-Crosswalk-Report.pdf> or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with—if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2020 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
----------------------------	---	---	---

St. Joseph Housing Authority	3%	Yes-Both	No
Grundy County Public Housing Agency	0%	No	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

1. steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2. state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,000 characters)

SJHA HAS A HOMELESS PREFERENCE. The CoC partners with the St Joseph PHA to house people who are homeless. A) MAINSTREAM VOUCHERS: SJHA submitted a Mainstream Voucher request, supported by CoC MOU and MOUs with CoC agencies which has housed 32 people. B) CES FOR ALL PHA UNITS: St Joseph PHA also participates in CES, and St Joseph PHA accepts referrals for EHV (100% homeless referrals), Mainstream Vouchers, HCV, and Public Housing. C) MOVING ON: St Joseph PHA does not have a formal Moving On Preference us has accepted 20-30 MOVED ON TENANTS IN THE PAST YEAR (which is equal to more than 10% of the CoC's PIT COUNT). D) PLANNING: PHA also participates in general CoC meetings and committee meetings and community planning. CoC has emailed Grundy County Public Housing Agency (a very small rural PHA with only a few units) this year.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Including PHA-Funded Units in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry System.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Does your CoC include PHA-funded units in the CoC's coordinated entry process?	Yes
--	-----

1C-7c.1.	Method for Including PHA-Funded Units in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry System.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

If you selected yes in question 1C-7c., describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC includes the units in its Coordinated Entry process; and
2.	whether your CoC's practices are formalized in written agreements with the PHA, e.g., MOUs.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. St Joseph Housing Authority (SJHA) joined Coordinated Entry because of a partnership between the CoC and SJHA to apply for the Mainstream Voucher NOFA. That process gave a launch point to set up the systems and partnership that have resulted in SJHA's consistent participation in Coordinated Entry since, including taking referrals and participating in case conferencing and the CoC's Coordinated Entry Committee. As Mainstream or EHV vouchers become available, SJHA asks CE staff for a referral, and CE staff identify a referral and the local service provider who can support the potential tenant in becoming document ready, working with SJHA to obtain the voucher through online application process, finding a housing unit, and move in. Additionally, due to relationship, PHA works closely with RRH providers who have exiting clients who need ongoing subsidy.

2. COC HAS THREE MOUS WITH SJHA. ONE MOU relates to Mainstream Vouchers and states that CoC will identify potential tenants, assist with tenant paperwork, make referrals, outreach to landlords and that SJHA will support CoC staff with information, share landlord information, do HQS inspections, and keep CoC of voucher availability. SECOND MOU is between SJHA, MO DSS-CD, PFH and the CoC related to FYI vouchers. THIRD MOU states that for EHV administration, CoC agencies will provide support for document readiness, meeting with PHA, finding units, and accessing benefits; SJHA will accept referrals from CES, do HQS; CoC will make referrals from CES, assess all households, and connect EHV tenants to services.

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application(s) for funding of projects serving families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other non-federal programs)?	Yes
---	-----

1C-7d.1.	CoC and PHA Joint Application—Experience—Benefits.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

If you selected yes to question 1C-7d, describe in the field below:

1.	the type of joint project applied for;
2.	whether the application was approved; and
3.	how your CoC and families experiencing homelessness benefited from the coordination.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1.CoC and St. Joseph Housing Authority (SJHA) applied for HUD’s MAINSTREAM VOUCHER PROGRAM in 2019. Request was for SJHA to address housing needs of non-elderly persons with disabilities in the city of St. Joseph, with priority for homeless and formerly homeless people and SJHA elected to participate fully in Coordinated Entry and case conferencing. RESULT: 32 were housed with Mainstream Vouchers.

2.APPLICATION WAS APPROVED, 30 vouchers were awarded and that award was subsequently increased to 39 vouchers.

3.Previously to Mainstream Voucher program, CoC’s homeless programs did not often successfully refer to SJHA. Under Mainstream Voucher program, 1) 32 homeless/formerly homeless have been housed; 2) SJHA has started participating in CES; 3) SJHA has started participating more in CoC planning activities; and 4) CoC partners have been more successful in referring clients to SJHA for any housing opportunity.

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including American Rescue Plan Vouchers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
---	-----

1C-7e.1.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Administer Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program–List of PHAs with MOUs.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Did your CoC enter into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
--	-----

If you select yes, you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has entered into a MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.	
PHA	
This list contains no items	

1C. Coordination and Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organiza

1C-8.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1C-9.	Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2021 CoC Program Competition.	9
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2021 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	9
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, Safe-Haven, SSO non-coordinated entry projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1C-9a.	Housing First–Project Evaluation.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly evaluates projects to ensure those that commit to using a Housing First approach are prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and are not requiring service participation or preconditions of program participants.

(limit 2,000 characters)

COC REGULARLY EVALUATES PROJECTS FOR HOUSING FIRST ALIGNMENT by: 1) Local CoC funding competition prioritizes applications that reflect Housing First alignment including prioritizing rapid placement without requiring service participation or preconditions. Members of the review and rank

committee are trained to identify concerns and suggested improvements in program operation which are forwarded to the applicants after the competition

2) Technical assistance consultant completes ANNUAL EVALUATIONS of each program's Housing First policies through a DESK AUDIT with a separate focus each year (in 2021, on intake, eligibility, and termination policies and procedures). Agencies are given opportunities for one-on-one TA if issues addressing gaps arise.

3) Entire CoC completed gaps analysis in 2020 which included focus on system-level Housing First alignment and identified need for more housing stabilization services and training on Motivational Interviewing, Trauma Informed Care, and Critical Time Intervention for agency staff system-wide. 2021 CoC Action Plan resulted in community-wide crisis intervention/de-escalation/behavioral health 7-session training series to support improved services and outcome.

4) CONSUMER SURVEY: CoC also conducted a survey of consumers of homeless services in June 2021 which included questions about how services and programs can be accessed more easily.

5) CES: CES identifies issues with rapid placement and provides support to applicants that have undue delays.

1C-9b.	Housing First–Veterans.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Does your CoC have sufficient resources to ensure each Veteran experiencing homelessness is assisted to quickly move into permanent housing using a Housing First approach?	Yes
---	-----

1C-10.	Street Outreach–Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC’s street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
2.	whether your CoC’s Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC’s geographic area;
3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. COC STREET OUTREACH (SO): A)CAP Community Health Worker (CHW) team provides low barrier, client-centered case management/housing & health supports to clients who are unsheltered/sheltered/housed, incl. through transitions. CHWs partnering w/SJPD on pilot to answer non-emergency homelessness calls & provide resources/services. In 20, CAP provided sanitation/unsheltered support. B)CMC (CoC provider) provides SO & housing location/document readiness. In 20/21 included support for stimulus payments/vaccines & safety checks. C) NW Health Services has downtown health clinic and health SO. Social Welfare Board provides primary care, women’s health & dental. D) Project Connect held annually (except 2020). E) CoC partners with SJPD and sheriff to ID people in need. F) Veterans SO connects to GPD, SSVF, VASH, using rapid resolutions. G) Traveling CE Specialist assesses for CE on location, reducing barriers. H) To ID encampments to target, CoC works with: railroads, lawn services, Police Dept,

Dept of Conservation & Fire Dept, Parks Dept, local business, & local libraries. I) YWCA (VSP) does mobile outreach to rural area & bilingual SO in immigrant communities (both pops vulnerable to DV). J) New in 21, 2 FTE of housing outreach at Family Guidance to improve housing placement/stability.

2&3.COVERAGE/FREQUENCY: Through partnership with VA & law enforcement SO occurs across CoC's full geography. 100% of St Joseph city covered 5 days/week.

4. TARGETING OUTREACH TO LEAST LIKELY TO ENGAGE:A) Bilingual SO staff have been employed for all SO strategies. B) CHW & NW Health team have physical & psychiatric disability specialty. C) CoC engages mainstream agencies serving underserved (e.g. Interfaith Alliance on Immigration). D) Access points are ADA accessible or SO teams will go to people w/physical disabilities. E) All SO staff attend CoC training (e.g., serving LGBTQIA+; racial equity).

1C-11.	Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes
4.	Implemented communitywide plans	Yes
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
	Partnering and information sharing with Community Alliance (including schools, healthcare, jurisdictional and business leaders) and community-wide strategic planning efforts	Yes

1C-12.	Rapid Rehousing-RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

	2020	2021
Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC-only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current."	32	30

1C-13.	Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance-Healthcare-Enrollment/Effective Utilization.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC assists persons experiencing homelessness with enrolling in health insurance and effectively using Medicaid and other benefits.

	Type of Health Care	Assist with Enrollment?	Assist with Utilization of Benefits?
1.	Public Health Care Benefits (State or Federal benefits, Medicaid, Indian Health Services)	Yes	Yes
2.	Private Insurers	Yes	Yes
3.	Nonprofit, Philanthropic	Yes	Yes
4.	Other (limit 150 characters)		
	Voc Rehab, med/dental assist	Yes	Yes

1C-13a.	Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance—Information and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC provides information and training to CoC Program-funded projects by:
1.	systemically providing up to date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC’s geographic area;
2.	communicating information about available mainstream resources and other assistance and how often your CoC communicates this information;
3.	working with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations to assist program participants with enrolling in health insurance; and
4.	providing assistance with the effective use of Medicaid and other benefits.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. COC PROVIDES INFO RE MAINSTREAM RESOURCES BY: A) Having social services resource center/2-1-1 (NWCS) distribute info about resource access via large (600+ person) email distribution list, including all homeless staff; B) Providing trainings, including at least ANNUAL mainstream benefits training and Workforce Devt training 10/2021, C) Sharing info MONTHLY at CoC mtng (e.g., In 20/21, info on the federal eviction moratorium, stimulus payments) & D) Encouraging peer-based relationships & info sharing.

2. COC DISSEMINATES AVAILABILITY OF MAINSTREAM RESOURCES by providing info to NWCS who acts as hub & 1) sends out info via email appx weekly & 2) provides up-to-the-minute info via phone re resource availability. CoC shares info about program funding levels (e.g. EHV lease up; LIHEAP) at MONTHLY meetings.

3. CoC has particular strength in HEALTHCARE. A) Mosaic (local hospital system) has offered 1-on-1 support for Medicaid access to CoC providers; B) Medical navigation (insurance application/healthcare access) is provided by Mosaic & Community Health Workers (CHW). C) Mosaic partners with Legal Aid in Medical-Legal Partnership for medical benefits access. D) NW Health Services (CoC member) has a Medicaid Enrollment Specialist at its downtown clinic. E) InterServ (CoC provider) has CLAIM to enroll in Medicare.

4. HEALTH CARE ACCESS: A) NW Health Services provides medical, dental, meds, & counseling at its clinic which targets homeless clients and is sited near other programs. B) CHWs provide medical, dental, behavioral health, & case management referrals to unhoused and recently housed person, C) Social Welfare Board provides free health & dental to people who are homeless. D) Agencies provide transportation. E) SSVF has health care navigator F) In 20/21, Health Dept, NW Health, Mosaic participated diligently in CoC, provided

resources (e.g. PPE, vaccine resources, info to distribute), led efforts to educate/protect homeless pop (e.g., pop-up vaccine clinics w/incentives).

1C-14.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Tool. You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:

1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC’s geographic area;
2.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
3.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance; and
4.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive assistance in a timely manner.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1.100% COVERAGE: CoC’s coordinated entry system (CES) covers 100% of the CoC’s geography. 6 providers across CoC do assessments & CE staff cover full geography incl through virtual assessment.

2.REACHES LEAST LIKELY TO APPLY: In addition to CE sites, outreach teams assess & CoC has strong outreach, incl: low barrier shelter, community health worker team (w/specialty in disabilities), Project Connect, & vets outreach. Continued during COVID with PPE/distancing. State-funded CE Specialist travels full CoC to assess. Pre-COVID, CoC had a policy of assessing over the phone, so was prepared to conduct assessments/obtain consent safely. Access sites take all reasonable steps to serve minority, ethnic, & groups with Limited English Proficiency; most have bilingual staff or interpretation services. Appropriate auxiliary aids & services provided. Assessor travels to complete assessment w/mobility impaired.

3.PRIORITIZES MOST IN NEED OF ASSISTANCE/ SERVED TIMELY: CoC has By-Name list generated during the prioritization process for transparency. List is sorted first by chronic homeless status, and then by score on vulnerability assessment tool (VISPDAT). Tool does NOT screen out or require disclosure of specific disabilities/diagnosis. CoC adopted priorities in Notice CPD-16-11. Additional prioritizing factors: high use of crisis services; unsheltered; vulnerability to illness/death; risk of cont’d homelessness; vulnerability to victimization. 6 assessment sites across CoC and 1 access point over the phone. Providers house highest priority persons first. MATCHES MADE AS UNITS BECOME AVAILABLE. Monthly meeting held to streamline process and follow-up on past referral. Referrals also made between meetings.

4.To ensure TIMELY PROCESS, referrals are made from the top tier of persons (rather than specific individual at top of list) with ample time to make contact to quickly fill unit with prioritized person. Outreach providers support in client location & document readiness.

1C-15.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness–Assessing Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

Did your CoC conduct an assessment of whether disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance exists within the last 3 years?	Yes
--	-----

1C-15a. Racial Disparities Assessment Results.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the findings from your CoC's most recent racial disparities assessment.

1.	People of different races or ethnicities are more likely to receive homeless assistance.	No
2.	People of different races or ethnicities are less likely to receive homeless assistance.	No
3.	People of different races or ethnicities are more likely to receive a positive outcome from homeless assistance.	No
4.	People of different races or ethnicities are less likely to receive a positive outcome from homeless assistance.	No
5.	There are no racial or ethnic disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance.	No
6.	The results are inconclusive for racial or ethnic disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance.	Yes

1C-15b. Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	No
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	No
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	No
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	No
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes

	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1C-15c.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness Beyond Areas Identified in Racial Disparity Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to improve racial equity in the provision and outcomes of assistance beyond just those areas identified in the racial disparity assessment.

(limit 2,000 characters)

COC Racial Disparity Assessment found that more people of color experienced homelessness than the local population living below the poverty level, but that the homeless system of care is serving people of color in alignment with the rates of people experiencing homelessness and outcomes appear to be in alignment as well. Because the community and the related sample sizes are small, the results are not conclusive.

COC HAS TAKEN SEVERAL STEPS TO IMPROVE RACIAL EQUITY AT LOCAL LEVEL: 1) SHARE racial disparity information with community leaders beyond CoC to inform about disparate percentage of BIPOC citizens experiencing homelessness; 2) ENGAGE BIPOC community members in elements of the homeless system of care that align with their interests and goals (e.g. a Black housing developer attended Kickoff and considered applying for CoC funds to develop homeless housing (but did not want to start a separate non-profit)), 3) CoC Board Member YWCA has mission of Eliminating Racism, Empowering Women, and staff have been a leader locally in racial equity efforts; 4) PROGRAM EVALUATION: CoC evaluated programs in Review and Rank based on efforts to improve equity and provided tool to analyze agency's equity efforts, including identifying barriers to participation for people of different races and ethnicities as well as external resources to help providers lower these barriers. 5) TRAINING: CoC provided brief training about racial equity. 6) Bilingual OUTREACH to immigrant and refugee communities.

1C-16.	Persons with Lived Experience–Active CoC Participation.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	38	38
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	2	1
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	2	1

4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	2	1
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	1	0

1C-17.	Promoting Volunteerism and Community Service.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate steps your CoC has taken to promote and support community engagement among people experiencing homelessness in the CoC's geographic area:

1.	The CoC trains provider organization staff on connecting program participants and people experiencing homelessness with education and job training opportunities.	Yes
2.	The CoC trains provider organization staff on facilitating informal employment opportunities for program participants and people experiencing homelessness (e.g., babysitting, housekeeping, food delivery, data entry).	Yes
3.	The CoC works with organizations to create volunteer opportunities for program participants.	Yes
4.	The CoC works with community organizations to create opportunities for civic participation for people experiencing homelessness (e.g., townhall forums, meeting with public officials).	Yes
5.	Provider organizations within the CoC have incentives for employment and/or volunteerism.	Yes
6.	Other:(limit 500 characters)	

1D. Addressing COVID-19 in the CoC's Geographic Area

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions—essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

1D-1.	Safety Protocols Implemented to Address Immediate Needs of People Experiencing Unsheltered, Congregate Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below protocols your CoC implemented during the COVID-19 pandemic to address immediate safety needs for individuals and families living in:

1.	unsheltered situations;
2.	congregate emergency shelters; and
3.	transitional housing.

(limit 2,000 characters)

CoC regularly communicates/coordinates with the CITY HEALTH DEPARTMENT & LOCAL HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS to address COVID-19 and safety concerns. Shelters designed & updated policies aligned with the latest local/national public health guidelines.

For UNSHELTERED/ES/TH: Early/mid 2020, CoC Board, HEALTH DEPARTMENT, LOCAL HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS met at least weekly to review latest guidelines; design system response; ID program needs; and share resources. CONSTANT COMMUNICATION AMONG ALL PLAYERS RESULTED IN CREATIVE, COMMUNAL RESPONSE TO CHANGING SITUATION. Late in 20, transitioned to monthly CoC meeting except for one-off needs.

1.UNSHELTERED: With CoC support/coordination/design: A) Beginning 4/20, NW Health Services and others distributed hygiene kits to unsheltered, incl info about COVID-19 and safety measures. 2) CAP provided sanitation centers. 3) Meal provider gave additional safety info. 4) All outreach workers continued to engage unsheltered pop throughout 20/21 using texting, PPE, distancing to ensure safety. In 21, outreach supported vaccine efforts.

2.CONGREGATE ES: All congregate ES immediately halved capacity to accommodate social distancing, issued safety guidelines, provided face masks with instructions for each resident. ES established a quarantine room to house residents who may be COVID-19 positive and by mid-2020, shelters had motel rooms available for better social distancing. By mid-2021, the gen pop

congregate shelter had completely transitioned to a motel model, protecting all residents and staff. CoC designed a comprehensive handbook outlining rules, procedures, and protocols for operation of these non-congregate shelters to support program stand up. ES also provided access to health care & on-site vaccine clinics.

3.TH: TH reduced group activities; released up-to-date health guidelines (social distancing/handwashing/face masks); increased speed of PH referrals, though use of new funding; & some created vaccine incentives.

1D-2.	Improving Readiness for Future Public Health Emergencies.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC improved readiness for future public health emergencies.

(limit 2,000 characters)

Since March 2020, there has been increased communication and coordination between CoC, the City health department, and local hospitals, both related to COVID and then a local flood. CoC met weekly with representatives from these entities at the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and currently more of these representatives attend monthly CoC meetings than in the past. This coordination facilitated distribution of PPE and vaccines and improved health care access, which may be key elements of responding to future public health emergencies. There was transparent information sharing, with shelters reporting the number of COVID-19 positive cases they had at each monthly CoC meeting. The increased engagement, knowledge sharing, and communication between CoC and health care systems will facilitate faster responses to future public health emergencies. CoC partners, like United Way, stepped in to facilitate resource access and distribution. In 2021, CoC's only congregate shelter completely transitioned to a motel model, and there are no plans to re-open a congregate shelter. Having no congregate shelter and the experience of rapidly changing shelter strategy make the CoC ready to prevent and manage future public health emergencies. THE PARTNERSHIPS, COMMUNICATION, PROCEDURES WILL PREPARE THE COC FOR FUTURE EMERGENCIES.

1D-3.	CoC Coordination to Distribute ESG Cares Act (ESG-CV) Funds.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q	

Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinated with ESG-CV recipients to distribute funds to address:

1.	safety measures;
2.	housing assistance;
3.	eviction prevention;
4.	healthcare supplies; and
5.	sanitary supplies.

(limit 2,000 characters)

Missouri Housing Development Commission (MHDC) staffed ESG-CV

allocation for Missouri and MHDC staff participate in local CoC meetings and state Interaction Council (which CoC has rep on) to solicit input on distribution of funds. MHDC released an allocation plan and NOFA for local service providers to apply for funds in November 2020. CoC and providers provided information about needs and preferences, and MHDC reissued the allocation plan multiple times in response to local needs. In 2021, priorities and eligible funding activities were described including:

1. SAFETY: PPE, disease mitigation training, emergency health services, emergency mental health services.
2. HOUSING ASSISTANCE: Cell phones to facilitate receiving assistance, household furnishings, housing stability case management, rental arrears, rent application fees, landlord incentives.
3. EVICTION PREVENTION: Renters' insurance, rental assistance, utilities assistance.
4. HEALTH CARE SUPPLIES: \$50 vaccine incentives, transportation for testing and vaccination.
5. SANITARY SUPPLIES: laundry services, hygiene services.

1D-4.	CoC Coordination with Mainstream Health.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinated with mainstream health (e.g., local and state health agencies, hospitals) during the COVID-19 pandemic to:	
1.	decrease the spread of COVID-19; and	
2.	ensure safety measures were implemented (e.g., social distancing, hand washing/sanitizing, masks).	

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. From the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic CoC met weekly with representatives from the city health department and local hospitals and SUPPORTED PROVIDERS TO MAKE CHANGES TO DECREASE COVID-19 SPREAD: A) Shelters/TH programs repeatedly designed and updated policies in accordance with the latest local and national public health guidelines. This included halving shelter capacity to allow for social distancing, changing bed arrangements, intensive cleaning schedules by staff, twice-daily temperature checks, providing case management and services remotely when possible, staff and resident education on current CDC guidelines, and isolation protocols for those who test positive for COVID-19. Public health information was posted in shelters. In accordance with public health recommendations, shelter provided staff and residents with face masks and instructions for cleaning them. Northwest Health Services distributed hygiene kits that contained a CDC flyer, soap, toothbrush, toothpaste, and washcloth to unsheltered populations. PPE/testing opportunities were provided to all providers. Exposures were tracked and information shared.

2. To ensure safety measures were implemented, shelters worked closely with mainstream health from the earliest stages of the pandemic. Shelters asked residents to self-report positive COVID-19 tests and would also be directly notified by the city health department. Residents testing positive for COVID-19

would be moved to an isolation area until deemed safe by healthcare professionals. Northwest Health Services was available for telemedicine visits with residents found to have elevated temperatures in twice-daily resident temperature checks. Guests were not allowed in shelters without written or verbal confirmation from a healthcare facility that they received a negative COVID-19 test, but could be referred to motels as needed.

1D-5.	Communicating Information to Homeless Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC communicated information to homeless service providers during the COVID-19 pandemic on:

1.	safety measures;
2.	changing local restrictions; and
3.	vaccine implementation.

(limit 2,000 characters)

Information was communicated to homeless service providers through regular Zoom CoC meetings where representatives from the city government, city health department, healthcare facilities, and law enforcement provided updates. Representatives from legal aid and street outreach organizations were often present as well. Initially, meetings were AT LEAST WEEKLY to allow for rapid communication as information and guidelines were changing. Currently, meetings are monthly. Minutes are recorded at every meeting and subsequently emailed to members of the CoC, so all members receive the information regardless of attendance at any given meeting. CoC providers also meet with HUD TA weekly to understand guidance.

1.SAFETY: Every CoC meeting set aside time for a report on current federal and state guidelines for safety measures, including individual and institutional guidelines. This included sharing specific resources that would be helpful to providers from the CDC and HUD websites. Service providers asked questions, raised challenges, and offered solutions for enacting the safety measures.

2.LOCAL RESTRICTIONS: in 20/21, representatives from the city government and health department attend all CoC meetings. New information about local restrictions due to COVID-19 were announced at these meetings and providers were able to ask questions and discuss them.

3.VACCINES: Homeless populations (and staff) were prioritized for vaccination and CoC announced vaccination clinics in monthly meetings for providers to inform their staff/participants immediately when became available locally. This began with vaccine availability at homeless clinics as well as local pharmacies. At the March 2021 CoC meeting, one shelter announced plans to provide a bus to transport people to vaccination sites if needed. It was regularly announced to providers at subsequent monthly CoC meetings about up to the minute tools and resources related to encouraging vaccinations on HUD’s website.

1D-6.	Identifying Eligible Persons Experiencing Homelessness for COVID-19 Vaccination.	
-------	--	--

NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.

Describe in the field below how your CoC identified eligible individuals and families experiencing homelessness for COVID-19 vaccination based on local protocol.

(limit 2,000 characters)

PRIMARY STRATEGY TO IDENTIFY PEOPLE ELIGIBLE FOR VACCINE was OUTREACH WORKERS AND SHELTER/HOUSING PROVIDERS USING THEIR RECORDS/RELATIONSHIPS TO IDENTIFY AND INFORM/EDUCATE persons experiencing homelessness who were vaccine eligible. As vaccines became more available, homeless population was prioritized for vaccine access and local hospital system provided on site vaccine clinics with incentives (e.g., food, resources) to identify and engage people for vaccination. Outreach staff has consistently provided information and resources to connect individuals to vaccines. At CoC meetings, vaccine availability at homeless clinics were announced and coordinated. One local strategy was to continue to advertise that there were many vaccine clinics and that vaccines were free and safe. Providers told participants that free vaccines were also available at local pharmacy locations and a shelter provided a bus to transport participants. Providers also created monetary vaccine incentives using ESG-CV funds. It was regularly announced to providers at monthly CoC meetings that HUD had up-to-date information about vaccinations on its website. PHA also posts its free vaccination clinic dates and times on its website.

1D-7.	Addressing Possible Increases in Domestic Violence.	
-------	---	--

NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC addressed possible increases in domestic violence calls for assistance due to requirements to stay at home, increased unemployment, etc. during the COVID-19 pandemic.

(limit 2,000 characters)

To handle POSSIBLE INCREASED CALLS DUE TO COVID-19, A) ADVOCATE EMBEDDED AT PD: beginning June 2020 a YWCA survivors' advocate was embedded in the St. Joseph PD Family Crimes Unit who has served 235 people in the last 12 months. Family Crimes Unit handles sexual assault, child abuse and neglect, domestic assault, stalking, harassment, order of protection violations, child custody interference, parental kidnapping, and missing persons cases. Advocate provides support and advocacy services to survivors who have had contact with law enforcement. Services can include follow-up and safety planning with survivors, providing support during law enforcement interviews, updating the survivor regarding the status of the case, assisting them with applying for Crime Victim Compensation, and informing them of victim rights. Advocate provides safety planning, crisis intervention and referrals to other YWCA and community services. In addition, Advocate serves as the Lethality Assessment Program Coordinator and communicates with our law enforcement partners to ensure that protocols are being followed and that survivors are being connected with vital services. B) RRH: YWCA also launched an RRH program in Spring 2020 so it was able to turnover shelter beds and house people more quickly, providing a way to serve more DV Survivors while maintaining 50% shelter capacity. To accommodate social distancing, advocacy

and housing services were provided virtually. New clients could access services by calling a hotline staffer 24/7 and did not need to come to YWCA in person. C) YWCA launched Outreach Specialists, focusing on identifying and serving survivors in rural and immigrant communities. Specialists provided mobile to rural areas and bilingual services to underserved immigrant/refugee survivors.

According to lethality assessment plans from police departments within the geographic area of the CoC, DV CALLS DECREASED 13% from 2019 to 2020 and 2021 calls are on track to be similar to 2020.

1D-8.	Adjusting Centralized or Coordinated Entry System.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC adjusted its coordinated entry system to account for rapid changes related to the onset and continuation of the COVID-19 pandemic.

(limit 2,000 characters)

Our CoC, including CES, health care, health department and other providers, meets regularly (weekly early in the pandemic, now monthly) to share information, design systems, and ensure protections for our homeless population. CES also supported rapid housing (e.g., YWCA opened a RRH program in Spring 2020 and rapidly leased up to support moving eligible program participants out of homelessness). Our CES had a hotline and established procedures for conducting standardized assessments over the phone prior to 2020, so while the number of people assessed in person versus via phone shifted, new procedures were not required. Also, prior to 2020, CES Committee and its case coordination meetings were virtual, so CES was able to continue meeting with no changes throughout the pandemic. When CES required support in contacting certain people, CoC outreach team members who were already in contact stepped in to avoid additional exposures for those clients. CES raised to the CoC that in 2021 less units have been available and households prioritized for vouchers are finding it difficult to find housing units. The CoC created a 2021 action plan to support additional success with landlords and the creation of homeless-specific housing (e.g. funded HQS-compliant tiny home village).

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions–essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

1E-1.	Announcement of 30-Day Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice of How Your CoC Would Review, Rank, and Select Projects. You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published the 30-day submission deadline for project applications for your CoC's local competition.	08/30/2021
2.	Enter the date your CoC publicly posted its local scoring and rating criteria, including point values, in advance of the local review and ranking process.	09/01/2021

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. We use the response to this question as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria listed below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Used data from a comparable database to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes
5.	Used objective criteria to evaluate how projects submitted by victim service providers improved safety for the population they serve.	Yes
6.	Used a specific method for evaluating projects based on the CoC's analysis of rapid returns to permanent housing.	Yes

1E-2a.	Project Review and Ranking Process–Addressing Severity of Needs and Vulnerabilities.	
---------------	---	--

NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.

Describe in the field below how your CoC reviewed, scored, and selected projects based on:

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1. | the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities your CoC considered when ranking and selecting projects; and |
| 2. | considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area. |

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. SPECIFIC VULNERABILITIES COC CONSIDERS IN R&R no income, substance use, criminal record (especially for certain infractions), domestic violence, LGBTQIA+ status, health challenges, high utilization of crisis services, unsheltered youth/children, vulnerability to illness/death, vulnerability to victimization). Additionally, RENEWAL projects are scored 10/100 points on providing Housing First projects and 2/100 points for prioritizing/dedicating beds to CH. 3) NEW projects are scored on extent to which the project plans to serve persons with highest need (5/115) or provide most needed services (15/115)

2. CoC Rank and Review process considers SEVERITY OF NEEDS in two ways. A) CONSIDERATION: Per CoC's approved process, Rank & Review Committee is instructed to keep in mind that performance outcomes will naturally be lower in a more difficult-to-serve population (such as chronically homeless people and homeless people with mental and/or addictive illnesses) and to score accordingly. For renewal projects, information about severity of need (data re CH, number and type of conditions, income at entry, where entering from) is provided to panel in web-based visual tool to support data analysis. Providers may also submit description of population served and specific vulnerabilities. B) POINTS AWARDED: IN RENEWAL projects are scored 10/100 points for serving persons with severe needs and vulnerabilities. Both scoring tools gave 5 points for identifying barriers to participation for people of different races or ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1. | obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, when determining the rating factors used to review project applications; |
| 2. | included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; |
| 3. | rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their program participants mirror the homeless population demographics (e.g., considers how a project promotes racial equity where individuals and families of different races are over-represented). |

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. DIVERSE INPUT INTO DESIGN: At the March 2021 CoC meeting all members were invited to a May 2021 meeting to determine rating factors. A group of non-providers, funded providers, and non-funded providers reviewed 2019 ranking process, 2019 debrief results, 2021 Action Plan (which was informed by 2020 gaps analysis) and revise the process. At the May and August 2021 CoC meetings, the proposed process was presented and approved by vote of the entire CoC. All CoC members regardless of race, ethnicity, ability,

sexual orientation, or gender identity were invited to these meetings. Meetings are held in roundtable format and all can participate. No one was asked to describe their identities, but CoC is trying to increase overall membership diversity.

2.INPUT INTO RANKING: it is CoC policy that the facilitator will try to ensure membership includes: a) people of different races/ethnicities, particularly those overrepresented in the local homelessness population, and b) at least one person with lived experience of homelessness in the review, selection, and ranking process. 10/8/21 CoC meeting repeated this policy and had an open call for review and rank panelists. Final panel included representation of lived experience, but no panelists who chose to respond to a survey identified as being of a race/ethnicity overrepresented in homeless populations.

3.RANKING PROCESS: community racial disparity analysis found that programs' participants reflect the same racial/ethnic makeup as the overall homeless population. Review and rank panelists received training which included explanation of HUD's racial equity emphasis and CoC's commitment to addressing it in our programs. Both new (5/115 points) and renewal (5/110) projects were scored (and thus ranked) based on the agency's description of how it identified barriers to participation faced by persons of different races/ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+ and the agency's proposed plan for eliminating the barriers.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects. We use the response to this question as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criterion below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
2.	whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year;
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable; and
5.	how your CoC communicated the reallocation process to project applicants.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. At the CoC's June and August public meetings, COC APPROVED PROCESS to select and prioritize projects for CoC funding, including reallocation policy. Policy directs neutral Review and Rank Committee to consider renewal projects for reallocation if: more than 10% of funding is unspent for three years running, if the project is underperforming or if the project has monitoring findings after TA. Committee reviews performance of all projects are, regardless of score, are asked if they would like to consider the project for reallocation for any reason.

2. No projects were identified as low performing or less needed in 2021.

3. No projects were identified for reallocation in 2021.

4. All projects performed well and met priority needs. Projects with

underspending issues in past years had corrected them, housing and income outcomes were in the performance range the CoC expects. One project is in ramp up mode (first year of performance) and has not met outcomes yet, but the programs policies and actions led the Committee to expect full success in future years.

5.The CoC SHARED THE REALLOCATION PROCESS with all applicants at in-person training on September 1, 2021 (also taped & available after) and through written application materials distributed over email & via web. The full CoC also approved the policy so renewal applicants were informed of those expectations then too.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2016 and FY 2021. We use the response to this question as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criterion below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2016 and FY 2021?	No
--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Public Posting. You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen if You Select Yes.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	No
2.	If you selected yes, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Public Posting. You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	

Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps.	10/19/2021
---	------------

1E-6.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application. You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	

Enter the date your CoC's Consolidated Application was posted on the CoC's website or affiliate's website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; 2. Priority Listings; and 3. all projects accepted, ranked where required, or rejected.	
--	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-6.

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions—essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Wellsky
--	---------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select from dropdown menu your CoC’s HMIS coverage area.	Multiple CoCs
--	---------------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2021 HIC data into HDX.	05/13/2021
---	------------

2A-4.	HMIS Implementation—Comparable Database for DV.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

Describe in the field below actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | have a comparable database that collects the same data elements required in the HUD-published 2020 HMIS Data Standards; and |
| 2. | submit de-identified aggregated system performance measures data for each project in the comparable database to your CoC and HMIS lead. |

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. The CoC's one Victim Services Provider, YWCA, COLLECTS DATA ABOUT 100% OF SHELTER AND HOUSING IT PROVIDES IN A COMPARABLE DATABASE, Efforts To Outcomes (ETO). YWCA provides safe & confidential shelter, TH, PSH, and RRH in secure facilities (as relevant) and all beds and units are recorded in ETO. Thorough data collection and entry, the CoC has for 100% BED COVERAGE RATE IN ITS COMPARABLE DATABASE. CoC's HMIS administrator evaluates the ETO database annually to confirm it meets HUD standards for HMIS compliancy (confirmed in September for 2021).

2. YWCA SHARES AGGREGATED DATA WITH THE COC FREELY, including for PIT, HIC, and system-level performance analyses like the CoC gaps analysis and like CoC projects, YWCA also submits ETO's aggregated performance reports about each project's contributions to system performance for CoC and ESG reporting and competitions, so that Survivor projects can be evaluated on equal footing with other projects. YWCA projects, like all CoC projects, are evaluated based on housing retention, housing access, income and benefits access and change, avoiding returns to homelessness, and all are evaluated on the same period, which is possible because of YWCA's commitment to use of ETO.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2021 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2021 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	113	26	87	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	18	0	18	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	88	37	51	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	112	80	30	93.75%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	200	17	149	81.42%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	0	0	0	

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,000 characters)

COC HAS 100% COVERAGE IN 3 OF 5 PROJECT TYPES. At 81.42% for PSH beds, the only project that is not completely participating in HMIS is VASH. The CoC has engaged VASH staff in all elements of the CoC functioning and VASH staff attend all CoC meetings. A Veterans Leadership Group meets regularly

and CoC has been CERTIFIED BY USICH AS HAVING ENDED VETERAN HOMELESSNESS. However, VASH beds are administered outside of our CoC and the VA has not agreed to enter these beds into our HMIS.

1. IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS: a) CoC will request that VA staff help advocate for HMIS participation, b) CoC will inquire about local VA's use of HOMES import tool, c) CoC will offer resources such as TA and data entry to VASH staff, c) CoC will continue to inquire of VA staff about what can be done to input these beds into HMIS.

2. IMPLEMENTATION will include a) scheduling a meeting with VASH leadership and HMIS lead to discuss options for participation, including through HOMES import tool, and offering to undertake data entry, provide free licenses, or otherwise support their input, b) if unsuccessful, sending communication quarterly thereafter to ask if anything has changed.

2A-5b.	Bed Coverage Rate in Comparable Databases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

Enter the percentage of beds covered in comparable databases in your CoC's geographic area.	100.00%
---	---------

2A-5b.1.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Question 2A-5b.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

If the bed coverage rate entered in question 2A-5b. is 84.99 percent or less, describe in the field below:

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1. | steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent; and |
| 2. | how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent. |

(limit 2,000 characters)

Our CoC is very fortunate to have a VSP who prioritizes system wide data and support the CoC's functioning as an integrated system of care.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 15, 2021, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions—essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

2B-1.	Sheltered and Unsheltered PIT Count—Commitment for Calendar Year 2022	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

Does your CoC commit to conducting a sheltered and unsheltered PIT count in Calendar Year 2022?	Yes
---	-----

2B-2.	Unsheltered Youth PIT Count—Commitment for Calendar Year 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

Does your CoC commit to implementing an unsheltered youth PIT count in Calendar Year 2022 that includes consultation and participation from youth serving organizations and youth with lived experience?	Yes
--	-----

2C. System Performance

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions—essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC determined which risk factors your CoC uses to identify persons becoming homeless for the first time;
2.	how your CoC addresses individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time or to end homelessness for individuals and families.

(limit 2,000 characters)

DATA: From FY19 to FY20, 45 fewer people were first time homeless (FTH), a 17% decrease.

1.ID RISK FACTORS: CoC completed a gaps analysis in 2020 that leveraged quantitative & qualitative data to identify CoC gaps and ID risk factors for homelessness. Also, Outreach/CES collects/shares data to ID risk factors. MAIN RISK FACTORS FOR FTH IN 2020/21: behavioral health, leaving criminal legal system.

2.STRATEGY TO ADDRESS AT RISK HOUSEHOLDS: A) DIVERSION: CoC implemented community-wide diversion protocol. B) PREVENTION RESOURCE: United Way (CoC member) provides Housing Stability Program (HSP) flexible fund that provide prevention resources and launched similar COVID-19 Relief Fund. Funds use include: utility bills/fees, rent/fees, court costs/legal fees, household items, & transportation with goal of avoiding homelessness. Also w/CARES Act resources, Catholic Charities has offered rental /utility assistance to prevent homelessness in 20/21.C) AVOID EVICTION. IN 20/21, CoC educated providers and landlords about the federal eviction protections to avoid households becoming homeless. D) DV INTERVENTION TO PREVENT FTH: YWCA & Law Enforcement implemented DV risk assessment and referral process (including PD calls both at homes and at hospital) that contribute to referrals to needed services and reducing FTH. E) HOTLINE: CoC member, Community Services, provides connection and referral to comprehensive services through Help Me Now hotline available community-wide to households at risk to support housing stability.

3.RESPONSIBLE: United Way

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless–Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,000 characters)

DATA: From FY19 to FY20, length of time homeless (LOTH) decreased by 53 nights, a 22% decrease.

1.COC STRATEGY TO SHORTEN LOTH): In addition to coordinated entry and outreach in #2,A) STRONG PSH: CoC funds mostly PSH and all projects are Housing First and target to top of CE prioritized list to focus resources on longest LOTH. CoC supports training in motivational interviewing. B) RRH: CoC’s RRH assists with housing search & landlord outreach to reduce time from enrollment to housing and remove barriers. C) HOUSING STABILITY SUPPORT: United Way created Housing Stability Program to assist with housing barrier removal (e.g. utility bills/fees, rent/fees, court costs/legal fees, deposits, household items, motel vouchers, transportation). D) EXPAND HOUSING: In 20/21, CoC providers were able to expand resources to provide housing for more people, from CARES Act and EHV, but units are scarce. An ARP-funded, HQS-compliant, Tiny Home village w/onsite support for severe CH is under development. E) MOVE ON: PHA administers Mainstream Vouchers providing Move On resources to create vacancies in PSH for highest priority (longest LOTH) homeless people. F) HOUSING-FOCUSED SERVICES AT SHELTER: General population, non-congregate shelter, is extremely housing-focused with services embedded. Shelter serves previously unsheltered and provides opportunity for engagement.

2.STRATEGY TO ID/HOUSE LONGEST LOTH: A) COORDINATED ENTRY (CE): CoC fully implemented CE in Jan16 & efficiently assesses & targets housing resources to vulnerable people with longest LOTH. B) OUTREACH TO ID PERSON WITH LONGEST LOTH: CoC has capable street outreach (teams include health, vets) & resource line, all of which assess and ID LOTH. CoC has 7 assessment sites (including one on under-resourced Southside) & a traveling CE Specialist to support additional outreach & assessment.

3.RESPONSIBLE: CE Coordinator, InterServ

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC will increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in:	
---	--

1.	emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations; and
2.	permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations.

(limit 2,000 characters)

DATA: From FY19 to FY20, the number of people exiting to PH was stable, going from 198 to 184 (7% change).

1. STRATEGY TO IMPROVE EXITS TO PH: A) RRH: CoC has state funded RRH for all populations and CoC-funded RRH for survivors (that provides linkage to PH and increased exits to PH from DV ES during lockdown). B) MAINSTREAM VOUCHERS: PHA targeted currently homeless people for Mainstream Vouchers and EHV. PHA participates in CES which has increased access to PH units. C) IMPROVE HOUSING ACCESS: CoC action planning to increase PH opportunities, incl supporting developers in area, creating landlord program, and supporting development of HQS-compliant Tiny Home village, D) HOUSING-RELATED SERVICES: Gen pop shelter provides deep housing-related services. Catholic Charities (largest CoC provider) has enhanced services related to education to support housing access/maintenance, incl working with landlords and workforce development. E) WORKFORCE SUPPORT: Community Health Worker Team (homeless outreach) is partnering with the State’s Skill Up program to increase workforce success/support for persons exiting to PH. CAP created day labor program for work experience and service engagement for currently homeless.

2. STRATEGY TO IMPROVE PSH RETENTION AND EXITS: A) MOVING ON PROGRAM: Mainstream Voucher program also prioritized people in PSH, supporting successful exits. B) HOUSING FIRST: CoC funds only effective, low barrier, Housing First PSH, focusing on housing placement/retention. C) RESOURCES: PSH tenants are connected to stability supports, incl behavioral health care, medical care, food, SSI/SSDI/other benefits, life skills, social activity or peer groups, Landlord/tenant education or mediation. D) TRAINING: CoC through community partners provided training and development to CoC staff to improve housing retention. E) PARTNERSHIPS: CoC expanded relationship with Family Guidance Center this year to improve access to behavioral health resources for formerly homeless tenants.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC’s Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC identifies individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	your CoC’s strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,000 characters)

DATA: From FY19 to FY20, returns to homelessness in less than 6 months decreased by 50%.

1. STRATEGY TO ID RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS (RTH): CoC & CE staff refer to open HMIS to identify prior service provided. CE case conferencing discusses strengths & barriers for returning households & identifies housing

strategy (e.g., different neighborhood, different resources). Providers, esp Community Health Workers (CHWs) maintain relationships after housed & ID resource needs as they arise.

2. STRATEGY TO REDUCE RTH: A) EVICTION PREVENTION: CoC shared info/educated re eviction moratoriums. B) GAPS ANALYSIS: CoC completed gaps analysis to ID gaps and create response to CoC's RTH performance. C) DIVERSION: CoC has system-wide diversion protocol & Housing Stability Program (flex fund). D) CRISIS INTERVENTION to reduce housing loss (e.g. ER Enhancement Team responds to high utilizers, Crisis Intervention Team at SJP, Mental Health Liaisons at SJP, Mental Health Liaison at jail provides in-reach behavioral health to stabilize pre-release. E) STABILIZING SERVICES: Persons housed are connected to community resources prior to placement including income, health, employment, & crisis resources. F) HOUSING FIRST: CoC's Housing First implementation supports success. CoC competition rewards programs with strong housing stability. G) BEHAVIORAL HEALTH (BH) RESOURCES: CoC member, State Dept of Mental Health, obtained SAMHSA grant to fund 1-2 BH specialists to support housing retention. CoC increased partnership with Family Guidance in 20/21 for access to BH resources. CoC member, Northwest Health, operates downtown clinic with on-site therapist. Opioid recovery center operates downtown. H) TRAINING: CoC provided training series on CTI, de-escalation, & BH best practices for CoC staff professional development.

3. RESPONSIBLE: Community Dev't Director, CAP (shelter, tiny homes, & CHW team)

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income-Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's strategy to increase employment income;
2.	how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families increase their cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,000 characters)

DATA: From FY19 to FY20, the percentage of adults who increased earned income stayed roughly the same, going from 14% to 9%, and reflecting our CoC's focus on housing stability and health maintenance in 20/21. Employment has been greater focus in the past 6 mo as on-site services have reopened fully.

1. STRATEGIES TO INCREASE EMPLOYMENT INCOME & SUPPORT CAREER DEVELOPMENT: A) ONSITE SERVICES: CoC engages Catholic Charities to provide services onsite at CoC programs (e.g. workforce prep course at YWCA) B) INNOVATIVE SERVICE COORDINATION: CoC's Community Health Worker Team (serves homeless and formerly homeless) partners with Vocational Rehab, state Skill Up program, IMKO, & Head Start to create employment training and support pilot project to increase income C) JOB SKILLS: CoC member, CAP, developed day labor program for unsheltered to

develop work history and support service engagement.

2.WORKS WITH MAINSTREAM EMPLOYMENT ORGS: As described above, CoC engages and partners with workforce development organizations (MO Job Center, Catholic Charities, IMKO, Labor Max, Kelly, Express) in CoC events (e.g. Project Connect), trainings, meetings, and activities to provide support to homeless people to increase employment income. CoC copied to all One Stop planning communications.

3. RESPONSIBLE: Catholic Charities

2C-5a.	Increasing Employment Cash Income–Workforce Development–Education–Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	promoted partnerships and access to employment opportunities with private employers and private employment organizations, such as holding job fairs, outreach to employers, and partnering with staffing agencies; and
2.	is working with public and private organizations to provide meaningful education and training, on-the-job training, internships, and employment opportunities for program participants.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1&2. COC PARTNERS W/EMPLOYERS & PRIVATE EMPLOYMENT ORGS:
 A) JOB FAIRS: CoC includes staffing agencies (MO Job Center, Catholic Charities, Labor Max, and IMKO) in Project Connect-type activities. B) EMPLOYER PARTNERS: CoC engages employers like Specialty Industries and BMS to employ homeless persons. C) COLOCATE SERVICES: Catholic Charities Workforce Development Service Area partners with employment agencies (IMKO Workforce Solutions, Kelly Services, and Express Employment) to assist program participants in obtaining employment. These agencies provide employment interviews on site. Department of Mental Health provides employment services to CoC residents. D) VOC REHAB: CoC provider coordinate Voc Rehab which provides services to PSH residents to support employment goals. E) UNITED WAY: United Way organizes cross-community volunteer opportunities, including for PSH residents. F) TRAINING: Workforce Devt Board provided training to CoC about how to access services for clients. G) PLANNING: Employment agencies (Jobs Center, Catholic Charities) participate in CoC meetings. H) INFO SHARING: Community email distribution list alerts providers to job opportunities (e.g. Tyson job fair). I) INNOVATION: CoC supports Community Health Worker Team (serves homeless and formerly homeless) in partnering with Vocational Rehab (often serves recently homeless persons with disabilities), state Skill Up program, IMKO employment agency, Community Action Partnership, & Head Start to create employment training and support pilot project, including monthly classes on barriers to employment, inventory of available jobs, and wraparound resources and supports.

2C-5b.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income;

2.	your CoC's strategy to increase access to non-employment cash sources; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. STRATEGY TO INCREASE NON-EMPLOYMENT CASH INCOME: CoC supports projects to evaluate & connect eligible clients to best available benefits, with goal of increasing income received. CoC-funded programs are scored on increasing income. A) SOAR: SOAR, which increases access to disability income, is coordinated statewide by local CoC recipient MO Dept of Mental Health (DMH). DMH also provides Certified Benefits Planner & employment program. B) DISABILITY: A medical-legal partnership coordinated by CoC member Legal Aid of Western MO increases access to full disability benefits. CoC-funded providers refer to Legal Aid for support with increasing disability benefits, and to VA for reassessment for veterans. C) TAX: InterServ (CoC provider) provides free tax prep services to insure best filing/returns. D) COVID-19 STIMULUS: Outreach staff supported unsheltered population to apply for COVID-19 stimulus checks. CoC programs shared info with tenants.

2. STRATEGY TO INCREASE ACCESS TO NON-EMPLOYMENT CASH SOURCES: CoC supports programs in understanding and accessing a full range of available sources. A) TRAINING: CoC provides annual training about variety of non-employment cash sources (SSI/SSDI, TANF, SNAPs, Medicaid, Vets, WIC, refugees) re process, common barriers, eligibility.. B) SERVICE: All CoC-providers, including CE assessment site, routinely assess and review benefits access and support/complete applications for possible benefits. C) VETERANS: VA & veterans providers (all CoC members) assessment of income benefits for veterans

3. RESPONSIBLE: Dept of Mental Health

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare Bonus Points

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:
 - Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
 - FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions—essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
 - 24 CFR part 578

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project—Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	

Is your CoC applying for a new PSH or RRH project(s) that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
---	----

3A-1a.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project—Leveraging Housing Commitment. You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the organization(s) that provided the subsidies or subsidized housing units for the proposed new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project(s).

1.	Private organizations	No
2.	State or local government	No
3.	Public Housing Agencies, including use of a set aside or limited preference	No
4.	Faith-based organizations	No
5.	Federal programs other than the CoC or ESG Programs	No

3A-2.	New PSH/RRH Project—Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	

Is your CoC applying for a new PSH or RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	-----

3A-2a.	Formal Written Agreements–Value of Commitment–Project Restrictions. You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	

1.	Did your CoC obtain a formal written agreement that includes: (a) the project name; (b) value of the commitment; and (c) specific dates that healthcare resources will be provided (e.g., 1-year, term of grant, etc.)?	Yes
2.	Is project eligibility for program participants in the new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project based on CoC Program fair housing requirements and not restricted by the health care service provider?	Yes

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing Resources–Leveraging Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to question 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information on each project you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the bonus points criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions—essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs—New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs—New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and |
| 2. | HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons. |

(limit 2,000 characters)

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
- FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions—essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
- 24 CFR part 578

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes. You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and |
| 2. | how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act. |

(limit 2,000 characters)

4A. DV Bonus Application

To help you complete the CoC Application, HUD published resources at https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition, including:
 - Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition
 - FY 2021 CoC Application Detailed Instructions—essential in helping you maximize your CoC Application score by giving specific guidance on how to respond to many questions and providing specific information about attachments you must upload
 - 24 CFR part 578

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	Yes
--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2021 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH/RRH Component	Yes

You must click “Save” after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-3 and 4A-3a.

4A-2.	Number of Domestic Violence Survivors in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	322
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	108
3.	Unmet Need:	214

4A-2a.	Calculating Local Need for New DV Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-2 element 1 and element 2; and
2.	the data source (e.g. comparable database, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or
3.	if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.

(limit 2,000 characters)

1. YWCA is currently the only provider of specialized services and emergency shelter to Survivors in CoC, so YWCA shelter’s turnaway data for homeless Survivors who were unable to access homeless housing in 2019 and 2020 is used to reflect need (322) and currently serving was calculated based on service data from their comparable database (108).

2. Comparable database

3. BARRIERS TO MEETING NEED ARE: limited housing subsidies; challenging landlords; limited housing units for ELI; reduced shelter capacity due to COVID-19; lack of DV resources in surrounding counties impacts our CoC; lack of overall shelter/housing/services for homeless households.

4A-4.	New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects–Project Applicant Information.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.

Applicant Name
Interfaith Commun...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-4.	New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects–Project Applicant Information–Rate of Housing Placement and Rate of Housing Retention–Project Applicant Experience.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC’s FY 2021 Priority Listing:

1.	Applicant Name	Interfaith Community Services
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	90.00%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	60.00%

4A-4a.	Calculating the Rate of Housing Placement and the Rate of Housing Retention–Project Applicant Experience.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention reported in question 4A-4; and
2.	the data source (e.g. comparable database, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,000 characters)

1. Both rates were based on agency’s current RRH programs which serve general populations in addition to Survivors. HOUSING PLACEMENT: 90% is calculated by dividing number of program participants who obtain housing by the total number of program participants. HOUSING RETENTION: 60% is calculated by dividing the number of program participants who exit the program and have maintained housing SIX MONTHS POST PROGRAM divided by total number of program participants. 90% of program participants maintain housing during the program.

2. Data was sourced from agency’s HMIS records.

4A-4b.	Providing Housing to DV Survivor–Project Applicant Experience.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Describe in the field below how the project applicant:

1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were assisted to quickly move into safe affordable housing;
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC’s emergency transfer plan, etc.;
3.	connected survivors to supportive services; and

4.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.
----	---

(limit 2,000 characters)

Applicant has provided housing/services since 1909 & has operated many Federal & state grants. It has not operated a program that has exclusively served DV survivors but IS THE PRIMARY RRH PROVIDER IN THE COC; works closely w/primary VSP (YWCA) to house Survivors (incl RRH); is CoC's CES staff; & uses TIC & HF. YWCA WILL SUPPORT THIS PROGRAM WITH ITS SERVICES/RESOURCES/EXPERTISE (& SEPARATE FUNDING, SO YW NOT A SUB).

1.HELPING SURVIVORS QUICKLY ACCESS PH: Staff first assess each household, and for Survivors do a strengths-based TRAUMA-INFORMED SAFETY PLAN with client directing priorities to meet safety/success goals. InterServ uses HOUSING FIRST, low barrier approach. Staff leverage landlord relationships & expertise in local market to access housing & internal processes speed lease up. Staff provide services (e.g. financial) & referrals to other resources (e.g. BH, empt).

2.InterServ staff operate CES. All program participants ARE IDENTIFIED FROM CES, either HMIS BNL (if Survivor enters through non-VSP) or VSP-populated non-HMIS, non-PII BNL. Priority determined by CES, which incl vulnerability. Emergency Transfer households are prioritized for new housing ASAP & staff work w/Survivor to ID safe housing.

3.InterServ staff work 1-on-1 w/clients to assist w/ housing search, budget, tenant rights, life skills, employment, medical, mental health & substance abuse referrals, and other needs ID'd in case mngt. ALL SERVICES ARE CLIENT-CENTERED. For DV services, InterServ partners closely/seamlessly w/VSP for crisis hotline/intervention, counseling, support groups, court & hospital advocacy.

4.Staff work w/all RRH clients to ensure stable placement after program exit by: A) understanding client goals re location/amenities & safety needs; B) leveraging landlord networks to find affordable, safe, quality units; C) creating an income plan w/ client (e.g., benefits, education, workforce.)

4A-4c.	Ensuring DV Survivor Safety—Project Applicant Experience.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
1.	training staff on safety planning;
2.	adjusting intake space to better ensure a private conversation;
3.	conducting separate interviews/intake with each member of a couple;
4.	working with survivors to have them identify what is safe for them as it relates to scattered site units and/or rental assistance;
5.	maintaining bars on windows, fixing lights in the hallways, etc. for congregate living spaces operated by the applicant; and
6.	keeping the location confidential for dedicated units and/or congregate living spaces set-aside solely for use by survivors.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. SAFETY PLANNING: InterServ provides regular training for staff and staff attend all CoC trainings on safety planning for survivors. Staff collaborate with clients and other providers to develop (and frequently update) the plan to ensure program participants are prepared for situations that may arise without jeopardizing their housing.

2. INTAKE: Intake spaces ensure client privacy by providing spaces with a door or providing the option to conduct intake at an alternate location where the client feels safe (with social distancing/masks during COVID). Staff are trained in trauma-informed approaches including accommodations to make clients feel safe during the intake process.

3. SEPARATE INTERVIEWS For clients in a family with multiple adults or a couple, it is standard practice for separate intakes to be conducted in private spaces so clients may be more likely to share their experience of DV. Staff are trained in how to handle situations where DV survivors present with their abuser.

4. ID UNITS: Client choice is a key component of the services provided including working with survivors to identify housing type that feels most safe. The process is survivor-led and clients are provided with various housing options. Staff support clients to identify any safety concerns such as neighborhood/location, proximity to their abuser, unit arrangement/amenities (e.g. second floor, cameras, extra locks, neighbors).

5&6. PHYSICAL PLANT/CONFIDENTIAL LOCATION: Client has no site-based shelter/housing programs and does not have a confidential congregate location. Addresses for CLIENT UNITS are not shared with people beyond the program. The location of units for Survivors are kept strictly confidential and only accessible to staff working directly with the Survivors. InterServ utilizes a secure database to maintain this information with controls to protect client privacy. Any information-sharing requires an informed consent process and a written Release of Information.

4A-4c.1.	Evaluating Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety–Project Applicant Experience.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served.

(limit 2,000 characters)

InterServ has extensive experience operating programs for a variety of populations, including those with special needs or vulnerabilities (e.g., young children, behavioral health diagnoses, refugees). InterServ, after consultation with YWCA about their practices to protect client safety, determined it could create a separate program within its current structure that will not share information about Survivor PII or Survivor location/housing location and will ensure Survivor safety. Because many InterServ services, including food pantry, childcare, and other like programs are all provided in the same location,

Survivors may be able to access services without abuser knowledge more easily. InterServ plans to further train staff on practices to segregate data, client files, and practices protect information. InterServ also plans to create additional policies/procedures/training for staff to address specific vulnerabilities of Survivors (e.g. enrolling interested survivors in the Safe At Home address confidentiality program through the Missouri Secretary of State’s Office). Staff are regularly trained on safety planning with clients and have experience creating safety plans and partnering with YWCA to meet them, and are confident that these practices can be continued. InterServ regularly evaluates practices to ensure client safety including reviewing any incidents that occur at a program-maintained facility or a client’s housing unit, and will expand that practice to Survivors. Clients are asked to complete a survey regarding services on an annual basis that asks questions about safety and satisfaction with services. These responses are used to identify and address any safety concerns.

4A-4d.	Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches–Project Applicant Experience.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant’s experience in using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors in each of the following areas:

1.	prioritizing program participant choice and rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with participants’ preferences;
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on trauma;
4.	emphasizing program participants’ strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans include assessments of program participants strengths and works towards goals and aspirations;
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination;
6.	providing opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offering support for parenting, e.g., parenting classes, childcare.

(limit 5,000 characters)

InterServ staff use trauma-informed and victim-centered approaches including:

1. **PRIORITIZING PARTICIPANT CHOICE, RAPID PLACEMENT, AND STABILIZATION IN PH:** RRH staff work with all clients to identify what supports and assistance they need to be successful in obtaining and maintaining stable housing, preparing a Housing Stability Plan. Client’s are empowered to decide what housing options support their recovery from homelessness and will ensure future housing stability. Clients are connected with community supports to rebuild their support system once they are housed. InterServ staff actively educate landlords around advocate for clients to obtain safe, quality housing. Staff inspect the proposed unit, assure rent reasonableness, and assist the client with lease signing, turning on utilities, and rental assistance, all as quickly as possible to ensure rapid move-in.

2. **ENVIRONMENT OF AGENCY AND MUTUAL RESPECT:** Staff foster client agency and an environment of mutual respect by making all services voluntary

and client-led. Staff are trained in motivational interviewing to support and empower clients with complex decision-making and working towards long-term goals without applying their own standards or priorities to the client’s situation.

3. ACCESS TO INFORMATION ON TRAUMA: All clients, including Survivors, are provided information on trauma as part of their service package (either through case management, or individual or family counseling) and are encouraged to seek out services to support mental health and healing. Staff are trained in how to have conversations with survivors about trauma without re-traumatization and to make warm hand offs to specialized providers (e.g. VSP, mental health services) to provide 1:1 counseling, support groups, or other supportive services.

4. EMPHASIZING STRENGTHS: InterServ staff are trained in strengths-based approaches and support clients in developing housing stability plans that draw on these strengths. Strengths-based approaches are also utilized to help clients with identifying potential employment opportunities and obtaining employment. Strength-based coaching is also used to support clients with complex decision-making.

5. CULTURAL RESPONSIVENESS/INCLUSIVITY: All staff are trained annually in cultural competence, including equal access to services for LGBTQ+ clients, non-discrimination, and anti-racism. so that clients feel welcome and safe when obtaining services. InterServ provides immigration/refugee services and has bi-lingual staff. InterServ also has MOU with YWCA, the primary provider for DV survivors, to do interpretation services

6. OPPORTUNITIES FOR CONNECTION: InterServ provides various programs for clients to make meaningful connections and rebuild community including opportunities for mentorship or peer-to-peer support, including volunteer programs & meals. Clients are assisted to build a natural and community-based support system to maintain ongoing housing stability.

7. PARENTING SUPPORT: InterServ provides both licensed and accredited early care and education programs that provide childcare and preschool and children/teen programming (e.g., 21st Century after school, summer camps, nationally and internationally recognized Olympic Weightlifting Program that’s produced two Olympians and an Olympic coach, youth activity nights). Households with children are provided support in enrolling children in school, obtaining childcare, connections to parenting classes, peer support groups, and other community resources (e.g. Success By 6 program, Parents as Teachers). Connections are made to VSP to provide supportive services for children who have experienced trauma due to DV.

4A-4e.	Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors–Project Applicant Experience.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	supportive services the project applicant provided to domestic violence survivors experiencing homelessness while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs; and	
2.	provide examples of how the project applicant provided the supportive services to domestic violence survivors.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. InterServ, which partners with VSP YWCA to serve all DV Survivors, also provides the following services to all program participants quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their needs using person-center, voluntary, Housing First strategies: A) Housing Search: Staff assist clients in finding housing opportunities by providing referrals to landlords who have worked with InterServ previously, education regarding housing opportunities, and references for households, which for DV Survivors includes safety planning; B) Trauma-informed case management and housing stability planning, including safety planning; C) Legal Services: InterServ provides immigration services and clients are connected with legal services through a community partner which can support Survivors with orders of protection, child custody issues, and other legal issues; D) Employment support: Referrals are made to employment programs including both temporary work agencies and employment training programs to assist clients obtain and/or increase income; E) Life Skills, financial planning, budgeting; F) Individual and family counseling, and referrals to mental and physical health providers; G) Connection to mainstream benefits: Clients are assisted in completing paperwork for mainstream benefits including LIHEAP, WIC, TANF, SNAPs, Section 8 housing, and childcare subsidies; and H) Parenting support: Clients get support accessing childcare, preschool and afterschool programs.

2.2. EXAMPLES: InterServ works with parents in preschool and 21st Century programs that have experienced domestic violence. InterServ teachers and case worker often support children and youth who share about their experiences. In some cases, InterServ has been able to work with the parent to get them connected to the YWCA Victim Services programming. InterServ has also done extensive work with a Survivors who was a staff member to help her and her children escape their situation.

4A-4f.	Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches--New Project Implementation.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.	

Provide examples in the field below of how the new project will:

1.	prioritize program participant choice and rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with participants' preferences;
2.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on trauma;
4.	place emphasis on program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans include assessments of program participants strengths and works towards goals and aspirations;
5.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination;
6.	provide opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offer support for parenting, e.g., parenting classes, childcare.

(limit 5,000 characters)

In InterServ's New Leaf RRH program, staff will continue to provide trauma-informed and victim-centered services with a greater emphasis on Survivor

safety, including by:

1.PRIORITIZING PARTICIPANT CHOICE, RAPID PLACEMENT, AND STABILIZATION IN PH: As with CoC’s CES, when a Survivors presents for services, they will have the choice of being referred to YWCA RRH or to InterServ RRH, with information about time to housing. Survivors will be given the choice, even if they choose InterServ’s RRH to access YWCA services (e.g. counseling, peer groups). InterServ RRH staff work will work with Survivors to identify specific supports and assistance the household needs to be successful in obtaining/maintaining stable housing, creating a Housing Stability Plan focused specifically on safety. Survivors will decide what housing options feel safe and will ensure future housing stability. InterServ staff actively educate landlords and advocate for clients to obtain safe, quality housing, especially related to VAWA protections. Staff inspect the proposed unit, assure rent reasonableness, and assist the client with lease signing, turning on utilities, and rental assistance, ASAP to ensure rapid move-in. Survivors will be connected with community supports to rebuild their support system once they are housed. Services provided may include budgeting, education programs, benefit applications, childcare, medical and mental health services, or substance abuse services.

2.ENVIRONMENT OF AGENCY AND MUTUAL RESPECT: Staff will continue training to improve their cultural competency in serving Survivors and will partner closely with VSP. Staff will foster Survivor agency and an environment of mutual respect by making all services voluntary and Survivor-led. Staff are trained in motivational interviewing to support/empower clients with complex decision-making and working towards long-term goals without applying their own standards or priorities to the client’s situation.

3.ACCESS TO INFORMATION ON TRAUMA: All Survivors will be: 1) served with trauma-informed care, 2) provided information on trauma as part of their individual services, either through case management, or individual or family counseling, and 3) supported in accessing mental health care. All Survivors will be offered the opportunity to participate in VSP-led services/groups to understand how trauma is impacting them. InterServ staff are trained in how to have conversations with survivors about trauma without re-traumatization and to make warm hand offs to specialized providers (e.g. mental health services) to provide 1:1 counseling, support groups, or other supportive services.

4.EMPHASIZING STRENGTHS: Staff are trained in strengths-based approaches and will support Survivors in developing housing stability plans that draw on these strengths. Strengths-based approaches are also utilized to help clients with identifying potential employment opportunities and obtaining employment. Strength-based coaching is also used to support Survivors with complex decision-making.

5. CULTURAL RESPONSIVENESS/INCLUSIVITY: Staff will continue to be trained annually in cultural competence, including equal access to services for LGBTQ+ clients, non-discrimination, and anti-racism so that clients feel welcome and safe when obtaining services. Will expand training to understand the intersectionality between DV and culture. InterServ provides immigration/refugee services and has bilingual staff, and will integrate these services with the DV RRH program. InterServ will continue to partner closely YWCA, the primary provider for DV survivors, to do interpretation services.

6.OPPORTUNITIES FOR CONNECTION: InterServ will give clients the option and choice about how to connect with in the community. All Survivors can be referred to VSP peer groups or support groups for a place to share experiences, but InterServ can also include Survivors in the agency’s volunteer programs, refugee programs, youth development programming (e.g. preschool, after school, summer camp), and other activities. All Survivors will be assisted to build a natural and community-based support system in order to maintain ongoing housing stability, which may include connecting to neighborhood or spiritual groups.

7.PARENTING SUPPORT: First, Survivors will be connect to VSP to provide supportive services for children who have experienced trauma due to DV. Further, as a provider of licensed and accredited early care and education programs that provide childcare and preschool and children/teen programming (e.g., after school, summer camps, award-winning weight-lifting program, weekly dances), InterServ will make these resources available to Survivor households. Survivor households with children will be provided support in enrolling children in school, obtaining childcare, connections to parenting classes, peer support groups, and other community resources (e.g. Success By 6 program, Parents as Teachers).

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported. Please only use zip files if necessary.

Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.

Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.

We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-14. CE Assessment Tool	Yes	CE Assessment Tool	11/10/2021
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA HomelessPrefe...	11/10/2021
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	11/10/2021
1E-1. Local Competition Announcement	Yes	Local Competition...	11/10/2021
1E-2. Project Review and Selection Process	Yes	Project Review an...	11/10/2021
1E-5. Public Posting–Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Public Posting–Pr...	11/10/2021
1E-5a. Public Posting–Projects Accepted	Yes	Public Posting–Pr...	11/10/2021
1E-6. Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	11/10/2021
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	11/10/2021
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No	Project List for ...	11/10/2021

Attachment Details

Document Description: CE Assessment Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA HomelessPreference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Announcement

Attachment Details

Document Description: Project Review and Selection Process

Attachment Details

Document Description: Public Posting–Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Public Posting–Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description: Project List for Other Federal Statutes

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	11/10/2021
1B. Inclusive Structure	11/10/2021
1C. Coordination	11/10/2021
1C. Coordination continued	11/10/2021
1D. Addressing COVID-19	11/10/2021
1E. Project Review/Ranking	Please Complete
2A. HMIS Implementation	11/10/2021
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	11/10/2021
2C. System Performance	11/10/2021
3A. Housing/Healthcare Bonus Points	11/10/2021
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	11/10/2021

FY2021 CoC Application	Page 63	11/10/2021
------------------------	---------	------------

3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	11/10/2021
4A. DV Bonus Application	11/10/2021
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

**FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION
ATTACHMENT: CE ASSESSMENT TOOL
(Question 1C-14)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
VI-SPDAT Assessment Tool for Single Adults	2
VI-SPDAT Assessment Tool for Families	8
VI-SPDAT Assessment Tool for Transition Age Youth	16

**Vulnerability Index -
Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool
(VI-SPDAT)**

Prescreen Triage Tool for Single Adults

AMERICAN VERSION 2.0

©2015 OrgCode Consulting Inc. and Community Solutions. All rights reserved.
1 (800) 355-0420 info@orgcode.com www.orgcode.com

**COMMUNITY
SOLUTIONS**



Administration

Interviewer's Name _____	Agency _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Team <input type="checkbox"/> Staff <input type="checkbox"/> Volunteer
Survey Date DD/MM/YYYY ___/___/____	Survey Time ___ : ___ AM/PM	Survey Location _____

Opening Script

Every assessor in your community regardless of organization completing the VI-SPDAT should use the same introductory script. In that script you should highlight the following information:

- the name of the assessor and their affiliation (organization that employs them, volunteer as part of a Point in Time Count, etc.)
- the purpose of the VI-SPDAT being completed
- that it usually takes less than 7 minutes to complete
- that only “Yes,” “No,” or one-word answers are being sought
- that any question can be skipped or refused
- where the information is going to be stored
- that if the participant does not understand a question or the assessor does not understand the question that clarification can be provided
- the importance of relaying accurate information to the assessor and not feeling that there is a correct or preferred answer that they need to provide, nor information they need to conceal

Basic Information

First Name _____	Nickname _____	Last Name _____
In what language do you feel best able to express yourself? _____		
Date of Birth DD/MM/YYYY ___/___/____	Age _____	Social Security Number _____
		Consent to participate <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

IF THE PERSON IS 60 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER, THEN SCORE 1.

SCORE:

A. History of Housing and Homelessness

1. Where do you sleep most frequently? (check one)

- Shelters
- Transitional Housing
- Safe Haven
- Outdoors**
- Other (specify):**

Refused

IF THE PERSON ANSWERS ANYTHING OTHER THAN "SHELTER", "TRANSITIONAL HOUSING", OR "SAFE HAVEN", THEN SCORE 1. **SCORE:**

2. How long has it been since you lived in permanent stable housing? _____ Refused

3. In the last three years, how many times have you been homeless? _____ Refused

IF THE PERSON HAS EXPERIENCED 1 OR MORE CONSECUTIVE YEARS OF HOMELESSNESS, AND/OR 4+ EPISODES OF HOMELESSNESS, THEN SCORE 1. **SCORE:**

B. Risks

4. In the past six months, how many times have you...

- a) Received health care at an emergency department/room? _____ Refused
- b) Taken an ambulance to the hospital? _____ Refused
- c) Been hospitalized as an inpatient? _____ Refused
- d) Used a crisis service, including sexual assault crisis, mental health crisis, family/intimate violence, distress centers and suicide prevention hotlines? _____ Refused
- e) Talked to police because you witnessed a crime, were the victim of a crime, or the alleged perpetrator of a crime or because the police told you that you must move along? _____ Refused
- f) Stayed one or more nights in a holding cell, jail or prison, whether that was a short-term stay like the drunk tank, a longer stay for a more serious offence, or anything in between? _____ Refused

IF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF INTERACTIONS EQUALS 4 OR MORE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR EMERGENCY SERVICE USE. **SCORE:**

5. Have you been attacked or beaten up since you've become homeless? Y N Refused

6. Have you threatened to or tried to harm yourself or anyone else in the last year? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR RISK OF HARM. **SCORE:**

7. Do you have any legal stuff going on right now that may result in you being locked up, having to pay fines, or that make it more difficult to rent a place to live? Y N Refused

IF "YES," THEN SCORE 1 FOR **LEGAL ISSUES**.

SCORE:

8. Does anybody force or trick you to do things that you do not want to do? Y N Refused

9. Do you ever do things that may be considered to be risky like exchange sex for money, run drugs for someone, have unprotected sex with someone you don't know, share a needle, or anything like that? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR **RISK OF EXPLOITATION**.

SCORE:

C. Socialization & Daily Functioning

10. Is there any person, past landlord, business, bookie, dealer, or government group like the IRS that thinks you owe them money? Y N Refused

11. Do you get any money from the government, a pension, an inheritance, working under the table, a regular job, or anything like that? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO QUESTION 10 OR "NO" TO QUESTION 11, THEN SCORE 1 FOR **MONEY MANAGEMENT**.

SCORE:

12. Do you have planned activities, other than just surviving, that make you feel happy and fulfilled? Y N Refused

IF "NO," THEN SCORE 1 FOR **MEANINGFUL DAILY ACTIVITY**.

SCORE:

13. Are you currently able to take care of basic needs like bathing, changing clothes, using a restroom, getting food and clean water and other things like that? Y N Refused

IF "NO," THEN SCORE 1 FOR **SELF-CARE**.

SCORE:

14. Is your current homelessness in any way caused by a relationship that broke down, an unhealthy or abusive relationship, or because family or friends caused you to become evicted? Y N Refused

IF "YES," THEN SCORE 1 FOR **SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS**.

SCORE:

D. Wellness

15. Have you ever had to leave an apartment, shelter program, or other place you were staying because of your physical health? Y N Refused
16. Do you have any chronic health issues with your liver, kidneys, stomach, lungs or heart? Y N Refused
17. If there was space available in a program that specifically assists people that live with HIV or AIDS, would that be of interest to you? Y N Refused
18. Do you have any physical disabilities that would limit the type of housing you could access, or would make it hard to live independently because you'd need help? Y N Refused
19. When you are sick or not feeling well, do you avoid getting help? Y N Refused
20. **FOR FEMALE RESPONDENTS ONLY:** Are you currently pregnant? Y N N/A or Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR PHYSICAL HEALTH. **SCORE:**

21. Has your drinking or drug use led you to being kicked out of an apartment or program where you were staying in the past? Y N Refused
22. Will drinking or drug use make it difficult for you to stay housed or afford your housing? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR SUBSTANCE USE. **SCORE:**

23. Have you ever had trouble maintaining your housing, or been kicked out of an apartment, shelter program or other place you were staying, because of:
- a) A mental health issue or concern? Y N Refused
- b) A past head injury? Y N Refused
- c) A learning disability, developmental disability, or other impairment? Y N Refused
24. Do you have any mental health or brain issues that would make it hard for you to live independently because you'd need help? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR MENTAL HEALTH. **SCORE:**

IF THE RESPONDENT SCORED 1 FOR PHYSICAL HEALTH AND 1 FOR SUBSTANCE USE AND 1 FOR MENTAL HEALTH, SCORE 1 FOR TRI-MORBIDITY. **SCORE:**

25. Are there any medications that a doctor said you should be taking that, for whatever reason, you are not taking? Y N Refused

26. Are there any medications like painkillers that you don't take the way the doctor prescribed or where you sell the medication? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, SCORE 1 FOR MEDICATIONS. **SCORE:**

27. **YES OR NO:** Has your current period of homelessness been caused by an experience of emotional, physical, psychological, sexual, or other type of abuse, or by any other trauma you have experienced? Y N Refused

IF "YES", SCORE 1 FOR ABUSE AND TRAUMA. **SCORE:**

Scoring Summary

DOMAIN	SUBTOTAL	RESULTS
PRE-SURVEY	/1	Score: Recommendation: 0-3: no housing intervention 4-7: an assessment for Rapid Re-Housing 8+: an assessment for Permanent Supportive Housing/Housing First
A. HISTORY OF HOUSING & HOMELESSNESS	/2	
B. RISKS	/4	
C. SOCIALIZATION & DAILY FUNCTIONS	/4	
D. WELLNESS	/6	
GRAND TOTAL:	/17	

Follow-Up Questions

On a regular day, where is it easiest to find you and what time of day is easiest to do so?	place: _____ time: ___ : ___ or Morning/Afternoon/Evening/Night
Is there a phone number and/or email where someone can safely get in touch with you or leave you a message?	phone: (____) _____ - _____ email: _____
Ok, now I'd like to take your picture so that it is easier to find you and confirm your identity in the future. May I do so?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Refused

Communities are encouraged to think of additional questions that may be relevant to the programs being operated or your specific local context. This may include questions related to:

- military service and nature of discharge
- ageing out of care
- mobility issues
- legal status in country
- income and source of it
- current restrictions on where a person can legally reside
- children that may reside with the adult at some point in the future
- safety planning

**Vulnerability Index -
Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool
(VI-SPDAT)**

Prescreen Triage Tool for Families

AMERICAN VERSION 2.0

©2015 OrgCode Consulting Inc. and Community Solutions. All rights reserved.
1 (800) 355-0420 info@orgcode.com www.orgcode.com

**COMMUNITY
SOLUTIONS**



Administration

Interviewer's Name _____	Agency _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Team <input type="checkbox"/> Staff <input type="checkbox"/> Volunteer
Survey Date DD/MM/YYYY ___/___/____	Survey Time ___ : ___ AM/PM	Survey Location _____

Opening Script

Every assessor in your community regardless of organization completing the VI-SPDAT should use the same introductory script. In that script you should highlight the following information:

- the name of the assessor and their affiliation (organization that employs them, volunteer as part of a Point in Time Count, etc.)
- the purpose of the VI-SPDAT being completed
- that it usually takes less than 7 minutes to complete
- that only “Yes,” “No,” or one-word answers are being sought
- that any question can be skipped or refused
- where the information is going to be stored
- that if the participant does not understand a question that clarification can be provided
- the importance of relaying accurate information to the assessor and not feeling that there is a correct or preferred answer that they need to provide, nor information they need to conceal

Basic Information

PARENT 1	First Name _____	Nickname _____	Last Name _____
	In what language do you feel best able to express yourself? _____		
	Date of Birth DD/MM/YYYY ___/___/____	Age _____	Social Security Number _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
PARENT 2	<input type="checkbox"/> No second parent currently part of the household		
	First Name _____	Nickname _____	Last Name _____
	In what language do you feel best able to express yourself? _____		
	Date of Birth DD/MM/YYYY ___/___/____	Age _____	Social Security Number _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
IF EITHER HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD IS 60 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER, THEN SCORE 1.			SCORE: _____

Children

1. How many children under the age of 18 are currently with you? _____ Refused
2. How many children under the age of 18 are not currently with your family, but you have reason to believe they will be joining you when you get housed? _____ Refused
3. **IF HOUSEHOLD INCLUDES A FEMALE:** Is any member of the family currently pregnant? Y N Refused
4. Please provide a list of children’s names and ages:

First Name	Last Name	Age	Date of Birth
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

IF THERE IS A SINGLE PARENT WITH 2+ CHILDREN, AND/OR A CHILD AGED 11 OR YOUNGER, AND/OR A CURRENT PREGNANCY, THEN SCORE 1 FOR **FAMILY SIZE**. **SCORE:**

IF THERE ARE TWO PARENTS WITH 3+ CHILDREN, AND/OR A CHILD AGED 6 OR YOUNGER, AND/OR A CURRENT PREGNANCY, THEN SCORE 1 FOR **FAMILY SIZE**.

A. History of Housing and Homelessness

5. Where do you and your family sleep most frequently? (check one)
 - Shelters
 - Transitional Housing
 - Safe Haven
 - Outdoors**
 - Other (specify):** _____
 - Refused**

IF THE PERSON ANSWERS ANYTHING OTHER THAN “SHELTER”, “TRANSITIONAL HOUSING”, OR “SAFE HAVEN”, THEN SCORE 1. **SCORE:**

6. How long has it been since you and your family lived in permanent stable housing? _____ Refused
7. In the last three years, how many times have you and your family been homeless? _____ Refused

IF THE FAMILY HAS EXPERIENCED 1 OR MORE CONSECUTIVE YEARS OF HOMELESSNESS, AND/OR 4+ EPISODES OF HOMELESSNESS, THEN SCORE 1. **SCORE:**

B. Risks

8. In the past six months, how many times have you or anyone in your family...

- a) Received health care at an emergency department/room? Refused
- b) Taken an ambulance to the hospital? Refused
- c) Been hospitalized as an inpatient? Refused
- d) Used a crisis service, including sexual assault crisis, mental health crisis, family/intimate violence, distress centers and suicide prevention hotlines? Refused
- e) Talked to police because they witnessed a crime, were the victim of a crime, or the alleged perpetrator of a crime or because the police told them that they must move along? Refused
- f) Stayed one or more nights in a holding cell, jail or prison, whether that was a short-term stay like the drunk tank, a longer stay for a more serious offence, or anything in between? Refused

IF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF INTERACTIONS EQUALS 4 OR MORE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR EMERGENCY SERVICE USE. **SCORE:**

- 9. Have you or anyone in your family been attacked or beaten up since they've become homeless? Y N Refused
- 10. Have you or anyone in your family threatened to or tried to harm themselves or anyone else in the last year? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR RISK OF HARM. **SCORE:**

- 11. Do you or anyone in your family have any legal stuff going on right now that may result in them being locked up, having to pay fines, or that make it more difficult to rent a place to live? Y N Refused

IF "YES," THEN SCORE 1 FOR LEGAL ISSUES. **SCORE:**

- 12. Does anybody force or trick you or anyone in your family to do things that you do not want to do? Y N Refused
- 13. Do you or anyone in your family ever do things that may be considered to be risky like exchange sex for money, run drugs for someone, have unprotected sex with someone they don't know, share a needle, or anything like that? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR RISK OF EXPLOITATION. **SCORE:**

C. Socialization & Daily Functioning

14. Is there any person, past landlord, business, bookie, dealer, or government group like the IRS that thinks you or anyone in your family owe them money? **Y** N Refused

15. Do you or anyone in your family get any money from the government, a pension, an inheritance, working under the table, a regular job, or anything like that? Y **N** Refused

IF "YES" TO QUESTION 14 OR "NO" TO QUESTION 15, THEN SCORE 1 FOR MONEY MANAGEMENT. **SCORE:**

16. Does everyone in your family have planned activities, other than just surviving, that make them feel happy and fulfilled? Y **N** Refused

IF "NO," THEN SCORE 1 FOR MEANINGFUL DAILY ACTIVITY. **SCORE:**

17. Is everyone in your family currently able to take care of basic needs like bathing, changing clothes, using a restroom, getting food and clean water and other things like that? Y **N** Refused

IF "NO," THEN SCORE 1 FOR SELF-CARE. **SCORE:**

18. Is your family's current homelessness in any way caused by a relationship that broke down, an unhealthy or abusive relationship, or because other family or friends caused your family to become evicted? **Y** N Refused

IF "YES," THEN SCORE 1 FOR SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS. **SCORE:**

D. Wellness

19. Has your family ever had to leave an apartment, shelter program, or other place you were staying because of the physical health of you or anyone in your family? **Y** N Refused

20. Do you or anyone in your family have any chronic health issues with your liver, kidneys, stomach, lungs or heart? **Y** N Refused

21. If there was space available in a program that specifically assists people that live with HIV or AIDS, would that be of interest to you or anyone in your family? **Y** N Refused

22. Does anyone in your family have any physical disabilities that would limit the type of housing you could access, or would make it hard to live independently because you'd need help? **Y** N Refused

23. When someone in your family is sick or not feeling well, does your family avoid getting medical help? **Y** N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR PHYSICAL HEALTH. **SCORE:**

VULNERABILITY INDEX - SERVICE PRIORITIZATION DECISION ASSISTANCE TOOL (VI-SPDAT)

FAMILIES

AMERICAN VERSION 2.0

24. Has drinking or drug use by you or anyone in your family led your family to being kicked out of an apartment or program where you were staying in the past? Y N Refused
25. Will drinking or drug use make it difficult for your family to stay housed or afford your housing? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR **SUBSTANCE USE**. SCORE:

26. Has your family ever had trouble maintaining your housing, or been kicked out of an apartment, shelter program or other place you were staying, because of:
- a) A mental health issue or concern? Y N Refused
- b) A past head injury? Y N Refused
- c) A learning disability, developmental disability, or other impairment? Y N Refused
27. Do you or anyone in your family have any mental health or brain issues that would make it hard for your family to live independently because help would be needed? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR **MENTAL HEALTH**. SCORE:

28. *IF THE FAMILY SCORED 1 EACH FOR PHYSICAL HEALTH, SUBSTANCE USE, AND MENTAL HEALTH:* Does any single member of your household have a medical condition, mental health concerns, **and** experience with problematic substance use? Y N N/A or Refused

IF "YES", SCORE 1 FOR **TRI-MORBIDITY**. SCORE:

29. Are there any medications that a doctor said you or anyone in your family should be taking that, for whatever reason, they are not taking? Y N Refused
30. Are there any medications like painkillers that you or anyone in your family don't take the way the doctor prescribed or where they sell the medication? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, SCORE 1 FOR **MEDICATIONS**. SCORE:

31. *YES OR NO:* Has your family's current period of homelessness been caused by an experience of emotional, physical, psychological, sexual, or other type of abuse, or by any other trauma you or anyone in your family have experienced? Y N Refused

IF "YES", SCORE 1 FOR **ABUSE AND TRAUMA**. SCORE:

E. Family Unit

32. Are there any children that have been removed from the family by a child protection service within the last 180 days? Y N Refused

33. Do you have any family legal issues that are being resolved in court or need to be resolved in court that would impact your housing or who may live within your housing? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, SCORE 1 FOR FAMILY LEGAL ISSUES. **SCORE:**

34. In the last 180 days have any children lived with family or friends because of your homelessness or housing situation? Y N Refused

35. Has any child in the family experienced abuse or trauma in the last 180 days? Y N Refused

36. **IF THERE ARE SCHOOL-AGED CHILDREN:** Do your children attend school more often than not each week? Y N N/A or Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF QUESTIONS 34 OR 35, OR "NO" TO QUESTION 36, SCORE 1 FOR NEEDS OF CHILDREN. **SCORE:**

37. Have the members of your family changed in the last 180 days, due to things like divorce, your kids coming back to live with you, someone leaving for military service or incarceration, a relative moving in, or anything like that? Y N Refused

38. Do you anticipate any other adults or children coming to live with you within the first 180 days of being housed? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, SCORE 1 FOR FAMILY STABILITY. **SCORE:**

39. Do you have two or more planned activities each week as a family such as outings to the park, going to the library, visiting other family, watching a family movie, or anything like that? Y N Refused

40. After school, or on weekends or days when there isn't school, is the total time children spend each day where there is no interaction with you or another responsible adult...

a) 3 or more hours per day for children aged 13 or older? Y N Refused

b) 2 or more hours per day for children aged 12 or younger? Y N Refused

41. **IF THERE ARE CHILDREN BOTH 12 AND UNDER & 13 AND OVER:** Do your older kids spend 2 or more hours on a typical day helping their younger sibling(s) with things like getting ready for school, helping with homework, making them dinner, bathing them, or anything like that? Y N N/A or Refused

IF "NO" TO QUESTION 39, OR "YES" TO ANY OF QUESTIONS 40 OR 41, SCORE 1 FOR PARENTAL ENGAGEMENT. **SCORE:**

Scoring Summary

DOMAIN	SUBTOTAL	RESULTS
PRE-SURVEY	/2	Score: Recommendation: 0-3 no housing intervention 4-8 an assessment for Rapid Re-Housing 9+ an assessment for Permanent Supportive Housing/Housing First
A. HISTORY OF HOUSING & HOMELESSNESS	/2	
B. RISKS	/4	
C. SOCIALIZATION & DAILY FUNCTIONS	/4	
D. WELLNESS	/6	
E. FAMILY UNIT	/4	
GRAND TOTAL:	/22	

Follow-Up Questions

On a regular day, where is it easiest to find you and what time of day is easiest to do so?	place: _____ time: __ : __ or Morning/Afternoon/Evening/Night
Is there a phone number and/or email where someone can safely get in touch with you or leave you a message?	phone: (____) _____ - _____ email: _____
Ok, now I'd like to take your picture so that it is easier to find you and confirm your identity in the future. May I do so?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Refused

Communities are encouraged to think of additional questions that may be relevant to the programs being operated or your specific local context. This may include questions related to:

- military service and nature of discharge
- ageing out of care
- mobility issues
- legal status in country
- income and source of it
- current restrictions on where a person can legally reside
- children that may reside with the adult at some point in the future
- safety planning

**Transition Age Youth -
Vulnerability Index -
Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool
(TAY-VI-SPDAT)**

“Next Step Tool for Homeless Youth”

AMERICAN VERSION 1.0

©2015 OrgCode Consulting Inc., Corporation for Supportive Housing,
Community Solutions, and Eric Rice, USC School of Social Work. All rights reserved.
1 (800) 355-0420 info@orgcode.com www.orgcode.com

**COMMUNITY
SOLUTIONS**



Eric Rice, PhD

USC
SCHOOL OF
SOCIAL WORK



Administration

Interviewer's Name _____	Agency _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Team <input type="checkbox"/> Staff <input type="checkbox"/> Volunteer
Survey Date DD/MM/YYYY ___/___/____	Survey Time ___ : ___ AM/PM	Survey Location _____

Opening Script

Every assessor in your community regardless of organization completing the VI-SPDAT should use the same introductory script. In that script you should highlight the following information:

- the name of the assessor and their affiliation (organization that employs them, volunteer as part of a Point in Time Count, etc.)
- the purpose of the VI-SPDAT being completed
- that it usually takes less than 7 minutes to complete
- that only “Yes,” “No,” or one-word answers are being sought
- that any question can be skipped or refused
- where the information is going to be stored
- that if the participant does not understand a question that clarification can be provided
- the importance of relaying accurate information to the assessor and not feeling that there is a correct or preferred answer that they need to provide, nor information they need to conceal

Basic Information

First Name _____	Nickname _____	Last Name _____
In what language do you feel best able to express yourself? _____		
Date of Birth DD/MM/YYYY ___/___/____	Age _____	Social Security Number _____
		Consent to participate <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

IF THE PERSON IS 17 YEARS OF AGE OR LESS, THEN SCORE 1.	SCORE: <div style="border: 1px solid white; width: 40px; height: 20px; display: inline-block; margin-left: 5px;"></div>
---	---

A. History of Housing and Homelessness

1. Where do you sleep most frequently? (check one)

- Shelters **Couch surfing** **Other (specify):**
 Transitional Housing **Outdoors** _____
 Safe Haven **Refused**

IF THE PERSON ANSWERS ANYTHING OTHER THAN "SHELTER", "TRANSITIONAL HOUSING", OR "SAFE HAVEN", THEN SCORE 1. **SCORE:**

2. How long has it been since you lived in permanent stable housing? _____ Refused

3. In the last three years, how many times have you been homeless? _____ Refused

IF THE PERSON HAS EXPERIENCED 1 OR MORE CONSECUTIVE YEARS OF HOMELESSNESS, AND/OR 4+ EPISODES OF HOMELESSNESS, THEN SCORE 1. **SCORE:**

B. Risks

4. In the past six months, how many times have you...

- a) Received health care at an emergency department/room? _____ Refused
 b) Taken an ambulance to the hospital? _____ Refused
 c) Been hospitalized as an inpatient? _____ Refused
 d) Used a crisis service, including sexual assault crisis, mental health crisis, family/intimate violence, distress centers and suicide prevention hotlines? _____ Refused
 e) Talked to police because you witnessed a crime, were the victim of a crime, or the alleged perpetrator of a crime or because the police told you that you must move along? _____ Refused
 f) Stayed one or more nights in a holding cell, jail, prison or juvenile detention, whether it was a short-term stay like the drunk tank, a longer stay for a more serious offence, or anything in between? _____ Refused

IF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF INTERACTIONS EQUALS 4 OR MORE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR EMERGENCY SERVICE USE. **SCORE:**

5. Have you been attacked or beaten up since you've become homeless? **Y** N Refused

6. Have you threatened to or tried to harm yourself or anyone else in the last year? **Y** N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR RISK OF HARM. **SCORE:**

7. Do you have any legal stuff going on right now that may result in you being locked up, having to pay fines, or that make it more difficult to rent a place to live? **Y** N Refused
8. Were you ever incarcerated when younger than age 18? **Y** N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR LEGAL ISSUES. **SCORE:**

9. Does anybody force or trick you to do things that you do not want to do? **Y** N Refused
10. Do you ever do things that may be considered to be risky like exchange sex for money, food, drugs, or a place to stay, run drugs for someone, have unprotected sex with someone you don't know, share a needle, or anything like that? **Y** N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR RISK OF EXPLOITATION. **SCORE:**

C. Socialization & Daily Functioning

11. Is there any person, past landlord, business, bookie, dealer, or government group like the IRS that thinks you owe them money? **Y** N Refused
12. Do you get any money from the government, an inheritance, an allowance, working under the table, a regular job, or anything like that? Y **N** Refused

IF "YES" TO QUESTION 11 OR "NO" TO QUESTION 12, THEN SCORE 1 FOR MONEY MANAGEMENT. **SCORE:**

13. Do you have planned activities, other than just surviving, that make you feel happy and fulfilled? Y **N** Refused

IF "NO," THEN SCORE 1 FOR MEANINGFUL DAILY ACTIVITY. **SCORE:**

14. Are you currently able to take care of basic needs like bathing, changing clothes, using a restroom, getting food and clean water and other things like that? Y **N** Refused

IF "NO," THEN SCORE 1 FOR SELF-CARE. **SCORE:**

15. Is your current lack of stable housing...

- a) Because you ran away from your family home, a group home or a foster home? Y N Refused
- b) Because of a difference in religious or cultural beliefs from your parents, guardians or caregivers? Y N Refused
- c) Because your family or friends caused you to become homeless? Y N Refused
- d) Because of conflicts around gender identity or sexual orientation? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR **SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS**. **SCORE:**

- e) Because of violence at home between family members? Y N Refused
- f) Because of an unhealthy or abusive relationship, either at home or elsewhere? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR **ABUSE/TRAUMA**. **SCORE:**

D. Wellness

- 16. Have you ever had to leave an apartment, shelter program, or other place you were staying because of your physical health? Y N Refused
- 17. Do you have any chronic health issues with your liver, kidneys, stomach, lungs or heart? Y N Refused
- 18. If there was space available in a program that specifically assists people that live with HIV or AIDS, would that be of interest to you? Y N Refused
- 19. Do you have any physical disabilities that would limit the type of housing you could access, or would make it hard to live independently because you'd need help? Y N Refused
- 20. When you are sick or not feeling well, do you avoid getting medical help? Y N Refused
- 21. Are you currently pregnant, have you ever been pregnant, or have you ever gotten someone pregnant? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR **PHYSICAL HEALTH**. **SCORE:**

NEXT STEP TOOL FOR HOMELESS YOUTH

SINGLE YOUTH

AMERICAN VERSION 1.0

- 22. Has your drinking or drug use led you to being kicked out of an apartment or program where you were staying in the past? Y N Refused
- 23. Will drinking or drug use make it difficult for you to stay housed or afford your housing? Y N Refused
- 24. If you've ever used marijuana, did you ever try it at age 12 or younger? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR SUBSTANCE USE. **SCORE:**

- 25. Have you ever had trouble maintaining your housing, or been kicked out of an apartment, shelter program or other place you were staying, because of:
 - a) A mental health issue or concern? Y N Refused
 - b) A past head injury? Y N Refused
 - c) A learning disability, developmental disability, or other impairment? Y N Refused
- 26. Do you have any mental health or brain issues that would make it hard for you to live independently because you'd need help? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, THEN SCORE 1 FOR MENTAL HEALTH. **SCORE:**

IF THE RESPONENT SCORED 1 FOR PHYSICAL HEALTH AND 1 FOR SUBSTANCE USE AND 1 FOR MENTAL HEALTH, SCORE 1 FOR TRI-MORBIDITY. **SCORE:**

- 27. Are there any medications that a doctor said you should be taking that, for whatever reason, you are not taking? Y N Refused
- 28. Are there any medications like painkillers that you don't take the way the doctor prescribed or where you sell the medication? Y N Refused

IF "YES" TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, SCORE 1 FOR MEDICATIONS. **SCORE:**

Scoring Summary

DOMAIN	SUBTOTAL	RESULTS
PRE-SURVEY	/1	Score: Recommendation: 0-3: no moderate or high intensity services be provided at this time 4-7: assessment for time-limited supports with moderate intensity 8+: assessment for long-term housing with high service intensity
A. HISTORY OF HOUSING & HOMELESSNESS	/2	
B. RISKS	/4	
C. SOCIALIZATION & DAILY FUNCTIONS	/4	
D. WELLNESS	/6	
GRAND TOTAL:	/17	

Follow-Up Questions

On a regular day, where is it easiest to find you and what time of day is easiest to do so?	place: _____ time: ____ : ____ or Morning/Afternoon/Evening/Night
Is there a phone number and/or email where someone can get in touch with you or leave you a message?	phone: (____) _____ - _____ email: _____
Ok, now I'd like to take your picture so that it is easier to find you and confirm your identity in the future. May I do so?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Refused

Communities are encouraged to think of additional questions that may be relevant to the programs being operated or your specific local context. This may include questions related to:

- military service and nature of discharge
- ageing out of care
- mobility issues
- legal status in country
- income and source of it
- current restrictions on where a person can legally reside
- children that may reside with the youth at some point in the future
- safety planning

FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION
ATTACHMENT: PHA HOMELESS PREFERENCE
(Question 1C-7)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
1. St. Joseph Housing Authority Administration Plan Chapter 4	2
a. Local Preferences	3
b. Homeless Definition and Preference	3
2. St. Joseph Housing Authority Admissions and Continued Occupancy Plan Addendum 1: Local Preferences for PH Waitlist	7
a. Homeless Definition and Preference	7

Administrative Plan For The Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

Chapter 4

MAINTAINING THE WAITING LIST

(24 CFR Part 5, Subpart D; 982.54(d)(1); 982.204, 982.205, 982.206)

INTRODUCTION

It is SJHA's objective to ensure that families are placed in the proper order on the waiting list and selected from the waiting list for admissions in accordance with the policies in this Administrative Plan.

This chapter explains the eligibility criteria and the system of applying.

By maintaining an accurate waiting list, SJHA will be able to perform the activities which ensure that an adequate pool of qualified applicants will be available so that program funds are used in a timely manner.

A. WAITING LIST [24 CFR 982.204]

SJHA uses a single waiting list for admission to its Section 8 tenant-based assistance program.

Except for Special Admissions, applicants will be selected from SJHA waiting list in accordance with policies and income targeting requirements defined in this Administrative Plan.

SJHA will maintain information that permits proper selection from the waiting list.

The waiting list contains the following information for each applicant listed:

Applicant name

Family unit size (number of bedrooms family qualifies for under SJHA's subsidy standards)

Date of application

Racial or ethnic designation of the head of household

Targeted program qualifications

B. SPECIAL ADMISSIONS [24 CFR 982.54(d)(e), 982.203]

be on the program waiting list. SJHA maintains separate records of these admissions.

The following are examples of types of program funding that may be designated by HUD for families living in a specified unit:

A family displaced because of demolition or disposition of a public or Indian housing project;

A family residing in a multifamily rental housing project when HUD sells, forecloses or demolishes the project;

For housing covered by the Low Income Housing Preservation and Resident Home-ownership Act of 1990;

A family residing in a project covered by a project-based Section 8 HAP contract at or near the end of the HAP contract term; and

A non-purchasing family residing in a HOPE 1 or HOPE 2 project.

Applicants who are admitted under Special Admissions, rather than from the waiting list, are **identified by codes in the automated system and are not maintained on separate lists.**

C. LOCAL PREFERENCES [24 CFR 982.207]

St. Joseph Housing Authority (SJHA) has established a preference system for admission to its Public Housing program. SJHA uses the following local preference system:

St. Joseph MO, Buchanan County Residency Preference

Applicants who reside in St. Joseph, MO or Buchanan County will receive (50) preference points.

Employed, Elderly, Disabled, Veteran or Homeless Preference

Homeless Preference

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the family meets the definitions below. SJHA will only apply the preference points once to each family if the applicant family meets more than one definition under this preference. Applicants will receive (50) preference points.

- **Employed**

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household or spouse, life partner of the applicant family is employed. For the purpose of this preference, an applicant is considered employed if they work at least 20 hours per week.

- **Elderly**

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household, spouse or life partner of the applicant family is 62 years old or older.

- **Disabled**

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household, spouse, life partner or sole member is a person with disabilities; or two or more adult persons with disabilities living together; or one or more persons with disabilities living with one or more live-in aides. A person who is under a disability, as defined in Section 233 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 423), or who has a developmental disability as defined in Section 102(7) of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act (42 U.S.C. 6001 (7)). People who are diagnosed with alcoholism or drug abuse are not part of the definition of disabled. SJHA does recognize an applicant, family, or spouse, life partner with HIV as a disabled person.

- **Veteran**

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household, spouse or life partner of the applicant is a person who served in the active military, naval, or air service, and who was discharged or released therefrom under conditions other than dishonorable.

- **Homeless**

HUD Guidance on Homelessness:

A household which lacks a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime habitation or the primary nighttime dwelling is one of the following: a supervised public or private shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations (includes welfare hotels, congregate shelters and transitional housing); or a public or private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as, a regular sleeping place for human beings. An individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence meaning: Revised June 22, 2017 6-3 Resolution No. 2840

[Redacted text block containing multiple lines of obscured content]

disabilities, chronic physical health or mental health conditions, substance addiction, histories of domestic violence or childhood abuse, the presence of a child or youth with a disability or multiple barriers to employment

Enrolled in or Recently Graduated from a Job Training or Educational Program Preference

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household or spouse, life partner of the applicant family is currently enrolled in or within the last 12 months has graduated from a job training or educational program.

SJHA defines a job training program as a learning process with goals and objectives, generally having a variety of components, and taking place in a series of sessions over a period of time. It is designed to lead to a higher level of proficiency and it enhances the individual's ability to obtain employment.

SJHA defines an educational program as a GED program or an institution of higher learning. To qualify, the applicant must be regularly attending a GED program and making progress toward attainment of a GED or they must be taking at least six credit hours at an institution of higher learning.

An applicant remains qualified for the preference if the applicant completed the job training program, obtained a GED or graduated from the institution of higher learning within the past 12 months.

Treatment of Single Applicants

Single applicants will be treated as any other eligible family on the waiting list.

D. INCOME TARGETING

In accordance with the Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act of 1998, each fiscal year SJHA will reserve a minimum of seventy-five percent of its Section 8 new admissions for families whose income does not exceed 30 percent of the area median income. HUD refers to these families as "extremely low-income families." SJHA will admit families who qualify under the Extremely Low Income limit to meet the income targeting requirement.

SJHA's income targeting requirement does not apply to low income families continuously assisted as provided for under the 1937 Housing Act.

E. REMOVAL FROM WAITING LIST AND PURGING [24 CFR 982.204(c)]

The Waiting List will be purged once a year by a mailing to all applicants to ensure that the waiting list is current and accurate. The mailing will ask for confirmation of continued interest.

Any mailings to the applicant which require a response will state that failure to respond within 30 calendar days will result in the applicant's name being dropped from the waiting list.

An extension of 30 calendar days to respond will be granted, if requested and needed as a reasonable accommodation for a person with a disability. Verification of the disability will be required.

If the applicant did not respond to SJHA's request for information or updates because of a family member's disability, SJHA will reinstate the applicant in the family's former position on the waiting list, upon written verification of the family member's disability provided by a person licensed to provide such verification.

If a letter is returned by the Post Office, the applicant will be removed without further notice, and the envelope and letter will be maintained in the file.

Mail sent from SJHA to an applicant will not be forwarded. Mail returned to SJHA, which is not the result of post office error, will result in the removal of an applicant from the waiting list.

Documentation of postal service error is the responsibility of the applicant and must include a letter from the Postmaster explaining the error which caused the applicant's mail to be returned to SJHA. Applicants providing acceptable documentation will be returned to the waiting list with their original application date.

Mail sent from SJHA to an applicant is considered received. If an applicant claims to not have received a mailing from SJHA, SJHA will:

Verify the address on record; AND

Verify mail was sent to the address on record

If mail was sent to an incorrect address, the applicant will be returned to the waiting list with their original application date.

If mail was sent to the correct address, the applicant must submit a new application.

Families who wish to appeal the removal of their application from the waiting list must do so within thirty (30) calendar days from the date the application was removed.

Administrative Plan For The Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

Addendum 1 SJHA Local Preferences for PH Waitlist

SJHA LOCAL PREFERENCES

St. Joseph Housing Authority (SJHA) has established a preference system for admission to its Public Housing program. SJHA uses the following local preference system:

St. Joseph MO, Buchanan County Residency Preference

Applicants who reside in St. Joseph, MO or Buchanan County will receive (50) preference points.

Employed, Elderly, Disabled, Veteran or Homeless Preference

Homeless Preference

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the family meets the definitions below. SJHA will only apply the preference points once to each family if the applicant family meets more than one definition under this preference. Applicants will receive (50) preference points.

- **Employed**

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household or spouse, life partner of the applicant family is employed. For the purpose of this preference, an applicant is considered employed if they work at least 20 hours per week.

- **Elderly**

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household, spouse or life partner of the applicant family is 62 years old or older.

- **Disabled**

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household, spouse, life partner or sole member is a person with disabilities; or two or more adult persons with disabilities living together; or one or more persons with disabilities living with one or more live-in aides. A person who is under a disability, as defined in Section 233 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 423), or who has a developmental disability as defined in Section 102(7) of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act (42 U.S.C. 6001 (7)). People who are diagnosed with alcoholism or drug abuse are not part of the definition of disabled. SJHA does recognize an applicant, family, or spouse, life partner with HIV as a disabled person.

- **Veteran**

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household, spouse or life partner of the applicant is a person who served in the active military, naval, or air service, and who was discharged or released therefrom under conditions other than dishonorable.

- **Homeless**

HUD Guidance on Homelessness:

A household which lacks a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime habitation or the primary nighttime dwelling is one of the following: a supervised public or private shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations (includes welfare hotels, congregate shelters and transitional housing); or a public or private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as, a regular sleeping place for human beings. An individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence meaning: Revised June 22, 2017 6-3 Resolution No. 2840

An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately-operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state or local government programs for low-income individuals)

An individual who is exiting an institution where he or she resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution

A primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport or camping ground

Unaccompanied youth under 25 years of age or families with children and youth, who do not otherwise qualify as homeless under this definition, but who

Have experienced a long-term period, more than 60 days, without living independently in permanent housing; and

Have experienced persistent instability as measured by frequent moves, more than two moves in 60 days, over such period; and

Can be expected to continue in such status for an extended period of time because of chronic disabilities, chronic physical health or mental health conditions, substance addiction, histories of domestic violence or childhood abuse, the presence of a child or youth with a disability or multiple barriers to employment

Enrolled in or Recently Graduated from a Job Training or Educational Program Preference

An applicant qualifies for this preference if the head of household or spouse, life partner of the applicant family is currently enrolled in or within the last 12 months has graduated from a job training or educational program.

SJHA defines a job training program as a learning process with goals and objectives, generally having a variety of components, and taking place in a series of sessions over a period of time. It is designed to lead to a higher level of proficiency and it enhances the individual's ability to obtain employment.

SJHA defines an educational program as a GED program or an institution of higher learning. To qualify, the applicant must be regularly attending a GED program and making progress toward attainment of a GED or they must be taking at least six credit hours at an institution of higher learning.

An applicant remains qualified for the preference if the applicant completed the job training program, obtained a GED or graduated from the institution of higher learning within the past 12 months.

FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT: PHA MOVING ON PREFERENCE (Question 1C-7)

There is no formal Moving On preference in St. Joseph CoC, however the combination of the PHA's preferences for homeless and disabled people and the PHA's participation in CES resulted in 20-30 Moved On households accessing PHA units in the last year. For context, that's more than 10% of the CoC's point in time count.

**FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION
ATTACHMENT: LOCAL COMPETITION
ANNOUNCEMENT
(Question 1E-1)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
1. Announcement and Public Posting of Local Competition Review and Ranking Criteria	4
a. August 25, 2021 Minutes of open, public CoC meeting where CoC selection policies and New and Renewal Scoring Tools were adopted and where new funding opportunity and CoC NOFA Kickoff Training was announced (where the objective ranking, rating, review and selection criteria were presented)	4
b. August 27, 2021 email to CoC listserv with Public Solicitation attached (which includes invitation to 9/1/21 Kickoff Training, where the objective ranking, rating, review and selection criteria were presented)	7
c. August 30, 2021 email to AFL-CIO Community Services Information and Referral email distribution list (reaches 600+ persons community wide) with Public Solicitation attached (which includes invitation to 9/1/21 Kickoff Training, where the objective ranking, rating, review and selection criteria were presented)	9
d. Screenshot of Agenda from Zoom recording of 9/1/21 CoC NOFA Kickoff training where local process, ranking and rating process, and new and renewal scoring tools were presented)	11
e. September 1, 2021 email to Kickoff Training participants with links to application forms, policies, timelines, scoring criteria, and Zoom recording of the training	12

f. September 24, 2021 screenshot of link to local competition materials on City of St Joseph (collaborative applicant) website	13
g. September 24, 2021 screenshot of first page of local competition materials from the above link	14
2. Final Score Forms	15
a. Overview of St Joseph CoC NOFA Process - describes process how DV are scored on equivalent basis, goal of improving System Performance and relation to scoring factors, appeals process, and reallocation process, and timeline	15
b. Renewal Project Scoring Tool – scoring tool used to evaluate all renewal housing project applications, including specific objective criteria and point values <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Objective criteria for reviewing and ranking [pages 22-28] ii. Factors related to improving system performance [pages 24-27] iii. Specific method for evaluating projects submitted by VSPs that utilized data from a comparable database and evaluated DV projects based on the degree they improve safety for the population they serve [page 27] 	22
c. New Project Scoring Tool – scoring tool used to evaluate all new and expansion housing project applications, including specific objective criteria and point values <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Objective criteria for reviewing and ranking [pages 29-32] ii. Factors related to improving system performance [pages 31-32] iii. Specific method for evaluating projects submitted by VSPs that utilized data from a comparable database and evaluated DV projects based on the degree they improve safety for the population they serve [page 31] 	29
d. New HMIS Project Scoring Tool – scoring tool used to evaluate all new HMIS project applications, including specific objective criteria and point values <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Objective criteria for reviewing and ranking [page 35] ii. Factors related to improving system performance [pages 36-37] 	34

<p>iii. Specific method for evaluating projects submitted by VSPs that utilized data from a comparable database and evaluated DV projects based on the degree they improve safety for the population they serve [page 35]</p>	
<p>e. Application Materials for Renewal, New, and New HMIS Projects-reflecting information applicants submit</p>	<p>39</p>

St Joseph Continuum of Care Meeting Minutes

Wednesday, August 25, 2021

10:00 – 11:30 am

Via Zoom

Attended:

Eva Cook – NWHS	Bridget Kurrt DeJong – HomeBase
Kylee Strough – United Way	Richard Eaton - SJPD
Stephanie Culter - Hillcrest	Mark Dobbs - NWHS
Wendy Hickman - YWCA	Dana Peters - CAP
Pat Dillon – Mosaic	Krista Kiger - CMC
Rachael Bittiker – CAP	Sheila Mendez - CMC
Randy Sharp - InterServ	Penny Adams – AFL-CIO
Allison Lippard – Salvation Army	Sarah Blattel – Salvation Army
April O’Callaghan – InterServ	Amy Copeland – DMH
Kim Kempf – YWCA	Jodi Flurry – United Way
Trista Mignery – CAP	LeShae Walton – Salvation Army
Sonia Campbell – Catholic Charities	Katie Stoops - InterServ
Shevella Gatson – Wyandotte BH	Michael Tonarely - ICA
Debra Bradley – Health Dept.	Angi Duty - CAP
Jimalee O’Connor – Catholic Charities	Chastity McCourt – CMC
Regina Shelton – YWCA/Bliss	Yolanda Quintero – Catholic Charities
Westley Dent – Hillcrest	Dave Gross – SJPD
Ashley Culver – Pivotal Point	Kendra Bundy – Health Dept.
Bridget Supple – InterServ	Stacey Gilbert – Catholic Charities
Josh Emberton – Legal Aid	Britani Manthe – Mosaic
Jeffery Collen - YMCA	

Welcome

Minutes approved from June and July meetings.

Community Updates:

- **CoC Executive Committee:** Will need to meet soon to discuss nominations for Executive Committee.
- **CoC Action Plan:** Discussed making sure that members know current housing services that are available, in development and/or “in dreams”. Bridget offered to provide members with a list on a regular basis. There was discussion regarding sharing the CoC Action Plan and priorities with the City as they are working to allocated CARES Act and ARPA funding. Priorities discussed were:
 - Access to mental health/substance use services
 - Affordable housing

Bridget will draft a letter for City Leaders and send to the Executive Committee for approval.

Rachael reported that CAP submitted a proposal to the City to use ARPA funding for tiny house development. She also encouraged future community discussion regarding planning for CAP to discontinue providing emergency shelter in June 2022.

Amy reported on the imminent hiring of 2 FT SAMSHA funded positions to provide outreach, case management, and housing navigation to individuals who are homeless. The positions will be housed at Family Guidance Center.

Kylie informed the group regarding a developer who is interested in possibly creating approximately 5 small apartment units that could be used for hard to house individuals.

- **Re-entry Program:** No update.
- **Shelter Updates:**
 - CAP: Typically, full with 10 men and 5 women.
 - YWCA: 2 rooms available
 - SA: Full with waitlist.
- **Law Enforcement:** Dave is working at Motel 6 and reported there have only been a couple of issues. During the day, some of the homeless individuals living at Motel 6 are hanging out at the mall or in the Motel 6 lobby. There have been issues with thefts and vandalism at the Felix Street Park. South Belt Walmart has also had increased issues with individuals who are identified as homeless hanging out in the parking lot. Community Health Workers have tried to engage them in services, but they have refused.
- **Veteran's Leadership:** Yolanda reported that Catholic Charities is still taking referrals and can help those who are homeless, as well as those who are at risk of homelessness.
- **Coordinated Intake:** April shared dashboard report from July – 30 households on the CE list with a total of 51 persons. Chastity planning to do CE assessments onsite at Project Connect.
- **HMIS:** In addition to CE dashboard, ICA has created two additional reports to monitor CE data quality. HMIS committee didn't meet in August but will meet in September to resume working on data quality plan. September will include LSA preparation and data clean up. ICA will also be doing desk monitoring visits with agencies. Annual renewal will also be completed.

State Updates: MICH requesting CoC's adopt ESG Shelter Standards created by workgroup. Discussion regarding option to use ESG-CV funds to pay vaccine incentives to individuals who qualify for ESG-CV funded services.

Federal Updates: HUD released CoC NOFA. Homebase will be sending out draft letters for in-kind match for Planning Grant. Bridget discussed funding that is available and will be hosting NOFA kick-off on September 1st at noon via Zoom.

New this year:

- There is enough funding this year that no renewal projects will need to fall into Tier 2.

- Tribal agencies are eligible to apply for funding
- HUD is deemphasizing system performance measures
- Bonus points are available for projects with other funding sources

HUD funding priorities:

- End homelessness for all persons
- Housing first model
- Reducing unsheltered homelessness

Rank & Review: Bridget proposed changes to the scoring tools that align with HUD policy changes in the FY2021 NOFA, including increasing diversity of the Rank & Review Committee; adding to scoring factors to encourage match from non-CoC funded housing and healthcare providers; increasing objectivity of the new scoring tools; and adding a factor to show how projects are identifying barriers to participation by persons of different races and ethnicities and how they are being addressed.

→ Motion made and approved to accept proposed changes to the scoring tools.

Agency Updates:

- Project Homeless Connect is tomorrow from 2 – 5.
- Penny reported that the Soles for Christ shoe program gave away about 650 pairs of shoes in contrast to the 1200 that were given away in 2019.
- United Way still has COVID funding available to assist individuals.

Next Meeting

Wednesday, September 29, 2021
Via Zoom

FY21 CoC NOFA Funding Competition

5 messages

[Email to CoC Listserv](#)
Bridget Dejong <bridget@homebaseccc.org>

Fri, Aug 27, 2021 at 5:12 AM

To: Bridget Dejong <bridget@homebaseccc.org>

Boc: Angi Duty <aduty@capstjoe.org>, Andrea Jenkins <ajenkins@mhdc.com>, ajolly@youth-alliance.org, Allison Lippard <allison.lippard@usc.salvationarmy.org>, amandas@pivotalpointstjoseph.org, "Copeland, Amy" <Amy.Copeland@dmh.mo.gov>, April O'Callaghan <aocallaghan@interservstjoe.org>, bden@derrequipment.com, Brett King <bking@interservstjoe.org>, Bobbi Good <bgood@dmh.mo.gov>, bdixon@capstjoe.org, Bridget Dejong <bridget@homebaseccc.org>, Britani Marthe <Britani.Marthe@mymic.com>, "Busby, Jenni" <busby@ebs.k12.mo.us>, Cassie Spos-Haas <cassie.spos-haas@mhdc.com>, ccanchola@sb40pcs.com, Chelsea Howlett <chelseahowlett@noyeshome.org>, christy.momurphy@wyandotbhn.org, Chastity McCourt <cmccourt@communitymissionscorp.org>, Dale Good <dgood@doc.mo.gov>, "Dan Madinger (dan.madinger@usc.salvationarmy.org)" <dan.madinger@usc.salvationarmy.org>, Darryl Gach <dangach@gmail.com>, Debra Bradley <dbradley@stjoemo.org>, Danielle Brown <dbrown@interservstjoe.org>, Doug Evenson <devenson@capstjoe.org>, dgross@stjoemo.org, Diana Hafner <dianalynne53@gmail.com>, Dolly Mitchell <dmed@va.gov>, Donna Wilson <Donna.wilson@mymic.com>, Dana Peters <dpeters@capstjoe.org>, "Rich, David" <dnich@fgcnw.org>, Eva Cook <evacook@nwhealth-services.org>, frank.sindelar@mymic.com, haylee.gentry@noyeshome.org, hstjoe@gmail.com, Hannah Libel <hlibel@capstjoe.org>, Ryan Hildebrand <rhildebrand@yahoo.com>, "jcoillen@stjoymca.org" <jcoillen@stjoymca.org>, "jcoillen@stjoymca.org" <jcoillen@stjoymca.org>, jennifer.vonbohland@uhc.com, jgentry@fgcnw.org, Jeananne Gross <jgross@ocharities.com>, Jimalee O'Connor <jocannon@ocharities.com>, jhewitt@capstjoe.org, Jodi Bloemker <Jodi.bloemker@stjosephunitedway.org>, John O'Rourke <va.gov>, "Long, Karla" <karla.long@redcross.org>, "Kendra F. Bundy (kbundy@stjoemo.org)" <kbundy@stjoemo.org>, Kim Collado <kcollado@communitymissionscorp.org>, kevans@ocharities.com, Kathy Vereecke-Fiocadenti <kfiocadenti@ocharities.com>, "Hannon, Kristina" <khannon@fgcnw.org>, klynch_kimberly@uhc.com, Kim Siela <kim.siela@sjd.k12.mo.us>, Kim Kempf <kkempf@ywcasj.org>, kkbirge@stjoemo.org, Krista Kiger <kkiger@communitymissionscorp.org>, "Katie McCown (kmcowen@ocharities.com)" <kmcowen@ocharities.com>, Krystal Searcy <krystal.searcy@oalliances.org>, Katie Stoops <kstoops@interservstjoe.org>, Kylee Strough <kylee.strough@stjosephunitedway.org>, Laurie Christiansen <laurie.christiansen@sjd.k12.mo.us>, Leshae Walton <Leshae.walton@usc.salvationarmy.org>, linda.reardon@doc.mo.gov, Linda Judah <lindajudah@socialwelfareboard.org>, Lu Ann Ross <lross@hilcrestkc.org>, lmansfield@interservstjoe.org, marcus.martinez@va.gov, Mark Dobbs <markdobbs@nwhealth-services.org>, moox@communitymissionscorp.org, melissaf@pivotalpointstjoseph.org, michael.tonarely@oalliances.org, miriam.koontz@ssa.gov, mjind47@gmail.com, mikgriffin@stjoemo.org, nubbinb@gmail.com, pat.dillon@mymic.com, penny.adams@helpmenow.org, rachel.pederson@usc.salvationarmy.org, rbittiker@capstjoe.org, reaton@stjoemo.org, r.miller@mhdc.com, Ron Key <rkey@usc.salvationarmy.org>, ronna.shelton@mymic.com, rose.aker@usc.salvationarmy.org, rschwartz1562@gmail.com, rsharp@interservstjoe.org, rshelton@ywcasj.org, sandy.wilson@oalliances.org, sarah.blattel@usc.salvationarmy.org, scampbell@ocharities.com, scouler@hilcrestkc.org, sgilbert@ocharities.com, Shevella.gatson@wyandotbhn.org, slivingston@hilcrestkc.org, smendez@communitymissionscorp.org, sshay@ywcasj.org, stacy.downey@va.gov, stacy@sosstjoe.org, stefanie.noid@mymic.com, tkilin@ywcasj.org, tmignery@capstjoe.org, TSadler@lawmo.org, wdent@hilcrestkc.org, whickman@ywcasj.org, wkanker@lawmo.org, wlanning@capstjoe.org, yquintero@ocharities.com

Hello St Joseph CoC members:

On Wednesday, August 18, 2021, HUD released its [Notice of Funding Opportunity \(NOFO\) for the 2021 Continuum of Care \(CoC\) Program Competition](#). In 2020, our CoC received a total of \$1,673,690 in non-competitive CoC Program Funding. This year, CoC Program funding will be awarded through the normal competitive process.

If you would be interested in applying for this funding, please join us for a NOFA Kickoff on Wednesday, September 1, 2021, at noon via Zoom. This workshop will provide attendees with an overview of the local application process, as well as instructions for completing their applications. [Please click here to register for the meeting.](#)

Information will be provided about renewal project applications as well as applications for new projects. **We encourage all organizations interested in applying for CoC funding to attend.**

including organizations that have not previously received CoC funding. In addition to its renewal grants, the CoC can apply for a total of:

- \$81,362 in new projects for families or individuals experiencing homelessness, and
- \$221,675 in new projects for families or individuals fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, stalking, and/or human trafficking.

Project applications will be due on October 1, 2021.

Please forward this email and the **attached announcement** to anyone you think may be interested in applying for funding.

Thank you!



Homebase | **Bridget Kurtz DeJong** | Director of Capacity Building

Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

pt: 415-788-7961 ext. 324 | wt: www.homebaseecc.org

at: 870 Market Street, Suite 1228, San Francisco, CA 94102

Advancing Solutions to Homelessness

Legal and Technical Assistance | Policy | Advocacy | Planning

The content in this message is provided for information purposes only and does not constitute legal advice. Homebase does not enter into attorney-client relationships.



StJosephFundingAvailabilityAnnouncement2021.pdf

74K

New funding available

1 message

[email to AFL-CIO listserv](#)

AFL-CIO Community Services Agency <afl-mail@helpmenow.org>

Mon, Aug 30, 2021 at 8:41 AM

Reply-To: afl-mail@helpmenow.org

To: bridget@homebaseecc.org

Agency announcement



This message is forwarded courtesy of AFL-CIO Community Services Information & Referral Program.

To reply to this email, please reply directly by clicking on the email address listed here:

bridget@homebaseecc.org

[St Joseph Funding Available Announcement. pdf](#)

Hello!

On Wednesday, August 18, 2021, the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development released its **Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for the 2021 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition**. Through this competition, the federal government provides resources to help local organizations and governments deliver housing and services to people who have been experiencing homelessness.

In 2020, our community received a total of \$1,673,690 in non-competitive CoC Program Funding. In this year's competition, the St Joseph, Buchanan, Andrew, DeKalb County Continuum of Care has **at least \$81,362 available for new projects for people experiencing homelessness** (the CoC Bonus funding) and an additional **\$221,675 available for new housing projects for domestic violence survivors experiencing homelessness** (the DV Bonus funding).

WHO: Nonprofits and local government agencies with capacity and interest in applying for funds to develop new programs for people experiencing homelessness, including domestic violence survivors. **Agencies that do not currently receive CoC Program funds, as well as current recipients, are encouraged to apply for a new project.**

WHAT: In our community, HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) funding can provide resources for homelessness programs and housing, including for the following types of new projects:

From CoC Bonus and DV Bonus funding

- Rapid rehousing projects that will serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness

- Joint projects providing transitional housing and rapid rehousing in a single project that will serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness
- Supportive Services Only projects for Coordinated Entry

Only from CoC Bonus

- Permanent supportive housing projects for all or mostly chronically homeless people
- Dedicated HMIS projects (only HMIS Lead may apply)

WHERE: Funding requested must be used for programs within the geography of St. Joseph, Buchanan County, Andrew County or DeKalb County.

If you would be interested in applying for this funding, please join us for a **NOFA Kickoff on Wednesday, September 1, 2021, at noon via Zoom**. This workshop will provide attendees with an overview of the local application process, as well as instructions for completing their applications. [Please click here to register for the meeting.](#)

Please forward this email and the attached announcement to anyone you think may be interested in applying for funding.

Thank you!

Homebase | [Bridget Kurtt DeJong](#) | Director of Capacity Building

Pronouns: She/Her/He/Hers

p: 415-788-7961 ext. 324 w: www.homebaseccc.org

a: 870 Market Street, Suite 1228, San Francisco, CA 94102

Advancing Solutions to Homelessness

Legal and Technical Assistance | Policy | Advocacy | Planning

The content in this message is provided for information purposes only and does not constitute legal advice. Homebase does not enter into attorney-client relationships.

AFL-CIO Community Services
1203 N 6th St
St Joseph MO 64501
816.384.1131
www.helpmenow.org



AFL-CIO Community Services Agency | 1203 North 6th Street, St Joseph, MO 64501

[Unsubscribe](#) bridget@homebaseccc.org

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by afl-mail@helpmenow.org powered by



Try email marketing for free today!

The image shows a Microsoft Word document titled "2021 HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition" with a video player overlay and a sidebar of participant video feeds. The document content is as follows:

2021 HUD Continuum of Care (CoC)
Program Competition
Technical Assistance Workshop
Wednesday, September 1, 2021 at noon

Agenda

- I. Welcome and Introduction
- II. 2021 HUD CoC Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)
- III. Local Competition Process and Timeline
- IV. Renewal Projects
 - a. HUD Guidelines
 - b. Local Scoring and Application
- V. New Projects
 - a. New Project Types and DV Bonus Funding
 - b. Overview of HUD Priorities and CoC Program Requirements
 - c. Local Scoring and Application
 - d. T-SMAs

To request technical assistance at any point in this process,
please contact Homebase at: bridget@homebasecoc.org

Page 1 of 2 | 337 words | English (United States)

The video player overlay shows a play button and a progress bar. The sidebar on the right contains five video feeds of participants in a virtual meeting.

FY2021 CoC Application Materials*Email sent to individual agencies with competition materials*

Bridget Dejong <bridget@homebaseecc.org>

Wed, Sep 1, 2021 at 3:03 PM

To: Danielle Brown <dbrown@interservstjoe.org>, Randy Sharp <rsharp@interservstjoe.org>

Hello!

Thanks for attending today's St Joseph CoC kickoff meeting for the FY2021 CoC NOFO competition.

This email contains links to the resources we discussed:

- Application Materials: <https://homebase.box.com/s/mof1qqhqq625uzkimidyoiquz6kk2hqd>
 - Including:
 - Application Packet (which includes the funding available, the timeline, the GIW, the process, the scoring tools, and copies of the application materials)
 - A tool to support analysis of barriers to participation
 - Word versions of application materials
 - A copy of the 2021 CoC Action Plan
 - A recording of today's meeting

- TA Handbook: <https://www.homebaseecc.org/2021-coc-nofa-ta-handbook>
Password: NofaTA2021

Please let me know if you have any questions!

Take care,
Bridget

 Homebase | Bridget Kurti DeJong | Director of Capacity Building

Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

p: 415-788-7961 ext. 324 | w: www.homebaseecc.org

a: 870 Market Street, Suite 1228, San Francisco, CA 94102

Advancing Solutions to Homelessness

Legal and Technical Assistance | Policy | Advocacy | Planning

The content in this message is provided for information purposes only and does not constitute legal advice. Homebase does not enter into attorney-client relationships.

File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Window Help | box | Fri Sep 24 12:43 PM

Continuum of Care (St. Joseph) | +

https://www.stjoemo.info/954/Continuum-of-Care

Like the you haven't started Firefox in a while. Do you want to clean it up for a fresh, like-new experience? And by the way, welcome back! Refresh Firefox...

Sign In

St. Joseph Missouri

About Government Living & Visiting I'm Looking For...

f t v Search...

Home » Government » Departments & Services » Planning & Community Development » Community Development » Continuum of Care

Continuum of Care

Serving St. Joseph, Buchanan, Andrew and DeKalb Counties MO-603

- [St. Joseph Continuum of Care Competition Booklet FY2021 \(PDF\)](#)

Link to competition booklet including scoring tools

CAPER (PDF)

COBG Activity Summary Report (PDF)

Continuum of Care

Annual Plan (PDF)

The image shows a screenshot of a web browser window. The address bar contains the URL: <https://www.stjoseph.info/DocumentCenter/View/95160/91-St-Joseph-Continuum-of-Care-Competition-Booklet-FY2021-PDFBooklet>. The page content includes a teal header bar with the text "St Joseph/Buchanan, Andrew, DeKalb County Continuum of Care". Below this, the main title "FY2021 HUD Continuum of Care Competition" is displayed in a large, light purple font. Underneath the title, the subtitle "Application Process & Materials" is shown in a smaller, light purple font. The browser interface includes a menu bar at the top with options like File, Edit, View, History, Bookmarks, Tools, Window, and Help. The system clock in the top right corner shows "Fri Sep 24 12:40 PM".

FY2021 St Joseph/Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties

CoC NOFA Process and Timeline

Event/ Activity	Responsible	Date/Time	Place	Notes
NOFA Released	HUD	August 18, 2021	N/A	HUD releases the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)
August CoC Meeting	CoC	August 25, 2021	Zoom	Approve Homebase-proposed changes to scoring tools
NOFA Kickoff Meetings/Trainings for Applicants	Homebase	Wednesday, September 1, 2021 at Noon	Zoom	Release information about local priorities and HUD guidelines for proposals. Agencies will be given a proposal package and training on how to complete the application.
Homebase Sends PRESTO Project Evaluations to Renewal Applicants	Homebase	Wednesday, September 1, 2021 by 5:00 PM	Via PRESTO/email	
Project Evaluations Returned to Homebase	Agencies	Before September 27, 2021 at 5:00 PM	Via PRESTO/email	Projects return Draft Project Evaluations with edits. Returning edits sooner may support applications.
Agencies write Proposals	Agencies	September 1- October 1, 2021	N/A	Includes HUD Project Application and local supplemental information.
CoC NOFA Committee meets	Homebase	Friday, September 17, 2021 at 12:30 PM	Zoom. Register here	CoC Application preparation
September CoC Meeting	CoC	Wednesday, September 29, 2021	Zoom	Adoption of policies; trainings

Agency Proposals are due	Agencies	Friday, October 1, 2021 at noon	Via email	
Rank & Review Committee Training	Homebase	Monday, October 4, 2021, Time TBD	Zoom	Rank & Review Committee receives training for scoring projects using PRESTO.
Rank & Review Committee reviews Proposals	Homebase, Committee	Monday, October 4, 2021- Wednesday, October 20, 2021	N/A	Rank & Review Committee reads and scores proposals individually.
Rank & Review Committee meets for Rank & Review	Homebase	Tuesday, October 19- Wednesday, October 20, 2021	Zoom	Rank & Review Committee meets to discuss proposals and determine how projects will be ranked.
Distribution of Preliminary Priority Listing	Homebase	Thursday, October 21, 2021 (by midnight)	Via e-mail	Preliminary priority list emailed to agencies.
Notification of Appeal	Agencies	Friday, October 22, 2021 by 5:00 PM	Via e-mail	Applicants who intend to appeal their ranking on the Preliminary Priority Listing must notify HomeBase of their intent to appeal.
Appeals due	Agencies	Monday, October 25, 2021 by 5:00 PM	Via e-mail	Agencies may inspect their scores and formulate a written appeal based on appeal policy.
Appeal Committee meets	Appeal Committee	Tuesday, October 26, 2021, Time TBD	Online/TBD	Review appeals and recalculate scores, if necessary.
Priority Listing is distributed to applicants	HomeBase	Tuesday, October 26, 2021 (by midnight)	Via e-mail	
October CoC Meeting	CoC	Wednesday, October 27, 2021	United Way	CoC approves ranked list

2021 Overview of the St Joseph CoC NOFA Process

PRE-NOFA: Creation of Process

- The NOFA Committee of the CoC meets to prepare a draft process and tools for the annual competition.
- The CoC reviews and approves the Committee's work.

PRE-NOFA: Renewal Projects Preparation

- Homebase collects APRs generated from HMIS or comparable database for victim services providers from each renewal applicant for the time period from April 1, 2020- March 31, 2021.
- Homebase enters performance data in its Project Evaluation and Scoring Tool (PRESTO), including APR data and other information provided by both the applicant and HMIS lead agency.
- PRESTO project summaries will be included in the Review and Rank materials as a part of the local competition.
- Homebase distributes draft PRESTO program summaries to applicants for review and comment prior to using them in Rank and Review process.

Focus on data for objective scoring factors, comparable database used for DV data

New Projects Preparation

- Prior to NOFA release, the CoC circulates information about the potential to apply for new project funding, and offers technical assistance to interested agencies.
- After NOFA release, the CoC advertises the new project funding available in this CoC widely by:
 - Circulating information to CoC membership, and asking members to post at their agencies and share widely
 - Distributing information via AFL-CIO Community Services listserv (widely used in this community to advertise grant opportunities and other information, inclusive of 600+ individuals)
 - Posting information on the City's website and on the City's information channel.

AFTER NOFA RELEASE: All Projects Application Process

- The CoC hosts a CoC NOFA Kickoff Training to orient applicants to the process for reviewing and ranking applications, including supplemental local application materials, the scoring tools and relevant dates. Applicants will also have a chance to ask any questions about both the local and HUD application processes. Technical assistance will be available during the application preparation period.
- Qualified, non-conflicted Rank & Review Committee members are recruited. Homebase will make every effort to ensure membership includes: (a) persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and (b) at least one member with lived experience of homelessness, especially unsheltered homelessness, in the review, selection, and ranking process.
- Renewal applicants submit comments on draft PRESTO project summaries.
- All applicants submit HUD project applications and local application materials.
- At submission, if there is a lack of eligible new project applications compared to the amount of funding available, additional new project applications will be sought from the community.
- Rank & Review Committee members are oriented to the review and ranking process, scoring tools, CoC monitoring procedures, and PRESTO.
 - The CoC has a goal of improving St Joseph CoC's System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization and increasing resources available to end homelessness in our CoC. Certain scoring factors relate to specific System Performance Measures (SPM), as enumerated in each factor.
- Rank & Review Committee members receive all local application and scoring materials and review and score each program's application in PRESTO.
 - Committee members also review overall performance data of each project and provide feedback about annual performance as part of the CoC's monitoring process.
 - Rank & Review Committee members keep in mind that performance outcomes will naturally be lower in a more difficult-to-serve population (such as chronically homeless people and homeless people with mental and/or addictive illnesses).
- The Rank & Review Committee meet to jointly review project applications. Committee members individually score applications based on the scoring tools, and scores are aggregated to create a ranked list.

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking and relate to specific SPMs.

- New project applicants have a scheduled phone appointment with the Rank and Review Committee to answer any questions about their proposal.
- **In 2021 Competition Only:** In the Rank and Review Committee's sole discretion, if a project's performance on any Scoring Factor was impacted by the pandemic and the impact was 1) outside the applicant's control and 2) is adequately described in the project's application materials, the Rank & Review Committee may adjust the project's score on that factor by increasing it one step in the scoring factor (e.g., if the scoring factor awards either 1, 3 or 5 points and the project scored a 1, the Committee may increase the project's score on the factor to 3, but not to 5).
- The Rank & Review Committee determine if any renewal project should receive a decrease in funding due to substandard performance or utilization of funds. (See Reallocation Policies below.) Any funding captured from an existing project will be made available for reallocation to a new project that meets the requirements in the NOFA.
- Any new project that is expanding a renewal project is scored and ranked like all other new projects, however after project selection and ranking, if the new project is not ranked below the renewal project on the priority list, it will be placed directly below the renewal project on the priority list.
- Renewal HMIS projects are a necessary part of this CoC and are ranked at the bottom of Tier 1 above the straddling project.
- Renewal projects that are newly operating and have not yet submitted an APR are ranked at the bottom of Tier 1 above the HMIS project.
- New projects are ranked in renewal project list according to priority order as determined by Rank & Review Committee.
- **Ranked list and scoring results will be sent to applicants with a reminder of the appeals process.**
- Appeals, if any, will be considered. (See appeals policy below).
- Depending on timing, a final ranked project list is presented to the CoC for discussion and approval at its next meeting or will be submitted to the CoC electronically, the CoC will discuss, and non-conflicted members will approve it via e-survey.
- Homepage provides technical assistance to all ranked applications to ensure that applications meet HUD technical standards.

- Homebase collects all final Project Applications and prepares them for submission to HUD, along with the Priority Listing, as part of the CoC's Consolidated Application.

Reallocation Policies

Reallocation Policy for Unexpended Funds

The CoC, Executive Committee and/or Rank & Review Committee will work with grantees that show a history of not expending the full amount of HUD funding are subject to an annual reallocation

process as part of the NOFA Rank and Review committee. Specifically: If a program has 10 percent or greater of HUD funds remaining at the end of the program year and has established a 3 year trend of having 10 percent or greater of HUD funds remaining at the end of the program year, the Rank & Review Committee in conjunction with the Executive Committee reserves the right to reallocate a portion of the funds from that program to another program or a new project.

Reallocation for Underperforming Programs

The CoC, Executive Committee and/or Rank & Review Committee will work with grantees that have been deemed to be underperforming or have had HUD Monitoring findings that call into question the project's ability to meet performance or financial management standards. The CoC will assess the project and set up goals and objectives to bring a failing project up to standards and/or answer any HUD findings. If the agency is unable or unwilling to meet standards or satisfy HUD findings, the CoC will work with the funding agency to mitigate the findings. If the project continues to underperform, cannot meet the stated objectives and goals or cannot comply with HUD findings then that project will be recommended for Reallocation in the next HUD NOFA process.

Appeals Process

The Rank & Review Committee reviews all applications and ranks them for funding recommendations to HUD. Applicants may appeal the decision by following the process set forth below. All appeals must be based on the information submitted by the application due date. No new or additional information will be considered. Omissions to the application cannot be appealed. The decision of the Appeal Panel will be final.

Who May Appeal

A project may appeal if the Rank & Review Committee recommends a renewal project for full or partial reallocation or for Tier 2, or if a new project is not selected for funding.

Initiating a Formal Appeal

Any agency desiring to appeal must contact the CoC Chair by the date and time on the CoC NOFA Process and Timeline to state its intent to appeal of the Rank & Review Committee's decision regarding their rank. The Formal Appeal must consist of a short, clear, written statement (no longer than 1 page) of the agency's appeal of the Rank & Review Committee's decision. The statement can be in the form of a letter, a memo, or an email transmittal. The Formal Appeal must be transmitted to Collaborative Applicant (or its designee). ***The Formal Appeal must be emailed or delivered so that it is received by the deadline.***

The Formal Appeal Process

Upon timely receipt of the Formal Appeal, an Appeal Panel will be formed as described below. The Appeal Panel may make inquiries of the Rank & Review Committee members concerning the issues raised in the appeal. The Appeal Panel will meet by telephone or video conference with a representative(s) of the party making the appeal to discuss the issue(s) at an Appeal Hearing set at a date taking in consideration the date the application is due to be filed with HUD. The Collaborative Applicant and/or Appeal Panel may consider the possible effect their decision may have on another agency's rank and contact potentially affected agencies to invite them to become involved in the appeal process and hearing. The decision of the Appeal Panel will be final.

Members of the Appeal Panel

A 3-member Appeal Panel will be selected and can consist of individuals from non-profits, foundations, consumers, government, and private agencies and similar organizations. Representatives will not have a conflict of interest with any of the agencies or parties applying for CoC Program funding as defined by the existing Review and Rank Panel conflict of interest rules.

St Joseph CoC

2021 Prioritization Scoring Sheet for Renewal Projects

Threshold Requirements

Project must meet all of HUD's threshold requirements for renewal projects (including eligibility requirements). If threshold requirements are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

Local Threshold Requirement:

- **PARTICIPATION IN THE COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM (CES) including that** the agency is currently participating in the implementation of CES at the monthly meetings and is both accepting all referrals from CES and currently doing vulnerability assessments and entering them into the HMIS system (or bringing them to the monthly CES meeting)

Scoring Factors

In the 2021 Competition Only: In the Rank and Review Committee's sole discretion, if a project's performance on any Scoring Factor was impacted by the pandemic and the impact was 1) outside the applicant's control and 2) is adequately described in the project's application materials, the Rank & Review Committee may adjust the project's score on that factor by increasing it one step in the scoring factor (e.g., if the scoring factor awards either 1, 3 or 5 points and the project scored a 1, the Committee may increase the project's score on the factor to 3, but not to 5).

Capacity and Compliance (37 points)

1. **AGENCY CAPACITY:** Does the agency **currently have any findings from a HUD monitoring review** that have been open for a period greater than six months, for which the agency has missed a HUD-stated deadline or has the agency experienced HUD sanctions in the past year? - ***This section is worth up to 6 points***
 - If the agency does not have open findings or sanctions, add 6 points
 - If the agency has an open finding, but has submitted a plan for corrective action to HUD, add 3 points

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking

- If no plan has been submitted or the agency has sanctions, 0 points and see reallocation policy

2. HMIS COMPLIANCE (related to all SPM)- *This section is worth up to 6 points*

- If the agency currently **in compliance with HMIS** (as determined by HMIS lead), add 2 points
- If the agency has been **in compliance with HMIS (as determined by HMIS lead) for the past year** (12 months prior to release of NOFA), add 2 points
- **DV projects: if agency is in compliant with HUD requirements for compliant database**, add 4 points.
- If **HIC data was accurate and submitted on time**, add 2 points

Scoring factors have equivalent scoring for DV projects

3. CoC PARTICIPATION & SUPPORT: Does the Agency Participate in the CoC?- *This section is worth 5 points*

- If the **agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings** (12 months prior to release of NOFA), add 5 points.

4. LOW BARRIER AND HOUSING FIRST FOR ALL HOUSING PROGRAMS (related to SPM 1, 3, 7): *This section is worth up to 10 points based on application and APR information*

- Was the agency able to check off all boxes for low barrier and project termination allowing the agency to qualify for "Housing First" compliance in the project application?
- Does the program description in the renewal application have any elements or language that would be in conflict with Housing First and Low Barrier housing policies?
- Residence prior to entry indicates low barrier/Housing First practices

5. UNEXPENDED FUNDS: Amount left from Previous Grant- *This section is worth up to 10 points*

- If the agency **expended all HUD grant funds and made at least quarterly draw downs**, add 10 points. The project will **lose two points for every percent of the grant remaining**. If 5% or more, 0 points will be awarded. If quarterly draw downs are not made, reduce final score by 2 points, without incurring a negative score. *Please note- if the program had greater than 10% of funds

remaining at the end of the program year, and has established a 3 year trend of not expending funds, the review committee reserves the right to reallocate a portion of the funds.

Performance and Outcomes (80 points)

6. **SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 2, 7)- Housing- *This section is worth up to 20 points***

Permanent Supportive Housing/Safe Haven/Rapid Rehousing: Housing Destination Upon Exit (*The percentage of all leavers who either passed away or exited the program to a permanent housing destination*)

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking and relate to specific SPMs. Scoring benchmarks were selected based on review of national averages for performance.

- If 90% or more of those leaving went to positive destinations (i.e., permanent housing and death) upon leaving, add 10 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 8 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 6 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 4 points
- If between 70% and 74.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 2 points

Rapid Rehousing: Length of Stay

- If the average length of stay is less than 180 days, add 10 points
- If the average length of stay is less than 360 days, add 7 points
- If the average length of stay is less than 540 days, add 4 points.

Permanent Supportive Housing: Permanent Housing Retention or Exit

- If 95% or more of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 10 points

- If between 90 and 94.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 8 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 6 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 4 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 2 points

Safe Haven: Positive Housing Outcome

- If more than 90% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 10 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 8 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 6 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 4 points
- If between 70% and 75.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 2 points

7. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Earned Income for Stayers *(The percentage of adults who remained in the program who maintained the same amount of employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their employment income from entry to their most recent annual assessment) -This section is worth up to 3 points*

- If the agency had 15% or greater of adult stayers that maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 3 points
- If at least 7% but less than 15% of adults that maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 1.5 points

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking and relate to specific SPMs. Scoring benchmarks were selected based on review of national averages for performance.

- If less than 7% of adults maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), 0 points

8. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Earned Income for Leavers *(The percentage of adults who exited the program who maintained the same amount of employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their employment income from entry to exit) - This section is worth up to 3 points*

- If the agency had 27% or greater of adults that maintained or increased earned income, add 3 points
- If at least 19% but less than 27% of adults that maintained or increased earned income, add 1.5 points
- If less than 19% of adults maintained or increased earned income, 0 points

9. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Non-Employment Cash Income for Stayers *(The percentage of adults who remained in the program who maintained the same amount of non-employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their non-employment income from entry to their most recent annual assessment) - This section is worth up to 3 points*

- If the agency had 26% or greater of adult stayers that maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 3 points
- If at least 18% but less than 26% of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 1.5 points
- If less than 18% of adults maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), 0 points

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking and relate to specific SPMs. Scoring benchmarks were selected based on review of national averages for performance.

10. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Non-Employment Cash Income for Leavers *(The percentage of adults who exited the program who maintained the same amount of non-employment income (excluding \$0 at entry) or increased their non-employment income from entry to exit) - This section is worth up to 3 points*

- If the agency had 30% or greater of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income, add 3 points

- If at least 22% but less than 30% of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income, add 1.5 points
- If less than 22% of adults maintained or increased non-employment cash income, 0 points

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 2, 7b)- Mainstream Benefits (not including health insurance) (The percentage of adults with at least one non cash benefit at exit or follow up assessment (excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment)- This section worth up to 8 points

- If at least 85% of the participants receive non-cash mainstream benefits, add 8 points
- If between 60% and 85% of participants receive non-cash mainstream benefits, add 4 points

12. SEVERITY OF NEEDS- This section is worth up to 10 points

- If at program entry, more than 50% of adults have no earned or unearned income, add 5 points
- If the program serves other populations with severe needs or vulnerabilities with strong outcomes, add 5 points. Such populations may include:
 - Current or past substance use,
 - Criminal record (esp for certain infractions),
 - Survivor of domestic violence,
 - LGBTQ status,
 - Significant health or behavioral health challenges that impede housing maintenance,
 - High utilization of crisis/emergency services,
 - Unsheltered youth/children,
 - Vulnerability to illness/death,
 - Vulnerability to victimization (e.g. trafficking, sex work).

Consideration for projects providing housing and services to populations that are hardest to serve

Scoring factors about evaluating DV projects based on degree to which they improve safety for the population they serve

For example, projects serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, trafficking, stalking, or other persons that meet the definition of homeless in Category 4, must show they improve safety for the population they serve by reflecting that at least 90% have a safety plan or report increased safety, at least 85% access or maintain housing, and at least 18% have income.

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking - cost effectiveness, utilization.

13. Cost Effectiveness- Cost Per Service- *This section is worth up to 2 points*

- Is the cost per permanent housing outcome consistent with the type of programming provided? Add 1 point
- Is the cost per household served consistent with the type of programming provided? Add 1 point

14. Chronic Prioritization and Dedication- *This section is worth up to 2 points*

- If 90-100% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, add 2 points
- If 75-90% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, add 1 point
- If less than 75% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, 0 points

15. Utilization Rate (related to SPM 1, 3)- *This section is worth up to 4 points*

- If the average daily bed utilization rate is more than 90%, add 2 points
- If the average daily bed utilization rate is between 80% and 90%, add 1 point
- If the average unit utilization rate is more than 90%, add 2 points
- If the average unit utilization rate is between 80% and 90%, add 1 point

16. 2021 CoC Action Plan - *This section is worth up to 10 points.*

- Has this applicant taken steps to incorporate the priorities from 2021 CoC Action Plan into the agency's activities and planning?

17. Equity - *This section is worth up to 5 points.*

- Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 110

St Joseph CoC

2021 Prioritization Scoring Sheet For New Housing Projects

Threshold Requirements

If any of the HUD threshold requirements or local threshold requirements are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

- A. **Eligible:** Is this project eligible for funding? Is the applicant eligible to receive CoC funding (i.e. valid applicant type, SAM registered, has DUNS number, no outstanding delinquent federal debt, has fully disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law, and no debarments/suspensions)? Is the project type eligible for funding under this CoC NOFA and this CoC's local competition rules? Is the project consistent with the local Consolidated Plan? Will the project be ready to start by HUD's statutory deadlines? Does this project propose to serve an eligible population, with eligible costs? Does the project have adequate match?
 - a. Homebase will be available to help panellists complete this assessment.

- B. **Low Barrier and Housing First:** Was the agency able to check off all boxes for "Low Barrier" and "Housing First Approach" box in the project application? Were the project application narratives aligned with Housing First practices?

- C. **HMIS Participation:** Does the agency currently participate, or has it acknowledged and agreed to participate, in the HMIS of the CoC or comparable database if a DV provider?

- D. **Coordinated Entry Participation:** Does agency actively participate in or will participate in the COC Coordinated Intake meetings? Does the agency have an adequate strategy detailing how they will use Coordinated Entry?

- E. **Program Capacity - Financial:** Does the applicant have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did the applicant provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

- F. **Projects serving survivors of domestic violence** must demonstrate trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches.

Scoring Factors¹

Community Needs (30 points)

1. Unmet Need (5 points)

- Will the project address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC?
- For DV projects, can the project quantify need?

Equivalent factors
for DV projects

2. Does the Agency Participate in the CoC or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? (10 points)

- Has the agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020-August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness?

Objective
scoring factors

3. Bonus Points for Community Need (up to 15 points total)

- If the project submitted is a priority project type and/or has a priority project design, the project will be awarded 15 bonus points.

Priority Project Types in St Joseph in 2021: housing for chronically homeless people, housing to meet gap in crisis housing, permanent supportive housing, or HMIS

Priority Project Design in St Joseph in 2021: Project is designed to address community needs identified in the 2021 CoC Action Plan, which may include:

- Including Behavioral Health services included as part of budget,
- Providing Housing Specialist to do landlord engagement, or
- Creating a case management ratio of 1:15 or less.

¹ All of the scoring factors in this tool measure projects' anticipated contribution to improving System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization and increasing resources available to end homelessness our CoC. Certain scoring factors relate to specific Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

Improving System Performance (60 points)

4. Population Served (10 points) (related to SPM 1, 7)

- Is the population to be served well defined?
- Will the project prioritize serving project participants with the highest need?
- **Does the applicant or subrecipients (if any) have experience serving the targeted population (including if DV)?**
- Does the applicant or subrecipients (if any) understand the needs of the clients to be served?
- To what extent will the program be able to effectively serve eligible clients of different backgrounds, experiences, cultures, abilities, and language proficiencies?

Equivalent factors
for DV projects

5. Program Design (10 points) (related to SPM 2, 3, 7b)

- Will at least 25 percent of the units (PSH) or participants (RRH) will be supported with non-CoC funded housing?
- Does the project leverage healthcare resources to support program participants, as evidenced by a written commitment from a health care organization that equals 25% of the funding requested?
- Will the project meet the needs of program participants?
- Do the services described and staffing pattern seem adequate and appropriate?
- Will staff be adequately trained to support the population?
- Does the applicant or subrecipients (if any) have experience providing housing similar to that proposed in the application? **For DV projects, will project meet safety outcomes?** Please identify how you measure improved safety, why that measure is effective, and provide data on past performance using your suggested measure(s).

Equivalent factors
for DV projects
regarding safety

6. Outreach (5 points) (related to SPM 1, 4, 5, 7)

- Does the agency adequately describe a strategy to outreach to special populations that may include LGBT, unaccompanied youth, or families?
- How will the program ensure clients receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed?
- Does the agency strategy affirmatively further fair housing by providing outreach to special populations?
- Does the agency provide clear strategies that affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c) (see below), and ensure that outreach is

conducted to homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach?

Objective scoring factors tied to SPM

7. System Performance Improvement: Reduce Length of Time People are Homeless (10 points) (SPM 1)

- If PSH: Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to reduce barriers to housing? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to provide ongoing services? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to partner with property management?
- If RRH: Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to identify, recruit and retain landlords? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to reduce barriers to housing? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to administer rent and move-in assistance?
- Agency will provide data about past performance reducing the length of time people are homeless. Data will be compared to renewal project scoring tool scales which are based on past CoC performance and national performance.

Objective scoring factors tied to SPM to evaluate rapid returns to permanent housing

8. System Performance Improvement: Increase Exits to/Maintenance of Permanent Housing (10 points) (SPM 2, 7)

- Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to provide supportive services to clients to assist in locating housing and obtaining employment and/or benefits?
- Does the agency strategy emphasize client choice?
- Agency will provide data about past performance increasing exits to or maintenance of permanent housing. Data will be compared to renewal project scoring tool scales which are based on past CoC performance and national performance.

9. System Performance Improvement: Limit Returns to Homelessness (10 points) (SPM 2)

- Does the agency have an adequate strategy for follow up after placement or program exit?
- Agency will provide data about past performance reducing returns to homelessness. Data will be compared to renewal project scoring tool scales which are based on past CoC performance and national performance.

10. Equity (5 points)

- Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

Agency Capacity (25 points)

11. Program Capacity (15 points)

- Does the applicant have the expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure needed to meet all administrative requirements?
- Does the agency have experience operating similar programs and receiving similar grants, specifically federal grants?
- Does the agency have a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities?

12. Agency Compliance (10 points)

- Does the agency have any outstanding financial audit findings or concerns?
- Does the agency have any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues? If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 115 Points

St Joseph CoC

2021 Prioritization Scoring Sheet For New HMIS Projects

Threshold Requirements

If any of the HUD threshold requirements or local threshold requirements are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

- A. **Eligible:** Is this project eligible for funding? Is the applicant eligible to receive CoC funding (i.e. valid applicant type, SAM registered, has DUNS number, no outstanding delinquent federal debt, has fully disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law, and no debarments/suspensions)? Is the project type eligible for funding under this CoC NOFA and this CoC's local competition rules? Is the project consistent with the local Consolidated Plan? Will the project be ready to start by HUD's statutory deadlines? Does this project propose to serve an eligible population, with eligible costs? Does the project have adequate match?
 - a. Homebase will be available to help panelists complete this assessment.
- B. **HUD HMIS Compliance:** Will the proposed project consistent with HUD's Data and Technical Standards and with the CoC's HMIS policies?
- C. **Coordinated Entry Participation:** Will the proposed project be compatible with and accessible to the Coordinated Entry system? Does the agency have an adequate strategy detailing how they will interact with Coordinated Entry?
- D. **Program Capacity - Financial:** Does the applicant have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did the applicant provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?
- E. **Projects serving survivors of domestic violence** must demonstrate trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches.

Scoring Factors²

Community Needs (30 points)

1. Unmet Need (5 points)

- Will the project address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC?
- For DV projects, can the project quantify need?

Equivalent factors
for DV projects

2. Does the Agency Participate in the CoC or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? (10 points)

- Has the agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020-August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness?

Objective scoring
factors

3. Bonus Points for Community Need (up to 15 points total)

- If the project submitted is a priority project type and/or has a priority project design, the project will be awarded 15 bonus points.

Priority Project Types in St Joseph in 2021: housing for chronically homeless people, housing to meet gap in crisis housing, permanent supportive housing, or HMIS

Priority Project Design in St Joseph in 2021: Project is designed to address community needs identified in the 2021 CoC Action Plan, which may include:

- Including Behavioral Health services included as part of budget,
- Providing Housing Specialist to do landlord engagement, or
- Creating a case management ratio of 1:15 or less.

² All of the scoring factors in this tool measure projects' anticipated contribution to improving System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization and increasing resources available to end homelessness our CoC. Certain scoring factors relate to specific Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

Contributions to System Performance (60 points)

An HMIS that will support accurate, complete, and timely data collection and analysis by the CoC will improve system performance. These scoring factors are intended to determine if the proposed HMIS project will support this goal.

4. Design: Will CoC funding improve the accuracy, timeliness, scope, or completeness of the community's data? (15 points)

Consider:

- Will the project increase HMIS capacity and functionality? For example, will the project bring HMIS to new projects that were not previously using HMIS or provide additional training that is expected to improve data quality?
- Has the agency indicated its intent to improve agency and CoC access to data in a form that can be analyzed and assist the Continuum of Care in assessing homeless needs, allocating resources, and coordinating services?

5. Data Quality. Will the project ensure data quality for the homeless system? (20 points)

Consider:

- Does the HMIS collect all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards?
- Does the HMIS have a data quality plan or strategy that will ensure accuracy and completeness for data elements that feed into SPMs such as entry and exit data (SPM 1, 2, 7) and that ensures consistent and accurate input of income data including frequency, etc. (SPM 4)?
- Will the reports produced for the Continuum of Care and for HUD be useful and satisfactory to meet the requirements for the CoC obligations (AHAR, PIT, HIC, APR, CAPER, etc.)?
- Will the HMIS produce reports needed for other Federal agencies (HUD, VA, HHS)?
- Does the HMIS un-duplicate client records?
- Has the agency indicated that the data quality for the system will be within an acceptable range?

6. Data Security. Has the agency demonstrated, through past performance, the ability to manage confidential and critical data? (10 points)

Consider:

- Does the agency have a staff person responsible for ensuring the implementation meets all security standards as required by HUD and the federal partners?
- Does the agency have a specific plan for monitoring traffic on the database and appropriately addressing potential threats and/or suspicious log entries?
- Does the agency have a specific plan for training providers on how to keep the database secure?
- Does the agency have a process in place to remove community members who no longer need access to HMIS (e.g., leave their job, fired, etc.) quickly?

7. Training. Has the agency indicated its intent to conduct trainings and otherwise assist projects to move into compliance with HUD HMIS Data Standards? (10 points)

Consider:

- The types of training proposed
- The frequency of training proposed
- Past performance (in this or other CoCs) related to satisfaction from training participants.
 - Minimum Objective: 65% satisfaction from training participants.

8. Data Access. Will the proposed project be accessible to users? (5 points)

Consider:

- Is the HMIS set up in a way that appropriate providers can run required reports independently?
- Is the HMIS system available at least 360 days a year with minimal down time?
- Do CoC requests for HMIS information and changes get met as requested within a reasonable time? Please provide data on response rates and timelines.
 - Minimum Objective: 90% response rate; within 5 business days.
- How will the HMIS provider respond to CoC requests for data?

Agency Capacity (25 points)

9. Program Capacity (10 points)

- Does the applicant have the expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure needed to meet all administrative requirements?
- Does the agency have experience operating similar programs and receiving similar grants, specifically federal grants?
- Does the agency have a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities?

10. Agency Compliance (10 points)

- Does the agency have any outstanding financial audit findings or concerns?
- Does the agency have any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues? If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

11. Equity (5 points)

- Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 115 Points

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

Local Application Materials for Renewal Projects

Renewal project applicants should respond to the following questions for each renewal project and submit this form with their other materials by **October 1, 2021 at noon** to bridget@homebaseccc.org.

Agency	
Project	
Contact Name	
Contact Telephone	
Contact Email	

1. Threshold Requirements

Please check each box to confirm that the following statements are true:

- The project applicant's performance meets the plans and goals established in the initial application (as amended).
- The project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including that standards for the expenditure of grant funds have been met.
- The project applicant's performance assists program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success.
- The project applicant has been willing to accept technical assistance, has not had a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has no indications of project mismanagement, has not had a drastic reduction in the population served, has not made program changes without prior HUD approval, and has not lost a project site.
- Project meets HUD threshold requirements for renewal projects including that the project has **none** of the following:
 - Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;
 - Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;
 - History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;
 - Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;

- History of other major capacity issues that have significantly impacted the operation of the project and its performance;
- History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; or
- History of serving ineligible persons, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.

Applicant's past performance in managing funds reflects:

- The ability to account for funds in compliance with applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirement
- Timely use of funds received from HUD
- Timely submission and quality of reports submitted to HUD
- That projects meet program requirements
- That projects meet performance targets as established in the grant agreement
- Strong organizational capacity, including staffing structures and capabilities
- That timelines for completion of activities and receipt of promised matching or leveraged funds are met
- That the correct number of persons to be served or targeted for assistance is served
- That the project is promoting self-sufficiency and economic independence
- That the project is producing positive outcomes and results; and
- That the project is encouraging participation with faith-based entities.

The project applicant is currently participating in the implementation of CES at the monthly meetings and is both accepting all referrals from CES and currently doing vulnerability assessments and entering them into the HMIS system (or bringing them to the monthly CES meeting).

2. Threshold Explanation

If you are unable to check one of the boxes above, please provide an explanation (one page limit).

3. HUD Monitoring

Are there any unresolved HUD monitoring findings or concerns or outstanding HUD audit findings related to any project of your agency?

Yes **No**

4. Sanctions

Has HUD instituted any sanctions on any project of your agency, including, but not limited to, suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing eLOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues?

Yes **No**

5. Sanctions Explanation

If yes to either (3) or (4) above, please provide the written communications between HUD and the project concerning those matters and describe the issue and status here, including the extent to which you have advised the Collaborative Applicant of the outstanding HUD findings or concerns (one page limit).

6. Low Barrier and Housing First

Does your CoC project application state that your agency qualifies as Housing First in your Project Application (including, specifically, on Page 3B of the application)?

Yes **No**

7. Unexpended Funds (Questions 7 through 13)

a. Please complete this chart:

FY2016 CoC Grant Amount	
FY2016 Total Amount Expended	
FY2017 CoC Grant Amount	
FY2017 Total Amount Expended	
FY2018 CoC Grant Amount	
FY2018 Total Amount Expended	
FY2019 CoC Grant Amount	
FY2019 Total Amount Expended (if grant year is finished)	

b. Please list the dates that you drew down funds from eLOCCS during your most recently completed grant operating year:

-
-
-
-

8. Program Budget: Please provide your total program budget for this project during your most recently completed grant year (including all resources that support the project, not just CoC resources): _____ (This number will support cost-effectiveness calculations related to scoring factor 13.)

9. 2021 CoC Action Plan: Has your agency taken steps to incorporate the priorities from 2021 CoC Action Plan into the agency’s activities and planning? (Please keep your answer to less than a page.)

10. Equity: Has your agency or this project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have you taken or will you take to eliminate the identified barriers? (Please keep your answer to less than a page.)

11. Context: Per the scoring sheet, the Rank and Review Committee will be provided with:

- a. Information from the CoC Chair and HMIS Lead about HMIS compliance (scoring factor 2) and CoC participation (scoring factor 3), and
- b. Information about performance outcomes for your project based on your most recently completed APR (which will inform scoring for scoring factors 4 - 15).

If you would like to provide additional information that may inform the Rank and Review Committee about your agency’s performance on these scoring factors, you may provide a narrative to supplement.

Applicants can use this opportunity to provide the Rank and Review Committee members explanatory or qualifying information regarding those scoring factors on which their project may not score perfectly and to encourage members to exercise

discretion in changing the scores for those factors. Specifically, **for 2021 only**, if this project's performance on any Scoring Factor was impacted by the pandemic and the impact was 1) outside your agency's control and 2) is adequately described here, the Rank & Review Committee may adjust this project's score on that factor by increasing it one step in the scoring factor (e.g., if the scoring factor awards either 1, 3 or 5 points and the project scored a 1, the Committee may increase the project's score on the factor to 3, but not to 5).

Applicants may use data and past performance information to support their arguments, including, for example, information regarding the special nature of the population served, unusual or unforeseeable circumstances beyond the project's control, or other reasons the project's data does not adequately reflect its work.

(Please keep your answer to less than two pages.)

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

Local Application Materials for New Housing Projects

New project applicants should respond to the following questions and submit this form with their other materials by **October 1, 2021 at noon** to bridget@homebaseccc.org. Please note that reviewers will score your project based on this this document together with your HUD Project Application.

Agency	
Project	
Contact Name	
Contact Telephone	
Contact Email	

General Project Information

1. What type of project are you applying for?
 - Permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless people or DedicatedPLUS
 - Rapid rehousing
 - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing Component project
 - Supportive Services Only for Coordinated Entry projects
2. Which funding are you applying for? (Please check all that apply.)
 - Bonus funding
 - Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus funding
 - Reallocated funding
3. What population do you intend to serve with this project?
 - Chronically homeless
 - Survivors of domestic violence
 - Other: _____
 - Other: _____

Threshold Requirements

Please check each box to confirm that the following statements regarding eligibility for receiving CoC funding from HUD are true:

A. ALL PROJECTS:

- The applying agency is eligible to receive CoC Program funding.
- Our agency can demonstrate financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project and to administer Federal funds.
- This proposal will serve an eligible population for the project type, as designated by the CoC Program Interim Rule and the NOFA.
- This project is cost-effective, with costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for similar project activities.
- This project will participate in HMIS (except for victim service providers who must use a comparable database).
- This project adheres to a Housing First model.
- Project applicants and potential subrecipients with existing grants have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s), as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings. If project applicant and subrecipients have no exiting grants, check here .
- For expansion projects, project applicants have clearly articulated the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants have clearly demonstrated that they are not replacing other funding sources. If not an expansion project, check here .
- This project will meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.
- The project applicant has no significant issues related to capacity, performance, or unresolved audit/monitoring finding related to one or more existing HUD grants. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on a prior CoC grant. If project applicant and subrecipients have no exiting grants, check here .
- The project applicant has a DUNS number and active registration in SAM
- This proposal is consistent with the local Consolidated Plan.
- Agency has no issues with any of the following Federal requirements:
 - Resolution of Civil Rights Matters

- Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts
- Debarments and/or Suspensions
- Pre-selection Review of Performance
- Sufficiency of Financial Management System
- False Statements
- Mandatory Disclosure Requirement
- Conducting Business in Accordance with Ethical Standards/Code of Conduct
- Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities
- Equal Protection for Faith-based and Community Organizations

FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING AND RAPID REHOUSING PROJECTS:

- The type of housing, number, and configuration of units in this proposal will fit the needs of the program participants (e.g., two or more bedrooms for families.)
- The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source.
- The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education).
- In this proposal, participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing).

FOR JOINT TH/PH-RRH COMPONENT PROJECTS:

- The type of housing, number, and configuration of units in this proposal will fit the needs of the program participants (e.g., two or more bedrooms for families).
- The proposed project will provide enough rapid re-housing assistance to ensure that at any given time a program participant may move from transitional housing to permanent housing. This may be demonstrated by identifying a budget that has twice as many resources for the rapid re-housing portion of the project than the TH portion, by having twice as many PH-RRH units at a point in time as TH

units, or by demonstrating that the budget and units are appropriate for the population being served by the project.

- The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source.
- The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education).
- In this proposal, participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing).

FOR SSO-CES PROJECTS:

- The centralized or coordinated assessment system is easily available/reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.
- There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach homeless persons with the highest barriers within the CoC's geographic area.
- There is a standardized assessment process.
- Ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.

THRESHOLD EXPLANATION: If you are unable to check one of the boxes above, please provide an explanation (one page limit).

LOCAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL PROJECTS:

Please complete the questions below regarding local project eligibility requirements:

B. Low Barrier and Housing First: Will this project operate using a Low Barrier and Housing First model?

Yes **No**

C. HMIS Participation: Does your agency currently participate, or do you acknowledge and agree to participate, in the HMIS of the CoC (or comparable database if a DV provider)?

Yes **No**

D. Coordinated Entry Participation: Does your agency actively participate in or will your agency participate in the CoC Coordinated Intake meetings?

Yes **No**

E. Program Capacity - Financial Audit: Does your agency have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did your agency provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

Yes **No**

F. For projects serving survivors of domestic violence, do you use trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches?

Yes **No** **Not Applicable**

Scoring Factors

The page limit for the Scoring Factors section of the application is 5 pages in total, with 1 inch margins and at least 11 point font. You may number your answers rather than repeat the full question.

Community Needs

- 1. Unmet Need:** As applicable, describe how the project will address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC. (For DV projects, quantify need for this project.)
- 2. CoC Participation:** Has your agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020 to August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? Please describe community activities in detail.
- 3. Bonus points for community need:** Please describe how your community meets a project priority type or priority project design as described in the Scoring Sheet for New Projects.

Improving System Performance

- 4. Population Served:** Please describe the population to be served, including how the project will prioritize serving project participants with the highest need. Describe your agency's (and any subrecipient) experience with serving this population and how you understand the needs of the clients to be served, including eligible clients of different backgrounds, experiences, cultures, abilities, and language proficiencies.
- 5. Program Design:** Please describe your program design (especially any elements that are not clear in the HUD Project Application form), including specifically:
 - If at least 25 percent of the units (PSH) or participants (RRH) will be supported with non-CoC funded housing (and be sure to attach a match letter documenting this support)
 - If the project will leverage healthcare resources to support program participants equal to at least 25% of funding requested (and be sure to attach a match letter documenting this support)
 - How the project will meet the needs of program participants,
 - How the services described and staffing pattern will be adequate and appropriate,

- How staff will be adequately trained to support the population,
- Any experience your (and your subrecipient) have providing housing similar to that proposed in the application, and
- For DV projects, how the project will meet safety outcomes. Please identify how you measure improved safety, why that measure is effective, and provide data on past performance using your suggested measure(s).

6. Outreach: Please describe your project’s outreach strategy, including specifically:

- Your strategy to outreach to special populations that may include LGBT, unaccompanied youth, or families,
- Your strategy to ensure reasonable accommodation,
- Your strategy to affirmatively further fair housing by providing outreach to special populations,
- Your strategy to affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c), and ensure that outreach is conducted to homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach.

7. System Performance Improvement: Reduce Length of Time People are Homeless

- If proposing a **PSH** project, please describe your strategies:
 - To reduce barriers to housing,
 - To provide ongoing services, and
 - To partner with property management.
- If proposing a **RRH** or **TH-RRH** project, please describe your strategies:
 - To identify, recruit and retain landlords,
 - To reduce barriers to housing, and
 - To administer rent and move-in assistance.
- If proposing CE project, please describe all of the above.
- For all project types, please provide data about past performance reducing the length of time people are homeless. If you are a current CoC recipient, you may refer us to your other project’s project data.

8. System Performance Improvement: Increase Exits to/Maintenance of Permanent Housing. Please describe your strategies to:

- Provide supportive services to clients to assist in locating housing and obtaining employment and/or benefits
- Emphasize client choice
- Please provide data provide data about past performance increasing exits to or maintenance of permanent housing. If you are a current CoC recipient, you may refer us to your other project’s project data.

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

Local Application Materials for New HMIS Projects

New project applicants should respond to the following questions and submit this form with their other materials by **October 1, 2021 at noon** to bridget@homebaseccc.org. Please note that reviewers will score your project based on this this document together with your HUD Project Application.

Agency	
Project	
Contact Name	
Contact Telephone	
Contact Email	

General Project Information

4. Which funding are you applying for? (Please check all that apply.)
- Bonus funding
 - Reallocated funding

Threshold Requirements

- A. **Eligible:** Please check each box to confirm that the following statements regarding eligibility for receiving CoC funding from HUD are true:
- The applying agency is eligible to receive CoC Program funding.
 - Our agency can demonstrate financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project and to administer Federal funds.
 - This proposal will serve an eligible population for the project type, as designated by the CoC Program Interim Rule and the NOFA.
 - This project is cost-effective, with costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for similar project activities.
 - Project applicants and potential subrecipients with existing grants have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s), as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and

timely resolution of any monitoring findings. If project applicant and subrecipients have no existing grants, check here .

For expansion projects, project applicants have clearly articulated the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants have clearly demonstrated that they are not replacing other funding sources. If not an expansion project, check here .

This project will meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.

The project applicant has no significant issues related to capacity, performance, or unresolved audit/monitoring finding related to one or more existing HUD grants. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on a prior CoC grant. If project applicant and subrecipients have no existing grants, check here .

The project applicant has a DUNS number and active registration in SAM

This proposal is consistent with the local Consolidated Plan.

Agency has no issues with any of the following Federal requirements:

- Resolution of Civil Rights Matters
- Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts
- Debarments and/or Suspensions
- Pre-selection Review of Performance
- Sufficiency of Financial Management System
- False Statements
- Mandatory Disclosure Requirement
- Conducting Business in Accordance with Ethical Standards/Code of Conduct
- Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities
- Equal Protection for Faith-based and Community Organizations

HMIS funds will be expended in a way that is consistent with the CoC's funding strategy for the HMIS and furthers the CoC's HMIS implementation.

The HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards.

The HMIS un-duplicates client records.

The HMIS produces all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, data for CAPER/ESG reporting) and other reports required by other federal partners.

THRESHOLD EXPLANATION: If you are unable to check one of the boxes above, please provide an explanation (one page limit).

LOCAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL PROJECTS:

Please complete the questions below regarding local project eligibility requirements:

B. **HUD HMIS Compliance:** The proposed project is consistent with HUD’s Data and Technical Standards and with the CoC’s HMIS policies.

Yes **No**

C. **Coordinated Entry Participation:** Will the proposed project be compatible with and accessible to the Coordinated Entry system? Does your agency have an adequate strategy detailing how you will interact with Coordinated Entry?

Yes **No**

D. **Program Capacity - Financial Audit:** Does your agency have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did your agency provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

Yes **No**

E. **For projects serving survivors of domestic violence,** do you use trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches?

Yes **No** **Not Applicable**

Scoring Factors

The page limit for the Scoring Factors section of the application is 5 pages in total, with 1 inch margins and at least 11 point font. You may number your answers rather than repeat the full question.

Community Needs

- 13. Unmet Need:** As applicable, describe how the project will address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC. (For DV projects, quantify need for this project.)
- 14. CoC Participation:** Has your agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020 to August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? Please describe community activities in detail.
- 15. Bonus points for community need:** Please describe how your community meets a project priority type or priority project design as described in the Scoring Sheet for New Projects.

Contributions to System Performance

- 16. Design:** Describe how CoC funding will improve the accuracy, timeliness, scope, or completeness of the community's data. Consider describing how the project will increase HMIS capacity and/or functionality or how it will improve agency and CoC access to data and assist the CoC in assessing homeless needs, allocating resources, and coordinating services.
- 17. Data Quality.** Describe how the project will ensure data quality for the homeless system. Please include:
- What data elements are collected
 - The data quality plan or strategy to ensure accuracy and completeness
 - The reports produced for the CoC and for HUD
 - The reports produced for other Federal agencies
 - Ability to un-duplicate records
 - What the data quality for the system will be.

18. Data Security. Describe how your agency has demonstrated, through past performance, the ability to manage confidential and critical data. Please include information about:

- Agency staffing for ensuring all required Federal security standards are met
- Your agency’s plan for monitoring traffic on the database and appropriately addressing potential threats and/or suspicious log entries
- Your agency’s plan for training providers on how to keep the database secure
- Your agency’s process to remove community members who no longer need access to HMIS (e.g., leave their job, fired, etc.) quickly?

19. Training. Describe how your agency will conduct trainings and otherwise assist projects to move into compliance with HUD HMIS Data Standards, including type and frequency of trainings. Please include information about past performance (in this or other CoCs) related to satisfaction from training participants.

20. Data Access. Describe how the proposed project will be accessible to users, including: how providers will be able to run required reports independently, system availability, how you will respond to CoC requests for data, and data about your agency’s response rate and time to respond to CoC requests for HMIS information and changes.

Agency Capacity

21. Program Capacity: Please describe how your agency’s expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure will allow this project all administrative requirements, including any experience your agency has operating similar programs and receiving similar grants and a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities.

22. Agency Compliance. *This scoring factor will be scored in part based on the audit you submit.*

- Does your agency any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues?

Yes **No**

- If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

23. Equity: Has your agency or this project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have you taken or will you take to eliminate the identified barriers?

FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT: PROJECT REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS (Question 1E-2)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
1. Scoring Tools	3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Renewal Project Scoring Tool – scoring tool used to evaluate all renewal housing project applications, including specific objective criteria and point values <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Objective criteria for reviewing and ranking [pages 3-9] ii. Factors related to improving system performance [pages 5-8] iii. Specific method for evaluating projects submitted by VSPs that utilized data from a comparable database and evaluated DV projects based on the degree they improve safety for the population they serve [page 8] 	3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b. New Project Scoring Tool – scoring tool used to evaluate all new and expansion housing project applications, including specific objective criteria and point values <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Objective criteria for reviewing and ranking [pages 11-13] ii. Factors related to improving system performance [pages 12-13] iii. Specific method for evaluating projects submitted by VSPs that utilized data from a comparable database and evaluated DV projects based on the degree they improve safety for the population they serve [page 12] 	10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c. New HMIS Project Scoring Tool – scoring tool used to evaluate all new HMIS project applications, including specific objective criteria and point values 	15

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Objective criteria for reviewing and ranking [page 16] ii. Factors related to improving system performance [pages 17-18] iii. Specific method for evaluating projects submitted by VSPs that utilized data from a comparable database and evaluated DV projects based on the degree they improve safety for the population they serve [page 16] 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> d. Request for Information, outlining local supplemental application questions to gather addition information needed for full ranking criteria based on scoring tools 	20
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> e. Overview of St. Joseph NOFO Process, explaining local project review and selection process 	38
<p>2. Completed scorecard for Community Missions Corporation’s St. Joseph’s Haven renewal project application including objective criteria and system performance criteria used, maximum point values, and actual points awarded to project applicant</p>	45
<p>3. Final rankings and project scores for new and renewal projects</p>	52

St Joseph CoC

2021 Prioritization Scoring Sheet for Renewal Projects

Threshold Requirements

Project must meet all of HUD's threshold requirements for renewal projects (including eligibility requirements). If threshold requirements are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

Local Threshold Requirement:

- **PARTICIPATION IN THE COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM (CES) including that** the agency is currently participating in the implementation of CES at the monthly meetings and is both accepting all referrals from CES and currently doing vulnerability assessments and entering them into the HMIS system (or bringing them to the monthly CES meeting)

Scoring Factors

In the 2021 Competition Only: In the Rank and Review Committee's sole discretion, if a project's performance on any Scoring Factor was impacted by the pandemic and the impact was 1) outside the applicant's control and 2) is adequately described in the project's application materials, the Rank & Review Committee may adjust the project's score on that factor by increasing it one step in the scoring factor (e.g., if the scoring factor awards either 1, 3 or 5 points and the project scored a 1, the Committee may increase the project's score on the factor to 3, but not to 5).

Capacity and Compliance (37 points)

1. **AGENCY CAPACITY:** Does the agency **currently have any findings from a HUD monitoring review** that have been open for a period greater than six months, for which the agency has missed a HUD-stated deadline or has the agency experienced HUD sanctions in the past year? - ***This section is worth up to 6 points***
 - If the agency does not have open findings or sanctions, add 6 points
 - If the agency has an open finding, but has submitted a plan for corrective action to HUD, add 3 points

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking

- If no plan has been submitted or the agency has sanctions, 0 points and see reallocation policy

2. HMIS COMPLIANCE (related to all SPM)- *This section is worth up to 6 points*

- If the agency currently **in compliance with HMIS** (as determined by HMIS lead), add 2 points
- If the agency has been **in compliance with HMIS (as determined by HMIS lead) for the past year** (12 months prior to release of NOFA), add 2 points
- **DV projects: if agency is in compliant with HUD requirements for compliant database**, add 4 points.
- If **HIC data was accurate and submitted on time**, add 2 points

Scoring factors have equivalent scoring for DV projects

3. CoC PARTICIPATION & SUPPORT: Does the Agency Participate in the CoC?- *This section is worth 5 points*

- If the **agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings** (12 months prior to release of NOFA), add 5 points.

4. LOW BARRIER AND HOUSING FIRST FOR ALL HOUSING PROGRAMS (related to SPM 1, 3, 7): *This section is worth up to 10 points based on application and APR information*

- Was the agency able to check off all boxes for low barrier and project termination allowing the agency to qualify for "Housing First" compliance in the project application?
- Does the program description in the renewal application have any elements or language that would be in conflict with Housing First and Low Barrier housing policies?
- Residence prior to entry indicates low barrier/Housing First practices

5. UNEXPENDED FUNDS: Amount left from Previous Grant- *This section is worth up to 10 points*

- If the agency **expended all HUD grant funds and made at least quarterly draw downs**, add 10 points. The project will **lose two points for every percent of the grant remaining**. If 5% or more, 0 points will be awarded. If quarterly draw downs are not made, reduce final score by 2 points, without incurring a negative score. *Please note- if the program had greater than 10% of funds

remaining at the end of the program year, and has established a 3 year trend of not expending funds, the review committee reserves the right to reallocate a portion of the funds.

Performance and Outcomes (80 points)

6. **SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 2, 7)- Housing- This section is worth up to 20 points**

Permanent Supportive Housing/Safe Haven/Rapid Rehousing: Housing Destination Upon Exit (*The percentage of all leavers who either passed away or exited the program to a permanent housing destination*)

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking and relate to specific SPMs. Scoring benchmarks were selected based on review of national averages for performance.

- If 90% or more of those leaving went to positive destinations (i.e., permanent housing and death) upon leaving, add 10 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 8 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 6 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 4 points
- If between 70% and 74.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 2 points

Rapid Rehousing: Length of Stay

- If the average length of stay is less than 180 days, add 10 points
- If the average length of stay is less than 360 days, add 7 points
- If the average length of stay is less than 540 days, add 4 points.

Permanent Supportive Housing: Permanent Housing Retention or Exit

- If 95% or more of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 10 points

- If between 90 and 94.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 8 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 6 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 4 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of persons served remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized), add 2 points

Safe Haven: Positive Housing Outcome

- If more than 90% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 10 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 8 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 6 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 4 points
- If between 70% and 75.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 2 points

7. **SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Earned Income for Stayers** *(The percentage of adults who remained in the program who maintained the same amount of employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their employment income from entry to their most recent annual assessment)* -**This section is worth up to 3 points**

- If the agency had 15% or greater of adult stayers that maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 3 points
- If at least 7% but less than 15% of adults that maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 1.5 points

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking and relate to specific SPMs. Scoring benchmarks were selected based on review of national averages for performance.

- If less than 7% of adults maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), 0 points

8. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Earned Income for Leavers *(The percentage of adults who exited the program who maintained the same amount of employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their employment income from entry to exit) - This section is worth up to 3 points*

- If the agency had 27% or greater of adults that maintained or increased earned income, add 3 points
- If at least 19% but less than 27% of adults that maintained or increased earned income, add 1.5 points
- If less than 19% of adults maintained or increased earned income, 0 points

9. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Non-Employment Cash Income for Stayers *(The percentage of adults who remained in the program who maintained the same amount of non-employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their non-employment income from entry to their most recent annual assessment) - This section is worth up to 3 points*

- If the agency had 26% or greater of adult stayers that maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 3 points
- If at least 18% but less than 26% of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 1.5 points
- If less than 18% of adults maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), 0 points

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking and relate to specific SPMs. Scoring benchmarks were selected based on review of national averages for performance.

10. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 4)- Non-Employment Cash Income for Leavers *(The percentage of adults who exited the program who maintained the same amount of non-employment income (excluding \$0 at entry) or increased their non-employment income from entry to exit) - This section is worth up to 3 points*

- If the agency had 30% or greater of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income, add 3 points

- If at least 22% but less than 30% of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income, add 1.5 points
- If less than 22% of adults maintained or increased non-employment cash income, 0 points

11. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE OUTCOME (related to SPM 2, 7b)- Mainstream Benefits (not including health insurance) (The percentage of adults with at least one non cash benefit at exit or follow up assessment (excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment)- This section worth up to 8 points

- If at least 85% of the participants receive non-cash mainstream benefits, add 8 points
- If between 60% and 85% of participants receive non-cash mainstream benefits, add 4 points

12. SEVERITY OF NEEDS- This section is worth up to 10 points

- If at program entry, more than 50% of adults have no earned or unearned income, add 5 points
- If the program serves other populations with severe needs or vulnerabilities with strong outcomes, add 5 points. Such populations may include:
 - Current or past substance use,
 - Criminal record (esp for certain infractions),
 - Survivor of domestic violence,
 - LGBTQ status,
 - Significant health or behavioral health challenges that impede housing maintenance,
 - High utilization of crisis/emergency services,
 - Unsheltered youth/children,
 - Vulnerability to illness/death,
 - Vulnerability to victimization (e.g. trafficking, sex work).

Consideration for projects providing housing and services to populations that are hardest to serve

Scoring factors about evaluating DV projects based on degree to which they improve safety for the population they serve

For example, projects serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, trafficking, stalking, or other persons that meet the definition of homeless in Category 4, must show they improve safety for the population they serve by reflecting that at least 90% have a safety plan or report increased safety, at least 85% access or maintain housing, and at least 18% have income.

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking - cost effectiveness, utilization.

13. Cost Effectiveness- Cost Per Service- *This section is worth up to 2 points*

- Is the cost per permanent housing outcome consistent with the type of programming provided? Add 1 point
- Is the cost per household served consistent with the type of programming provided? Add 1 point

14. Chronic Prioritization and Dedication- *This section is worth up to 2 points*

- If 90-100% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, add 2 points
- If 75-90% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, add 1 point
- If less than 75% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, 0 points

15. Utilization Rate (related to SPM 1, 3)- *This section is worth up to 4 points*

- If the average daily bed utilization rate is more than 90%, add 2 points
- If the average daily bed utilization rate is between 80% and 90%, add 1 point
- If the average unit utilization rate is more than 90%, add 2 points
- If the average unit utilization rate is between 80% and 90%, add 1 point

16. 2021 CoC Action Plan - *This section is worth up to 10 points.*

- Has this applicant taken steps to incorporate the priorities from 2021 CoC Action Plan into the agency's activities and planning?

17. Equity - *This section is worth up to 5 points.*

- Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 110

St Joseph CoC

2021 Prioritization Scoring Sheet For New Housing Projects

Threshold Requirements

If any of the HUD threshold requirements or local threshold requirements are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

- A. **Eligible:** Is this project eligible for funding? Is the applicant eligible to receive CoC funding (i.e. valid applicant type, SAM registered, has DUNS number, no outstanding delinquent federal debt, has fully disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law, and no debarments/suspensions)? Is the project type eligible for funding under this CoC NOFA and this CoC's local competition rules? Is the project consistent with the local Consolidated Plan? Will the project be ready to start by HUD's statutory deadlines? Does this project propose to serve an eligible population, with eligible costs? Does the project have adequate match?
 - a. Homebase will be available to help panelists complete this assessment.

- B. **Low Barrier and Housing First:** Was the agency able to check off all boxes for "Low Barrier" and "Housing First Approach" box in the project application? Were the project application narratives aligned with Housing First practices?

- C. **HMIS Participation:** Does the agency currently participate, or has it acknowledged and agreed to participate, in the HMIS of the CoC or comparable database if a DV provider?

- D. **Coordinated Entry Participation:** Does agency actively participate in or will participate in the COC Coordinated Intake meetings? Does the agency have an adequate strategy detailing how they will use Coordinated Entry?

- E. **Program Capacity - Financial:** Does the applicant have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did the applicant provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

- F. **Projects serving survivors of domestic violence** must demonstrate trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches.

Scoring Factors¹

Community Needs (30 points)

1. Unmet Need (5 points)

- Will the project address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC?
- For DV projects, can the project quantify need?

Equivalent factors
for DV projects

2. Does the Agency Participate in the CoC or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? (10 points)

- Has the agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020-August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness?

Objective
scoring factors

3. Bonus Points for Community Need (up to 15 points total)

- If the project submitted is a priority project type and/or has a priority project design, the project will be awarded 15 bonus points.

Priority Project Types in St Joseph in 2021: housing for chronically homeless people, housing to meet gap in crisis housing, permanent supportive housing, or HMIS

Priority Project Design in St Joseph in 2021: Project is designed to address community needs identified in the 2021 CoC Action Plan, which may include:

- Including Behavioral Health services included as part of budget,
- Providing Housing Specialist to do landlord engagement, or
- Creating a case management ratio of 1:15 or less.

¹ All of the scoring factors in this tool measure projects' anticipated contribution to improving System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization and increasing resources available to end homelessness our CoC. Certain scoring factors relate to specific Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

Improving System Performance (60 points)

4. Population Served (10 points) (related to SPM 1, 7)

- Is the population to be served well defined?
- Will the project prioritize serving project participants with the highest need?
- Does the applicant or subrecipients (if any) have experience serving the targeted population (including if DV)?
- Does the applicant or subrecipients (if any) understand the needs of the clients to be served?
- To what extent will the program be able to effectively serve eligible clients of different backgrounds, experiences, cultures, abilities, and language proficiencies?

Equivalent factors
for DV projects

5. Program Design (10 points) (related to SPM 2, 3, 7b)

- Will at least 25 percent of the units (PSH) or participants (RRH) will be supported with non-CoC funded housing?
- Does the project leverage healthcare resources to support program participants, as evidenced by a written commitment from a health care organization that equals 25% of the funding requested?
- Will the project meet the needs of program participants?
- Do the services described and staffing pattern seem adequate and appropriate?
- Will staff be adequately trained to support the population?
- Does the applicant or subrecipients (if any) have experience providing housing similar to that proposed in the application? For DV projects, will project meet safety outcomes? Please identify how you measure improved safety, why that measure is effective, and provide data on past performance using your suggested measure(s).

Equivalent factors
for DV projects
regarding safety

6. Outreach (5 points) (related to SPM 1, 4, 5, 7)

- Does the agency adequately describe a strategy to outreach to special populations that may include LGBT, unaccompanied youth, or families?
- How will the program ensure clients receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed?
- Does the agency strategy affirmatively further fair housing by providing outreach to special populations?
- Does the agency provide clear strategies that affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c) (see below), and ensure that outreach is

conducted to homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach?

Objective scoring factors tied to SPM

7. System Performance Improvement: Reduce Length of Time People are Homeless (10 points) (SPM 1)

- If PSH: Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to reduce barriers to housing? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to provide ongoing services? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to partner with property management?
- If RRH: Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to identify, recruit and retain landlords? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to reduce barriers to housing? Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to administer rent and move-in assistance?
- Agency will provide data about past performance reducing the length of time people are homeless. Data will be compared to renewal project scoring tool scales which are based on past CoC performance and national performance.

Objective scoring factors tied to SPM to evaluate rapid returns to permanent housing

8. System Performance Improvement: Increase Exits to/Maintenance of Permanent Housing (10 points) (SPM 2, 7)

- Does the agency provide an adequate strategy to provide supportive services to clients to assist in locating housing and obtaining employment and/or benefits?
- Does the agency strategy emphasize client choice?
- Agency will provide data about past performance increasing exits to or maintenance of permanent housing. Data will be compared to renewal project scoring tool scales which are based on past CoC performance and national performance.

9. System Performance Improvement: Limit Returns to Homelessness (10 points) (SPM 2)

- Does the agency have an adequate strategy for follow up after placement or program exit?
- Agency will provide data about past performance reducing returns to homelessness. Data will be compared to renewal project scoring tool scales which are based on past CoC performance and national performance.

10. Equity (5 points)

- Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

Agency Capacity (25 points)

11. Program Capacity (15 points)

- Does the applicant have the expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure needed to meet all administrative requirements?
- Does the agency have experience operating similar programs and receiving similar grants, specifically federal grants?
- Does the agency have a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities?

12. Agency Compliance (10 points)

- Does the agency have any outstanding financial audit findings or concerns?
- Does the agency have any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues? If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 115 Points

St Joseph CoC

2021 Prioritization Scoring Sheet For New HMIS Projects

Threshold Requirements

If any of the HUD threshold requirements or local threshold requirements are not met, the Review Committee reserves the right to request additional information, amend back for revision, or choose not to consider the application in the ranking process.

- A. **Eligible:** Is this project eligible for funding? Is the applicant eligible to receive CoC funding (i.e. valid applicant type, SAM registered, has DUNS number, no outstanding delinquent federal debt, has fully disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law, and no debarments/suspensions)? Is the project type eligible for funding under this CoC NOFA and this CoC's local competition rules? Is the project consistent with the local Consolidated Plan? Will the project be ready to start by HUD's statutory deadlines? Does this project propose to serve an eligible population, with eligible costs? Does the project have adequate match?
 - a. Homebase will be available to help panelists complete this assessment.

- B. **HUD HMIS Compliance:** Will the proposed project consistent with HUD's Data and Technical Standards and with the CoC's HMIS policies?

- C. **Coordinated Entry Participation:** Will the proposed project be compatible with and accessible to the Coordinated Entry system? Does the agency have an adequate strategy detailing how they will interact with Coordinated Entry?

- D. **Program Capacity - Financial:** Does the applicant have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did the applicant provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

- E. **Projects serving survivors of domestic violence** must demonstrate trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches.

Scoring Factors²

Community Needs (30 points)

1. Unmet Need (5 points)

- Will the project address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC?
- For DV projects, can the project quantify need?

Equivalent factors
for DV projects

2. Does the Agency Participate in the CoC or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? (10 points)

- Has the agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020-August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness?

Objective scoring
factors

3. Bonus Points for Community Need (up to 15 points total)

- If the project submitted is a priority project type and/or has a priority project design, the project will be awarded 15 bonus points.

Priority Project Types in St Joseph in 2021: housing for chronically homeless people, housing to meet gap in crisis housing, permanent supportive housing, or HMIS

Priority Project Design in St Joseph in 2021: Project is designed to address community needs identified in the 2021 CoC Action Plan, which may include:

- Including Behavioral Health services included as part of budget,
- Providing Housing Specialist to do landlord engagement, or
- Creating a case management ratio of 1:15 or less.

² All of the scoring factors in this tool measure projects' anticipated contribution to improving System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization and increasing resources available to end homelessness our CoC. Certain scoring factors relate to specific Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

Contributions to System Performance (60 points)

An HMIS that will support accurate, complete, and timely data collection and analysis by the CoC will improve system performance. These scoring factors are intended to determine if the proposed HMIS project will support this goal.

4. Design: Will CoC funding improve the accuracy, timeliness, scope, or completeness of the community's data? (15 points)

Consider:

- Will the project increase HMIS capacity and functionality? For example, will the project bring HMIS to new projects that were not previously using HMIS or provide additional training that is expected to improve data quality?
- Has the agency indicated its intent to improve agency and CoC access to data in a form that can be analyzed and assist the Continuum of Care in assessing homeless needs, allocating resources, and coordinating services?

5. Data Quality. Will the project ensure data quality for the homeless system? (20 points)

Consider:

- Does the HMIS collect all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards?
- Does the HMIS have a data quality plan or strategy that will ensure accuracy and completeness for data elements that feed into SPMs such as entry and exit data (SPM 1, 2, 7) and that ensures consistent and accurate input of income date including frequency, etc. (SPM 4)?
- Will the reports produced for the Continuum of Care and for HUD be useful and satisfactory to meet the requirements for the CoC obligations (AHAR, PIT, HIC, APR, CAPER, etc.)?
- Will the HMIS produce reports needed for other Federal agencies (HUD, VA, HHS)?
- Does the HMIS un-duplicate client records?
- Has the agency indicated that the data quality for the system will be within an acceptable range?

6. Data Security. Has the agency demonstrated, through past performance, the ability to manage confidential and critical data? (10 points)

Consider:

- Does the agency have a staff person responsible for ensuring the implementation meets all security standards as required by HUD and the federal partners?
- Does the agency have a specific plan for monitoring traffic on the database and appropriately addressing potential threats and/or suspicious log entries?
- Does the agency have a specific plan for training providers on how to keep the database secure?
- Does the agency have a process in place to remove community members who no longer need access to HMIS (e.g., leave their job, fired, etc.) quickly?

7. Training. Has the agency indicated its intent to conduct trainings and otherwise assist projects to move into compliance with HUD HMIS Data Standards? (10 points)

Consider:

- The types of training proposed
- The frequency of training proposed
- Past performance (in this or other CoCs) related to satisfaction from training participants.
 - Minimum Objective: 65% satisfaction from training participants.

8. Data Access. Will the proposed project be accessible to users? (5 points)

Consider:

- Is the HMIS set up in a way that appropriate providers can run required reports independently?
- Is the HMIS system available at least 360 days a year with minimal down time?
- Do CoC requests for HMIS information and changes get met as requested within a reasonable time? Please provide data on response rates and timelines.
 - Minimum Objective: 90% response rate; within 5 business days.
- How will the HMIS provider respond to CoC requests for data?

Agency Capacity (25 points)

9. Program Capacity (10 points)

- Does the applicant have the expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure needed to meet all administrative requirements?
- Does the agency have experience operating similar programs and receiving similar grants, specifically federal grants?
- Does the agency have a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities?

10. Agency Compliance (10 points)

- Does the agency have any outstanding financial audit findings or concerns?
- Does the agency have any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues? If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

11. Equity (5 points)

- Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 115 Points

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

Local Application Materials for Renewal Projects

Renewal project applicants should respond to the following questions for each renewal project and submit this form with their other materials by **October 1, 2021 at noon** to bridget@homebaseccc.org.

Agency	
Project	
Contact Name	
Contact Telephone	
Contact Email	

1. Threshold Requirements

Please check each box to confirm that the following statements are true:

- The project applicant’s performance meets the plans and goals established in the initial application (as amended).
- The project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including that standards for the expenditure of grant funds have been met.
- The project applicant’s performance assists program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success.
- The project applicant has been willing to accept technical assistance, has not had a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has no indications of project mismanagement, has not had a drastic reduction in the population served, has not made program changes without prior HUD approval, and has not lost a project site.
- Project meets HUD threshold requirements for renewal projects including that the project has **none** of the following:
 - Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;
 - Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;
 - History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;
 - Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;

- History of other major capacity issues that have significantly impacted the operation of the project and its performance;
- History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; or
- History of serving ineligible persons, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.

Applicant's past performance in managing funds reflects:

- The ability to account for funds in compliance with applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirement
- Timely use of funds received from HUD
- Timely submission and quality of reports submitted to HUD
- That projects meet program requirements
- That projects meet performance targets as established in the grant agreement
- Strong organizational capacity, including staffing structures and capabilities
- That timelines for completion of activities and receipt of promised matching or leveraged funds are met
- That the correct number of persons to be served or targeted for assistance is served
- That the project is promoting self-sufficiency and economic independence
- That the project is producing positive outcomes and results; and
- That the project is encouraging participation with faith-based entities.

The project applicant is currently participating in the implementation of CES at the monthly meetings and is both accepting all referrals from CES and currently doing vulnerability assessments and entering them into the HMIS system (or bringing them to the monthly CES meeting).

2. Threshold Explanation

If you are unable to check one of the boxes above, please provide an explanation (one page limit).

3. HUD Monitoring

Are there any unresolved HUD monitoring findings or concerns or outstanding HUD audit findings related to any project of your agency?

Yes **No**

4. Sanctions

Has HUD instituted any sanctions on any project of your agency, including, but not limited to, suspending disbursements (*e.g.*, freezing eLOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues?

Yes **No**

5. Sanctions Explanation

If yes to either (3) or (4) above, please provide the written communications between HUD and the project concerning those matters and describe the issue and status here, including the extent to which you have advised the Collaborative Applicant of the outstanding HUD findings or concerns (one page limit).

6. Low Barrier and Housing First

Does your CoC project application state that your agency qualifies as Housing First in your Project Application (including, specifically, on Page 3B of the application)?

Yes **No**

7. Unexpended Funds (Questions 7 through 13)

a. Please complete this chart:

FY2016 CoC Grant Amount	
FY2016 Total Amount Expended	
FY2017 CoC Grant Amount	
FY2017 Total Amount Expended	
FY2018 CoC Grant Amount	
FY2018 Total Amount Expended	
FY2019 CoC Grant Amount	
FY2019 Total Amount Expended (if grant year is finished)	

b. Please list the dates that you drew down funds from eLOCCS during your most recently completed grant operating year:

-
-
-
-

8. Program Budget: Please provide your total program budget for this project during your most recently completed grant year (including all resources that support the project, not just CoC resources): _____ (This number will support cost-effectiveness calculations related to scoring factor 13.)

9. 2021 CoC Action Plan: Has your agency taken steps to incorporate the priorities from 2021 CoC Action Plan into the agency's activities and planning? (Please keep your answer to less than a page.)

10. Equity: Has your agency or this project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have you taken or will you take to eliminate the identified barriers? (Please keep your answer to less than a page.)

11. Context: Per the scoring sheet, the Rank and Review Committee will be provided with:

- a. Information from the CoC Chair and HMIS Lead about HMIS compliance (scoring factor 2) and CoC participation (scoring factor 3), and
- b. Information about performance outcomes for your project based on your most recently completed APR (which will inform scoring for scoring factors 4 - 15).

If you would like to provide additional information that may inform the Rank and Review Committee about your agency's performance on these scoring factors, you may provide a narrative to supplement.

Applicants can use this opportunity to provide the Rank and Review Committee members explanatory or qualifying information regarding those scoring factors on which their project may not score perfectly and to encourage members to exercise

discretion in changing the scores for those factors. Specifically, **for 2021 only**, if this project's performance on any Scoring Factor was impacted by the pandemic and the impact was 1) outside your agency's control and 2) is adequately described here, the Rank & Review Committee may adjust this project's score on that factor by increasing it one step in the scoring factor (e.g., if the scoring factor awards either 1, 3 or 5 points and the project scored a 1, the Committee may increase the project's score on the factor to 3, but not to 5).

Applicants may use data and past performance information to support their arguments, including, for example, information regarding the special nature of the population served, unusual or unforeseeable circumstances beyond the project's control, or other reasons the project's data does not adequately reflect its work.

(Please keep your answer to less than two pages.)

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

Local Application Materials for New Housing Projects

New project applicants should respond to the following questions and submit this form with their other materials by **October 1, 2021 at noon** to bridget@homebaseccc.org. Please note that reviewers will score your project based on this this document together with your HUD Project Application.

Agency	
Project	
Contact Name	
Contact Telephone	
Contact Email	

General Project Information

1. What type of project are you applying for?
 - Permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless people or DedicatedPLUS
 - Rapid rehousing
 - Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing Component project
 - Supportive Services Only for Coordinated Entry projects
2. Which funding are you applying for? (Please check all that apply.)
 - Bonus funding
 - Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus funding
 - Reallocated funding
3. What population do you intend to serve with this project?
 - Chronically homeless
 - Survivors of domestic violence
 - Other: _____
 - Other: _____

Threshold Requirements

Please check each box to confirm that the following statements regarding eligibility for receiving CoC funding from HUD are true:

A. ALL PROJECTS:

- The applying agency is eligible to receive CoC Program funding.
- Our agency can demonstrate financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project and to administer Federal funds.
- This proposal will serve an eligible population for the project type, as designated by the CoC Program Interim Rule and the NOFA.
- This project is cost-effective, with costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for similar project activities.
- This project will participate in HMIS (except for victim service providers who must use a comparable database).
- This project adheres to a Housing First model.
- Project applicants and potential subrecipients with existing grants have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s), as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings. If project applicant and subrecipients have no exiting grants, check here .
- For expansion projects, project applicants have clearly articulated the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants have clearly demonstrated that they are not replacing other funding sources. If not an expansion project, check here .
- This project will meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.
- The project applicant has no significant issues related to capacity, performance, or unresolved audit/monitoring finding related to one or more existing HUD grants. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on a prior CoC grant. If project applicant and subrecipients have no exiting grants, check here .
- The project applicant has a DUNS number and active registration in SAM
- This proposal is consistent with the local Consolidated Plan.
- Agency has no issues with any of the following Federal requirements:
 - Resolution of Civil Rights Matters

- Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts
- Debarments and/or Suspensions
- Pre-selection Review of Performance
- Sufficiency of Financial Management System
- False Statements
- Mandatory Disclosure Requirement
- Conducting Business in Accordance with Ethical Standards/Code of Conduct
- Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities
- Equal Protection for Faith-based and Community Organizations

FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING AND RAPID REHOUSING PROJECTS:

- The type of housing, number, and configuration of units in this proposal will fit the needs of the program participants (e.g., two or more bedrooms for families.)
- The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source.
- The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education).
- In this proposal, participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing).

FOR JOINT TH/PH-RRH COMPONENT PROJECTS:

- The type of housing, number, and configuration of units in this proposal will fit the needs of the program participants (e.g., two or more bedrooms for families).
- The proposed project will provide enough rapid re-housing assistance to ensure that at any given time a program participant may move from transitional housing to permanent housing. This may be demonstrated by identifying a budget that has twice as many resources for the rapid re-housing portion of the project than the TH portion, by having twice as many PH-RRH units at a point in time as TH

units, or by demonstrating that the budget and units are appropriate for the population being served by the project.

- The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source.
- The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education).
- In this proposal, participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing).

FOR SSO-CES PROJECTS:

- The centralized or coordinated assessment system is easily available/reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.
- There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach homeless persons with the highest barriers within the CoC's geographic area.
- There is a standardized assessment process.
- Ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.

THRESHOLD EXPLANATION: If you are unable to check one of the boxes above, please provide an explanation (one page limit).

LOCAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL PROJECTS:

Please complete the questions below regarding local project eligibility requirements:

B. Low Barrier and Housing First: Will this project operate using a Low Barrier and Housing First model?

Yes **No**

C. HMIS Participation: Does your agency currently participate, or do you acknowledge and agree to participate, in the HMIS of the CoC (or comparable database if a DV provider)?

Yes **No**

D. Coordinated Entry Participation: Does your agency actively participate in or will your agency participate in the CoC Coordinated Intake meetings?

Yes **No**

E. Program Capacity - Financial Audit: Does your agency have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did your agency provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

Yes **No**

F. For projects serving survivors of domestic violence, do you use trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches?

Yes **No** **Not Applicable**

Scoring Factors

The page limit for the Scoring Factors section of the application is 5 pages in total, with 1 inch margins and at least 11 point font. You may number your answers rather than repeat the full question.

Community Needs

- 1. Unmet Need:** As applicable, describe how the project will address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC. (For DV projects, quantify need for this project.)
- 2. CoC Participation:** Has your agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020 to August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? Please describe community activities in detail.
- 3. Bonus points for community need:** Please describe how your community meets a project priority type or priority project design as described in the Scoring Sheet for New Projects.

Improving System Performance

- 4. Population Served:** Please describe the population to be served, including how the project will prioritize serving project participants with the highest need. Describe your agency's (and any subrecipient) experience with serving this population and how you understand the needs of the clients to be served, including eligible clients of different backgrounds, experiences, cultures, abilities, and language proficiencies.
- 5. Program Design:** Please describe your program design (especially any elements that are not clear in the HUD Project Application form), including specifically:
 - If at least 25 percent of the units (PSH) or participants (RRH) will be supported with non-CoC funded housing (and be sure to attach a match letter documenting this support)
 - If the project will leverage healthcare resources to support program participants equal to at least 25% of funding requested (and be sure to attach a match letter documenting this support)
 - How the project will meet the needs of program participants,
 - How the services described and staffing pattern will be adequate and appropriate,

- How staff will be adequately trained to support the population,
- Any experience your (and your subrecipient) have providing housing similar to that proposed in the application, and
- For DV projects, how the project will meet safety outcomes. Please identify how you measure improved safety, why that measure is effective, and provide data on past performance using your suggested measure(s).

6. Outreach: Please describe your project's outreach strategy, including specifically:

- Your strategy to outreach to special populations that may include LGBT, unaccompanied youth, or families,
- Your strategy to ensure reasonable accommodation,
- Your strategy to affirmatively further fair housing by providing outreach to special populations,
- Your strategy to affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c), and ensure that outreach is conducted to homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach.

7. System Performance Improvement: Reduce Length of Time People are Homeless

- If proposing a **PSH** project, please describe your strategies:
 - To reduce barriers to housing,
 - To provide ongoing services, and
 - To partner with property management.
- If proposing a **RRH** or **TH-RRH** project, please describe your strategies:
 - To identify, recruit and retain landlords,
 - To reduce barriers to housing, and
 - To administer rent and move-in assistance.
- If proposing CE project, please describe all of the above.
- For all project types, please provide data about past performance reducing the length of time people are homeless. If you are a current CoC recipient, you may refer us to your other project's project data.

8. System Performance Improvement: Increase Exits to/Maintenance of Permanent Housing. Please describe your strategies to:

- Provide supportive services to clients to assist in locating housing and obtaining employment and/or benefits
- Emphasize client choice
- Please provide data about past performance increasing exits to or maintenance of permanent housing. If you are a current CoC recipient, you may refer us to your other project's project data.

St Joseph CoC FY2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition

Local Application Materials for New HMIS Projects

New project applicants should respond to the following questions and submit this form with their other materials by **October 1, 2021 at noon** to bridget@homebaseccc.org. Please note that reviewers will score your project based on this this document together with your HUD Project Application.

Agency	
Project	
Contact Name	
Contact Telephone	
Contact Email	

General Project Information

4. Which funding are you applying for? (Please check all that apply.)
- Bonus funding
 - Reallocated funding

Threshold Requirements

- A. **Eligible:** Please check each box to confirm that the following statements regarding eligibility for receiving CoC funding from HUD are true:
- The applying agency is eligible to receive CoC Program funding.
 - Our agency can demonstrate financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project and to administer Federal funds.
 - This proposal will serve an eligible population for the project type, as designated by the CoC Program Interim Rule and the NOFA.
 - This project is cost-effective, with costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for similar project activities.
 - Project applicants and potential subrecipients with existing grants have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s), as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and

timely resolution of any monitoring findings. If project applicant and subrecipients have no existing grants, check here .

For expansion projects, project applicants have clearly articulated the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants have clearly demonstrated that they are not replacing other funding sources. If not an expansion project, check here .

This project will meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.

The project applicant has no significant issues related to capacity, performance, or unresolved audit/monitoring finding related to one or more existing HUD grants. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on a prior CoC grant. If project applicant and subrecipients have no existing grants, check here .

The project applicant has a DUNS number and active registration in SAM

This proposal is consistent with the local Consolidated Plan.

Agency has no issues with any of the following Federal requirements:

- Resolution of Civil Rights Matters
- Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts
- Debarments and/or Suspensions
- Pre-selection Review of Performance
- Sufficiency of Financial Management System
- False Statements
- Mandatory Disclosure Requirement
- Conducting Business in Accordance with Ethical Standards/Code of Conduct
- Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities
- Equal Protection for Faith-based and Community Organizations

HMIS funds will be expended in a way that is consistent with the CoC's funding strategy for the HMIS and furthers the CoC's HMIS implementation.

The HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards.

The HMIS un-duplicates client records.

The HMIS produces all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, data for CAPER/ESG reporting) and other reports required by other federal partners.

THRESHOLD EXPLANATION: If you are unable to check one of the boxes above, please provide an explanation (one page limit).

LOCAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL PROJECTS:

Please complete the questions below regarding local project eligibility requirements:

B. **HUD HMIS Compliance:** The proposed project is consistent with HUD’s Data and Technical Standards and with the CoC’s HMIS policies.

Yes **No**

C. **Coordinated Entry Participation:** Will the proposed project be compatible with and accessible to the Coordinated Entry system? Does your agency have an adequate strategy detailing how you will interact with Coordinated Entry?

Yes **No**

D. **Program Capacity - Financial Audit:** Does your agency have an accounting system that meets federal standards? Did your agency provide a current audit (must cover a fiscal period that ended not more than 18 months prior to January of the year in which the application is submitted) and current 990 (must cover the same fiscal period as the audit)?

Yes **No**

E. **For projects serving survivors of domestic violence,** do you use trauma-informed, victim-centered and housing first approaches?

Yes **No** **Not Applicable**

Scoring Factors

The page limit for the Scoring Factors section of the application is 5 pages in total, with 1 inch margins and at least 11 point font. You may number your answers rather than repeat the full question.

Community Needs

- 13. Unmet Need:** As applicable, describe how the project will address an unmet need by serving an underserved population, providing services that are less available, or serving an underserved geography of the CoC. (For DV projects, quantify need for this project.)
- 14. CoC Participation:** Has your agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings from September 2020 to August 2021 (12 months prior to release of NOFA) or otherwise support community activities to end homelessness? Please describe community activities in detail.
- 15. Bonus points for community need:** Please describe how your community meets a project priority type or priority project design as described in the Scoring Sheet for New Projects.

Contributions to System Performance

- 16. Design:** Describe how CoC funding will improve the accuracy, timeliness, scope, or completeness of the community's data. Consider describing how the project will increase HMIS capacity and/or functionality or how it will improve agency and CoC access to data and assist the CoC in assessing homeless needs, allocating resources, and coordinating services.
- 17. Data Quality.** Describe how the project will ensure data quality for the homeless system. Please include:
- What data elements are collected
 - The data quality plan or strategy to ensure accuracy and completeness
 - The reports produced for the CoC and for HUD
 - The reports produced for other Federal agencies
 - Ability to un-duplicate records
 - What the data quality for the system will be.

18. Data Security. Describe how your agency has demonstrated, through past performance, the ability to manage confidential and critical data. Please include information about:

- Agency staffing for ensuring all required Federal security standards are met
- Your agency’s plan for monitoring traffic on the database and appropriately addressing potential threats and/or suspicious log entries
- Your agency’s plan for training providers on how to keep the database secure
- Your agency’s process to remove community members who no longer need access to HMIS (e.g., leave their job, fired, etc.) quickly?

19. Training. Describe how your agency will conduct trainings and otherwise assist projects to move into compliance with HUD HMIS Data Standards, including type and frequency of trainings. Please include information about past performance (in this or other CoCs) related to satisfaction from training participants.

20. Data Access. Describe how the proposed project will be accessible to users, including: how providers will be able to run required reports independently, system availability, how you will respond to CoC requests for data, and data about your agency’s response rate and time to respond to CoC requests for HMIS information and changes.

Agency Capacity

21. Program Capacity: Please describe how your agency’s expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure will allow this project all administrative requirements, including any experience your agency has operating similar programs and receiving similar grants and a staffing plan that covers both grant management and performance of grant activities.

22. Agency Compliance. *This scoring factor will be scored in part based on the audit you submit.*

- Does your agency any outstanding HUD monitoring findings or concerns and/or any history of sanctions imposed by HUD, including – but not limited to – suspending disbursements (e.g., freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues?

Yes **No**

- If yes, what steps is the agency taking to resolve the findings or concerns?

23. Equity: Has your agency or this project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have you taken or will you take to eliminate the identified barriers?

FY2021 St Joseph/Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties

CoC NOFA Process and Timeline

Event/ Activity	Responsible	Date/Time	Place	Notes
NOFA Released	HUD	August 18, 2021	N/A	HUD releases the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)
August CoC Meeting	CoC	August 25, 2021	Zoom	Approve Homebase-proposed changes to scoring tools
NOFA Kickoff Meetings/Trainings for Applicants	Homebase	Wednesday, September 1, 2021 at Noon	Zoom	Release information about local priorities and HUD guidelines for proposals. Agencies will be given a proposal package and training on how to complete the application.
Homebase Sends PRESTO Project Evaluations to Renewal Applicants	Homebase	Wednesday, September 1, 2021 by 5:00 PM	Via PRESTO/email	
Project Evaluations Returned to Homebase	Agencies	Before September 27, 2021 at 5:00 PM	Via PRESTO/email	Projects return Draft Project Evaluations with edits. Returning edits sooner may support applications.
Agencies write Proposals	Agencies	September 1- October 1, 2021	N/A	Includes HUD Project Application and local supplemental information.
CoC NOFA Committee meets	Homebase	Friday, September 17, 2021 at 12:30 PM	Zoom. Register here.	CoC Application preparation
September CoC Meeting	CoC	Wednesday, September 29, 2021	Zoom	Adoption of policies; trainings

Agency Proposals are due	Agencies	Friday, October 1, 2021 at noon	Via email	
Rank & Review Committee Training	Homebase	Monday, October 4, 2021, Time TBD	Zoom	Rank & Review Committee receives training for scoring projects using PRESTO.
Rank & Review Committee reviews Proposals	Homebase, Committee	Monday, October 4, 2021- Wednesday, October 20, 2021	N/A	Rank & Review Committee reads and scores proposals individually.
Rank & Review Committee meets for Rank & Review	Homebase	Tuesday, October 19- Wednesday, October 20, 2021	Zoom	Rank & Review Committee meets to discuss proposals and determine how projects will be ranked.
Distribution of Preliminary Priority Listing	Homebase	Thursday, October 21, 2021 (by midnight)	Via e-mail	Preliminary priority list emailed to agencies.
Notification of Appeal	Agencies	Friday, October 22, 2021 by 5:00 PM	Via e-mail	Applicants who intend to appeal their ranking on the Preliminary Priority Listing must notify HomeBase of their intent to appeal.
Appeals due	Agencies	Monday, October 25, 2021 by 5:00 PM	Via e-mail	Agencies may inspect their scores and formulate a written appeal based on appeal policy.
Appeal Committee meets	Appeal Committee	Tuesday, October 26, 2021, Time TBD	Online/TBD	Review appeals and recalculate scores, if necessary.
Priority Listing is distributed to applicants	HomeBase	Tuesday, October 26, 2021 (by midnight)	Via e-mail	
October CoC Meeting	CoC	Wednesday, October 27, 2021	United Way	CoC approves ranked list

2021 Overview of the St Joseph CoC NOFA Process

PRE-NOFA: Creation of Process

- The NOFA Committee of the CoC meets to prepare a draft process and tools for the annual competition.
- The CoC reviews and approves the Committee's work.

PRE-NOFA: Renewal Projects Preparation

- Homebase collects APRs generated from HMIS or comparable database for victim services providers from each renewal applicant for the time period from April 1, 2020- March 31, 2021.
- Homebase enters performance data in its PProject Evaluation and Scoring TOol (PRESTO), including APR data and other information provided by both the applicant and HMIS lead agency.
- PRESTO project summaries will be included in the Review and Rank materials as a part of the local competition.
- Homebase distributes draft PRESTO program summaries to applicants for review and comment prior to using them in Rank and Review process.

Focus on data for objective scoring factors, comparable database used for DV data

New Projects Preparation

- Prior to NOFA release, the CoC circulates information about the potential to apply for new project funding, and offers technical assistance to interested agencies.
- After NOFA release, the CoC advertises the new project funding available in this CoC widely by:
 - Circulating information to CoC membership, and asking members to post at their agencies and share widely
 - Distributing information via AFL-CIO Community Services listserv (widely used in this community to advertise grant opportunities and other information, inclusive of 600+ individuals)
 - Posting information on the City's website and on the City's information channel.

AFTER NOFA RELEASE: All Projects Application Process

- The CoC hosts a CoC NOFA Kickoff Training to orient applicants to the process for reviewing and ranking applications, including supplemental local application materials, the scoring tools and relevant dates. Applicants will also have a chance to ask any questions about both the local and HUD application processes. Technical assistance will be available during the application preparation period.
- Qualified, non-conflicted Rank & Review Committee members are recruited. Homebase will make every effort to ensure membership includes: (a) persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and (b) at least one member with lived experience of homelessness, especially unsheltered homelessness, in the review, selection, and ranking process.
- Renewal applicants submit comments on draft PRESTO project summaries.
- All applicants submit HUD project applications and local application materials.
- At submission, if there is a lack of eligible new project applications compared to the amount of funding available, additional new project applications will be sought from the community.
- Rank & Review Committee members are oriented to the review and ranking process, scoring tools, CoC monitoring procedures, and PRESTO.
 - The CoC has a goal of improving St Joseph CoC's System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care, through data collection, coordination, prioritization and increasing resources available to end homelessness in our CoC. Certain scoring factors relate to specific System Performance Measures (SPM), as enumerated in each factor.
- Rank & Review Committee members receive all local application and scoring materials and review and score each program's application in PRESTO.
 - Committee members also review overall performance data of each project and provide feedback about annual performance as part of the CoC's monitoring process.
 - Rank & Review Committee members keep in mind that performance outcomes will naturally be lower in a more difficult-to-serve population (such as chronically homeless people and homeless people with mental and/or addictive illnesses).
- The Rank & Review Committee meet to jointly review project applications. Committee members individually score applications based on the scoring tools, and scores are aggregated to create a ranked list.

Scoring factors use objective criteria for review and ranking and relate to specific SPMs

- New project applicants have a scheduled phone appointment with the Rank and Review Committee to answer any questions about their proposal.
- **In 2021 Competition Only:** In the Rank and Review Committee's sole discretion, if a project's performance on any Scoring Factor was impacted by the pandemic and the impact was 1) outside the applicant's control and 2) is adequately described in the project's application materials, the Rank & Review Committee may adjust the project's score on that factor by increasing it one step in the scoring factor (e.g., if the scoring factor awards either 1, 3 or 5 points and the project scored a 1, the Committee may increase the project's score on the factor to 3, but not to 5).
- The Rank & Review Committee determine if any renewal project should receive a decrease in funding due to substandard performance or utilization of funds. (See Reallocation Policies below.) Any funding captured from an existing project will be made available for reallocation to a new project that meets the requirements in the NOFA.
- Any new project that is expanding a renewal project is scored and ranked like all other new projects, however after project selection and ranking, if the new project is not ranked below the renewal project on the priority list, it will be placed directly below the renewal project on the priority list.
- Renewal HMIS projects are a necessary part of this CoC and are ranked at the bottom of Tier 1 above the straddling project.
- Renewal projects that are newly operating and have not yet submitted an APR are ranked at the bottom of Tier 1 above the HMIS project.
- New projects are ranked in renewal project list according to priority order as determined by Rank & Review Committee.
- **Ranked list and scoring results will be sent to applicants with a reminder of the appeals process.**
- Appeals, if any, will be considered. (See appeals policy below).
- Depending on timing, a final ranked project list is presented to the CoC for discussion and approval at its next meeting or will be submitted to the CoC electronically, the CoC will discuss, and non-conflicted members will approve it via e-survey.
- Homebase provides technical assistance to all ranked applications to ensure that applications meet HUD technical standards.

- Homebase collects all final Project Applications and prepares them for submission to HUD, along with the Priority Listing, as part of the CoC's Consolidated Application.

Reallocation Policies

Reallocation Policy for Unexpended Funds

The CoC, Executive Committee and/or Rank & Review Committee will work with grantees that show a history of not expending the full amount of HUD funding are subject to an annual reallocation

process as part of the NOFA Rank and Review committee. Specifically: If a program has 10 percent or greater of HUD funds remaining at the end of the program year and has established a 3 year trend of having 10 percent or greater of HUD funds remaining at the end of the program year, the Rank & Review Committee in conjunction with the Executive Committee reserves the right to reallocate a portion of the funds from that program to another program or a new project.

Reallocation for Underperforming Programs

The CoC, Executive Committee and/or Rank & Review Committee will work with grantees that have been deemed to be underperforming or have had HUD Monitoring findings that call into question the project's ability to meet performance or financial management standards. The CoC will assess the project and set up goals and objectives to bring a failing project up to standards and/or answer any HUD findings. If the agency is unable or unwilling to meet standards or satisfy HUD findings, the CoC will work with the funding agency to mitigate the findings. If the project continues to underperform, cannot meet the stated objectives and goals or cannot comply with HUD findings then that project will be recommended for Reallocation in the next HUD NOFA process.

Appeals Process

The Rank & Review Committee reviews all applications and ranks them for funding recommendations to HUD. Applicants may appeal the decision by following the process set forth below. All appeals must be based on the information submitted by the application due date. No new or additional information will be considered. Omissions to the application cannot be appealed. The decision of the Appeal Panel will be final.

Who May Appeal

A project may appeal if the Rank & Review Committee recommends a renewal project for full or partial reallocation or for Tier 2, or if a new project is not selected for funding.

Initiating a Formal Appeal

Any agency desiring to appeal must contact the CoC Chair by the date and time on the CoC NOFA Process and Timeline to state its intent to appeal of the Rank & Review Committee's decision regarding their rank. The Formal Appeal must consist of a short, clear, written statement (no longer than 1 page) of the agency's appeal of the Rank & Review Committee's decision. The statement can be in the form of a letter, a memo, or an email transmittal. The Formal Appeal must be transmitted to Collaborative Applicant (or its designee). ***The Formal Appeal must be emailed or delivered so that it is received by the deadline.***

The Formal Appeal Process

Upon timely receipt of the Formal Appeal, an Appeal Panel will be formed as described below. The Appeal Panel may make inquiries of the Rank & Review Committee members concerning the issues raised in the appeal. The Appeal Panel will meet by telephone or video conference with a representative(s) of the party making the appeal to discuss the issue(s) at an Appeal Hearing set at a date taking in consideration the date the application is due to be filed with HUD. The Collaborative Applicant and/or Appeal Panel may consider the possible effect their decision may have on another agency's rank and contact potentially affected agencies to invite them to become involved in the appeal process and hearing. The decision of the Appeal Panel will be final.

Members of the Appeal Panel

A 3-member Appeal Panel will be selected and can consist of individuals from non-profits, foundations, consumers, government, and private agencies and similar organizations. Representatives will not have a conflict of interest with any of the agencies or parties applying for CoC Program funding as defined by the existing Review and Rank Panel conflict of interest rules.

Scored Project Application Form used by most renewal project applicants that includes the objective criteria, system performance criteria, & respective maximum point values & actual points awarded

St. Joseph's Haven

Community Missions Corporation

Criteria relating to improving system performance	Objective Criteria	Scaled Score	Maximum Point Value	Average for Project Type	Panelist 1	Panelist 2	Panelist 3	Panelist 4	Actual Points Awarded
01. Agency Capacity									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the agency currently have any findings from a HUD monitoring review that have been open for a period greater than six months, for which the agency has missed a HUD-stated deadline or has the agency experienced HUD sanctions in the past year? If the agency does not have open findings or sanctions, add 6 points If the agency has an open finding, but has submitted a plan for corrective action to HUD, add 3 points If no plan has been submitted or the agency has sanctions, 0 points and see reallocation policy 		N/A	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
02. HMIS Compliance (related to all SPM)									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the agency currently in compliance with HMIS (as determined by HMIS lead), add 2 points If the agency has been in compliance with HMIS (as determined by HMIS lead) for the past year (12 months prior to release of NOFA), add 2 points DV projects: if agency is in compliant with HUD requirements for compliant database, add 4 points If HIC data was accurate and submitted on time, add 2 points 		N/A	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
03. CoC Participation and Support									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the Agency Participate in the CoC? If the agency attended at least 75% of CoC meetings (12 months prior to release of NOFA), add 5 points. 		(0 Points)	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00

Criteria relating to improving system performance

Objective Criteria

Maximum Point Value

Actual Points Awarded

Scaled Score Max Points Average for Project Type Panelist 1 Panelist 2 Panelist 3 Panelist 4 Average Panel Score

04. Low Barrier and Housing First for All Housing Programs (related to SPM 1, 3, 7)

- Was the agency able to check off all boxes for low barrier and project termination allowing the agency to qualify for "Housing First" compliance in the project application?
- Does the program description in the renewal application have any elements or language that would be in conflict with Housing First and Low Barrier housing policies?
- Residence prior to entry indicates low barrier/Housing First practices

N/A 10.00 9.50 9.00 9.00 10.00 10.00 **9.50**

05. Unexpended Funds

- Amount left from Previous Grant
- If the agency expended all HUD grant funds and made at least quarterly draw downs, add 10 points. The project will lose two points for every percent of the grant remaining. If 5% or more, 0 points will be awarded. If quarterly draw downs are not made, reduce final score by 2 points, without incurring a negative score
- *Please note-if the program had greater than 10% of funds remaining at the end of the program year, and has established a 3 year trend of not expending funds, the review committee reserves the right to reallocate a portion of the funds

N/A 10.00 9.50 9.00 10.00 9.00 10.00 **9.50**

06a. Permanent Supportive Housing/Safe Haven/Rapid Rehousing: Housing Destination Upon Exit

The percentage of all leavers who either passed away or exited the program to a permanent housing destination

- If 90% or more of those leaving went to positive destinations (i.e., permanent housing and death) upon leaving, add 10 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 8 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 6 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 4 points
- If between 70% and 74.9% of those leaving went to positive destinations upon leaving, add 2 points

(0.0 Points) 10.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 **0.00**

Criteria relating to improving system performance

Objective Criteria

Maximum Point Value

Actual Points Awarded

Scaled Score Max Points Average for Project Type Panelist 1 Panelist 2 Panelist 3 Panelist 4 Average Panel Score

06d. Safe Haven: Positive Housing Outcome

- If more than 90% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 10 points
- If between 85% and 89.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 8 points
- If between 80% and 84.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 6 points
- If between 75% and 79.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 4 points
- If between 70% and 75.9% of those served remained in the housing program housing or exited to a more stable housing destination, add 2 points

(10.0 Points)

10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00

07. System Performance Outcome (related to SPM 4) - Earned Income for Stayers

The percentage of adults who remained in the program who maintained the same amount of employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their employment income from entry to their most recent annual assessment

- If the agency had 15% or greater of adult stayers that maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 3 points
- If at least 7% but less than 15% of adults that maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 1.5 points
- If less than 7% of adults maintained or increased earned income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), 0 points

(0.0 Points)

3.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

Criteria relating to improving system performance

Objective Criteria

Maximum Point Value

Actual Points Awarded

Scaled Score	Max Points	Average for Project Type	Panelist 1	Panelist 2	Panelist 3	Panelist 4	Average Panel Score
--------------	------------	--------------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------------

08. System Performance Outcome (related to SPM 4) - Earned Income for Leavers

The percentage of adults who exited the program who maintained the same amount of employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their employment income from entry to exit

- If the agency had 27% or greater of adults that maintained or increased earned income, add 3 points
- If at least 19% but less than 27% of adults that maintained or increased earned income, add 1.5 points
- If less than 19% of adults maintained or increased earned income, 0 points

(3.0 Points)

3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------------

09. System Performance Outcome (related to SPM 4) - Non-Employment Cash Income for Stayers

The percentage of adults who remained in the program who maintained the same amount of non-employment income (unless \$0 at entry) or increased their non-employment income from entry to their most recent annual assessment

- If the agency had 26% or greater of adult stayers that maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 3 points
- If at least 18% but less than 26% of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), add 1.5 points
- If less than 18% of adults maintained or increased non-employment cash income (excluding participants who have been in the program less than a year), 0 points

(3.0 Points)

3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------------

Criteria relating to improving system performance	Objective Criteria	Maximum Point Value	Average	Panelist 1	Panelist 2	Panelist 3	Panelist 4	Actual Points Awarded	
		Scaled Score	Max Points	for Project Type					Average Panel Score
10. System Performance Outcome (related to SPM 4) - Non-Employment Cash Income for Leavers									
<i>The percentage of adults who exited the program who maintained the same amount of non-employment income (excluding \$0 at entry) or increased their non-employment income from entry to exit</i>									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the agency had 30% or greater of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income, add 3 points If at least 22% but less than 30% of adults that maintained or increased non-employment cash income, add 1.5 points If less than 22% of adults maintained or increased non-employment cash income, 0 points 		(3.0 Points)	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
11. System Performance Outcome (related to SPM 2, 7b) - Mainstream Benefits (not including health insurance)									
<i>The percentage of adults with at least one non cash benefit at exit or follow up assessment (excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment)</i>									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If at least 85% of the participants receive non-cash mainstream benefits, add 8 points If between 60% and 85% of participants receive non-cash mainstream benefits, add 4 points 		(4.0 Points)	8.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
12a. Severity of Needs - Income									
If at program entry, more than 50% of adults have no earned or unearned income, add 5 points		(5.0 Points)	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00

Criteria relating to improving system performance	Objective Criteria	Maximum Point Value	Average	Panelist 1	Panelist 2	Panelist 3	Panelist 4	Actual Points Awarded	
		Scaled Score	Max Points	for Project Type					Average Panel Score
12b. Severity of Needs - Other Populations									
If the program serves other populations with severe needs or vulnerabilities with strong outcomes, add 5 points. Such populations may include:									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current or past substance use, • Criminal record (esp for certain infractions), • Survivor of domestic violence, • LGBTQ status, • Significant health or behavioral health challenges that impede housing maintenance, • High utilization of crisis/emergency services, • Unsheltered youth/children, • Vulnerability to illness/death, • Vulnerability to victimization (e.g. trafficking, sex work). 		N/A	5.00	4.50	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	4.50
For example, projects serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, trafficking, stalking, or other persons that meet the definition of homeless in Category 4, must show they improve safety for the population they serve by reflecting that at least 90% have a safety plan or report increased safety, at least 85% access or maintain housing, and at least 18% have income.									
13. Cost Effectiveness - Cost per Service									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the cost per permanent housing outcome consistent with the type of programming provided? Add 1 point • Is the cost per household served consistent with the type of programming provided? Add 1 point 		N/A	2.00	1.50	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	1.50
14. Chronic Prioritization and Dedication									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 90-100% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, add 2 points • If 75-90% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, add 1 points • If less than 75% of turnover beds are prioritized for use by the chronically homeless, 0 points 		(0 Points)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
15a. Utilization Rate (related to SPM 1, 3) - Bed Utilization									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the average daily bed utilization rate is more than 90%, add 2 points • If the average daily bed utilization rate is between 80% and 90%, add 1 point 		(0.0 Points)	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
								50	

Criteria relating to improving system performance	Objective Criteria	Maximum Point Value	Average	Panelist 1	Panelist 2	Panelist 3	Panelist 4	Actual Points Awarded	
		Scaled Score	Max Points	for Project Type					Average Panel Score
15b. Utilization Rate (related to SPM 1, 3) - Unit Utilization									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the average unit utilization rate is more than 90%, add 2 points If the average unit utilization rate is between 80% and 90%, add 1 point 		(2.0 Points)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
16. 2021 CoC Action Plan									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has this applicant taken steps to incorporate the priorities from 2021 CoC Action Plan into the agency's activities and planning? 		N/A	10.00	8.75	10.00	7.00	8.00	10.00	8.75
Equity									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has the agency/project identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities or people who are LGBTQIA+, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have they taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers. 		N/A	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Total Project Score		30.00	110.00	87.75	89.00	83.00	88.00	91.00	87.75

St Joseph Continuum of Care
2021 Continuum of Care Rank and Review Committee
Final Priority Listing
 October 27, 2021

Annual Renewal Demand:	\$1,627,233	Tier 1:	\$1,627,233
CoC Bonus Funding Available:	\$81,362	Tier 2:	\$81,362
DV Bonus Funding Available:	\$221,675		
Total Ranked Funding Available:	\$1,930,270		
CoC Planning Funding Available (not ranked):	\$48,817		
Total Funding Available:	\$1,979,087		

Rank	Score	Project	Agency	Type	New/ Renewal	Amount Awarded
Tier One						
1	87.75	St. Joseph's Haven	Community Missions Corporation	SH	Renewal	\$ 229,685.00
2	87.25	Home Plus (PH)	Catholic Charities of Kansas City-St. Joseph, Inc.	PSH	Renewal	\$ 359,058.00
3	86.25	SCJ - Shelter Plus Care St Joseph	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	Renewal	\$ 302,282.00
4	82.75	Juda House	Community Missions Corporation	PSH	Renewal	\$ 109,801.00
5	76.25	Bliss Manor	Young Women's Christian Association, St. Joseph, Miss	PSH	Renewal	\$ 79,003.00
6	75.125	St. Joseph (PH)	Catholic Charities of Kansas City-St. Joseph, Inc.	PSH	Renewal	\$ 236,913.00
7	67.25	Bridges Combined	Young Women's Christian Association, St. Joseph, Miss	RRH	Renewal	\$ 268,237.00
8	N/A	St. Joseph HMIS Project	Institute for Community Alliances	HMIS	Renewal	\$ 42,254.00
Tier Two						
9	110	Shelter Plus Care Expansion	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	New	\$ 81,362
DV Bonus						
10	107.25	New Leaf Housing	Interfaith Community Services, Inc. (InterServ)	RRH	New	\$ 220,387
Planning Grant						
NA	N/A	Planning Grant	City of St. Joseph, Missouri	Plan	New	\$ 48,817

FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION
ATTACHMENT: PUBLIC POSTING – PROJECTS
REJECTED-REDUCED
(Question 1E-5)

No projects were rejected or reduced.

**FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION
ATTACHMENT: PUBLIC POSTING – PROJECTS
ACCEPTED
(Question 1E-5a)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
1. October 29, 2021 email to all applicants in the local competition notifying them of recommended ranking and funding of projects to be included in the CoC’s Priority Listing and submitted to HUD (with Priority Listing attached)	2
a. Priority Listing attached to above email	3
2. November 1, 2021 screenshot of CoC website with link to recommended ranking and funding of projects to be included in the CoC’s Priority Listing and submitted to HUD	4
a. November 1, 2021 screenshot of CoC website with Priority Listing at above link	5

Final FY2021 CoC NOFO Ranked List

1 message

Bridget Dejong <bridget@homebaseccc.org>

Fri, Oct 29, 2021 at 3:53 PM

To: Danielle Brown <dbrown@interservstjoe.org>, Randy Sharp <rsharp@interservstjoe.org>, Kim Kempf <kkempf@ywcasj.org>, Sandy Wilson <sandy.wilson@icalliances.org>, Sonia Campbell <scampbell@ccharities.com>, Kathy Vereecke-Ficcardenti <kficcardenti@ccharities.com>, Susan Walker <swalker@ccharities.com>, Gail Vertz <gvertz@ccharities.com>, "Copeland, Amy" <Amy.Copeland@dmh.mo.gov>, "Kemna, Kelli" <Kelli.Kemna@dmh.mo.gov>, Krista Kiger <kkiger@communitymissionscorp.org>
Cc: Mary Kay Griffin <mkgriffin@stjoemo.org>
Bcc: mihir@homebaseccc.org

Dear St Jo CoC NOFO Applicants,

At the CoC meeting on Wednesday, the CoC approved the **2021 CoC NOFO Priority Listing as recommended by the Review and Rank Committee** (no changes). **Please find the approved Priority Listing attached for your records, which includes the following projects that will be submitted to HUD for funding consideration.**

- CMC's St. Joseph's Haven
- Catholic Charities' Home Plus (PH)
- DMH's SCJ - Shelter Plus Care St Joseph
- CMC's Juda House
- YWCA's Bliss Manor
- Catholic Charities' St. Joseph (PH)
- YWCA's Bridges Combined
- ICA's St. Joseph HMIS Project
- DMH's Shelter Plus Care Expansion
- Interserv's New Leaf Housing
- City of St Joseph's Planning Grant

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you!

--

 **Homebase** | **Bridget Kurtt DeJong** | Director of Capacity Building

Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

p: 415-788-7961 ext. 324 **w:** www.homebaseccc.org

a: 870 Market Street, Suite 1228, San Francisco, CA 94102

Advancing Solutions to Homelessness

Legal and Technical Assistance | Policy | Advocacy | Planning

The content in this message is provided for information purposes only and does not constitute legal advice. Homebase does not enter into attorney-client relationships.

 **2021StJosephCoCFinalRankedList.pdf**
21K

St Joseph Continuum of Care
2021 Continuum of Care Rank and Review Committee
Final Priority Listing
October 27, 2021

Annual Renewal Demand: \$1,627,233	Tier 1: \$1,627,233
CoC Bonus Funding Available: \$81,362	Tier 2: \$81,362
DV Bonus Funding Available: \$221,675	
Total Ranked Funding Available: \$1,930,270	
CoC Planning Funding Available (not ranked): \$48,817	
Total Funding Available: \$1,979,087	

Rank	Score	Project	Agency	Type	New/ Renewal	Amount Awarded
Tier One						
1	87.75	St. Joseph's Haven	Community Missions Corporation	SH	Renewal	\$ 229,685.00
2	87.25	Home Plus (PH)	Catholic Charities of Kansas City-St. Joseph, Inc.	PSH	Renewal	\$ 359,058.00
3	86.25	SCJ - Shelter Plus Care St Joseph	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	Renewal	\$ 302,282.00
4	82.75	Juda House	Community Missions Corporation	PSH	Renewal	\$ 109,801.00
5	76.25	Bliss Manor	Young Women's Christian Association, St. Joseph, Miss	PSH	Renewal	\$ 79,003.00
6	75.125	St. Joseph (PH)	Catholic Charities of Kansas City-St. Joseph, Inc.	PSH	Renewal	\$ 236,913.00
7	67.25	Bridges Combined	Young Women's Christian Association, St. Joseph, Miss	RRH	Renewal	\$ 268,237.00
8	N/A	St. Joseph HMIS Project	Institute for Community Alliances	HMIS	Renewal	\$ 42,254.00
Tier Two						
9	110	Shelter Plus Care Expansion	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	New	\$ 81,362
DV Bonus						
10	107.25	New Leaf Housing	Interfaith Community Services, Inc. (InterServ)	RRH	New	\$ 220,387
Planning Grant						
NA	N/A	Planning Grant	City of St. Joseph, Missouri	Plan	New	\$ 48,817

Chrome File Edit View History Bookmarks Profiles Tab Window Help box 45% Mon Nov 1 10:32 AM

Continuum of Care | St. Joseph x +

stjosephmo.gov/854/Continuum-of-Care

Create an Account - Increase your productivity, customize your experience, and engage in information you care about. Sign In

St. Joseph Missouri

About Government Living & Visiting I'm Looking For...

f t y Search...

Home > Government > Departments & Services > Planning & Community Development > Community Development > Continuum of Care

Continuum of Care

Serving St. Joseph, Buchanan, Andrew and DeKalb Counties MO-603

- [St. Joseph Continuum of Care Competition Booklet FY2021 \(PDF\)](#)
- [St. Joseph Continuum of Care Final Ranked List 2021 \(PDF\)](#)

Past Documents:

- [FY2019 Draft CoC Application for Community Review \(PDF\)](#)
- [FY2019 Draft Project Listing for Community Review \(PDF\)](#)
- [FY2019 Final Prioritized Funding List \(PDF\)](#)
- [St. Joseph Open Invitation \(PDF\)](#)
- [St. Joseph Continuum of Care Consolidated Approved Policies April 2019 \(PDF\)](#)

Select Language

CAPER (PDF)

CDBG Activity Summary Report (PDF)

Continuum of Care

Annual Plan (PDF)

FAQs

St Joseph Continuum of Care 2021 Continuum of Care Rank and Review Committee Final Priority Listing October 27, 2021					
Annual Renewal Demand:			\$1,627,233	Tier 1: \$1,627,233	
CoC Bonus Funding Available:			\$81,362	Tier 2: \$81,362	
DV Bonus Funding Available:			\$221,675		
Total Ranked Funding Available:			\$1,930,270		
CoC Planning Funding Available (not ranked):			\$48,817		
Total Funding Available:			\$1,979,087		
Rank	Project	Agency	Type	New/ Renewal	Amount Awarded
Tier One					
1	St. Joseph's Haven	Community Missions Corporation	SH	Renewal	\$ 229,685.00
2	Home Plus (PH)	Catholic Charities of Kansas City-St. Joseph, Inc.	PSH	Renewal	\$ 359,058.00
3	SCJ - Shelter Plus Care St Joseph	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	Renewal	\$ 302,282.00
4	Juda House	Community Missions Corporation	PSH	Renewal	\$ 109,801.00
5	Bliss Manor	Young Women's Christian Association, St. Joseph, Miss	PSH	Renewal	\$ 79,003.00
6	St. Joseph (PH)	Catholic Charities of Kansas City-St. Joseph, Inc.	PSH	Renewal	\$ 236,913.00
7	Bridges Combined	Young Women's Christian Association, St. Joseph, Miss	RRH	Renewal	\$ 268,237.00
8	St. Joseph HMIS Project	Institute for Community Alliances	HMIS	Renewal	\$ 42,254.00
Tier Two					
9	Shelter Plus Care Expansion	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	New	\$ 81,362
DV Bonus					
10	New Leaf Housing	Interfaith Community Services, Inc. (InterServ)	RRH	New	\$ 220,387
Planning Grant					
NA	Planning Grant	City of St. Joseph, Missouri	Plan	New	\$ 48,817

FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION
ATTACHMENT: HOUSING LEVERAGING
COMMITMENTS
(Question 3A-1a)

CoC does not have any such projects.

FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION
ATTACHMENT: HEALTHCARE FORMAL
AGREEMENTS
(Question 3A-2a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Healthcare formal agreement committing \$25,000 from 6/1/2022-5/31/2023	2

MICHAEL L. PARSON
GOVERNOR



MARK STRINGER
DIRECTOR

NORA K. BOCK
DIRECTOR
DIVISION OF
BEHAVIORAL HEALTH

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH

1706 EAST ELM STREET
P.O. BOX 687
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102
(573) 751-4122
(573) 751-8224 FAX
www.dmh.mo.gov

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410

Re: Match Commitment for St. Joseph, MO CoC Program
MO0040, 2021 SCJ – Shelter Plus Care St. Joseph Expansion

To Whom It May Concern:

The Missouri Department of Mental Health (MO DMH) through its network of community mental health centers and substance use treatment centers provides outreach and supportive services that engage homeless disabled individuals and assist with obtaining and maintaining stable permanent housing.

The minimum amount of MO DMH funding that will be provided as **in-kind match** for this project year **June 1, 2022 through May 31, 2023 is \$25,000.**

Health care services, such as mental health services, outpatient health services, outreach services, substance use treatment services, counseling and/or transportation, which are required by participants to obtain or maintain housing **will begin June 1, 2022.** These services will be tailored to the target population and will be available for those who choose to utilize them. In-kind match is provided by state government funds.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kelli Kemna", written over a light blue horizontal line.

Kelli Kemna
Housing Director

FY2021 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION
ATTACHMENT: PROJECT LIST FOR OTHER
FEDERAL STATUTES
(Question 3C-2)

N/A – no such projects are on the CoC Priority Listing.